




**FAMILY COURT
of
LUCAS COUNTY**

HON. ROBERT R. FOSTER
HON. FRANCIS A. PIETRYKOWSKI

429 Michigan


Toledo, Ohio 43624

1972 Annual Report



ROBERT R. FOSTER, JUDGE

**Court of Common Pleas
Division of
Domestic Relations**



FRANCIS A. PIETRYKOWSKI, JUDGE

**Court of Common Pleas
Division of
Domestic Relations**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-------|
| Letter to the Commissioners | 3 |
| Statistical Highlights of 1972 | 4-6 |
| Traffic Complaints, Trends, Ages | 7 |
| Offenses by Months, Source of Referral | 8 |
| Delinquency Referee Department | 9 |
| Juvenile Offenses | 10 |
| Disposition of Juvenile Offenders | 11 |
| Traffic Report | 12 |
| Traffic Statistics | 13-14 |
| Probation Services | 15-16 |
| Juveniles Placed in Institutions | 19 |
| Winthrop Group Home | 22 |
| Cherry Group Home | 23 |
| Volunteer Program..... | 24-25 |
| Map - Census Tracts 1970..... | 26 |
| Residence of Individual Children And Number Involved in Offenses | 27-28 |
| Areas and Schools Attended | 29-30 |
| Dr. Henry Hartman Reports..... | 31-32 |
| Dr. Andrew Glatter Reports | 33-34 |
| Medical Department Report | 35 |
| Child Study Institute | 36-37 |
| Child Study Institute Statistics..... | 38-39 |
| Domestic Relations | 40-41 |
| Domestic Relations Statistics | 42-45 |
| Custody Department | 46-47 |
| Child Support Department | 48 |
| Finance Department..... | 49-50 |
| Staff of Family Court - December 1972..... | 51-52 |

To the Honorable Sol Wittenberg
To the Honorable William Gernheuser
To the Honorable James Holzemer
Commissioners of Lucas County

And to the Honorable Bennett J. Cooper, Director
Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Corrections

Dear Sirs:

In compliance with Section 2151.18 General Code, we submit herewith the Annual Report of the Court of Common Pleas of Lucas County, Ohio, Division of Domestic Relations, which includes the Juvenile Court, covering the calendar year 1972, showing the number and kinds of cases that have come before it, and other data pertaining to the work of the Court of interest to you and the general public.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT R. FOSTER
FRANCIS A. PIETRYKOWSKI
JUDGES

June, 1973

Statistical Highlights of 1972

Volume

Juvenile offenses registered in 1972 totaled 4,365 -- an **increase** of 136 from 1971. Included in the 1972 registrations were 209 dismissed cases and 249 "Out-of-County" Runaways. In 1971, there were 4,229 cases registered -- 270 dismissed and 142 "Out-of-County" Runaways.

Of the 4,365 cases registered, 2,966 (or 68%) involved boys and 1,399 (or 32%) involved girls as compared to 2,930 (69%) boys and 1,299 (31%) for girls in 1971 -- an **increase** of 36 offenses for boys and 100 for girls.

There was a total of 2,221 (or 68%) individual boys and 1,046 (or 32%) were girls as compared to 2,234 (69%) boys and 1,005 (31%) girls in 1971. Boys **decreased** by 13 and girls **increased** by 41 -- an **increase** of 28 individual children (excluding "Out-of-County" Runaways).

Of the 2,221 individual boys, 107 cases were dismissed -- or 2,114 boys were delinquent. Of the 1,046 girls, 43 cases were dismissed -- or 1,003 were delinquent.

First Offenders

There were 1,225 boys and 729 girls who appeared in Court for their **first** offense as compared to 1,250 boys and 722 girls in 1971 -- a **decrease** of 25 boys and an **increase** of 7 girls.

274 of these boys and 88 of the girls **repeated** in 1972 compared to 167 boys and 107 girls in 1971.

Since 1964, or over the last 9 years, 17,929 boys and girls have been in Court for their **first** offense.

Repeaters

Of the 2,221 individual boys, 1,163 **repeated** in 1972 (or 52.4%) as compared to 1,151 (or 51.5%) in 1971.

Of the 1,046 girls, 362 **repeated** in 1972 (or 34.6%) as compared to 390 (or 38.8%) in 1971.

Significant Increases In Offenses Over 1971

| | | | |
|---|------------|--------------------|------------|
| Robbery..... | 10 to 20 | Shoplifting.. | 753 to 895 |
| Assault and other injury to person..... | 83 to 104 | Grand Larceny..... | 43 to 61 |
| Burglary | 414 to 424 | Runaway | 363 to 460 |
| Drugs..... | 64 to 103 | Intoxication | 23 to 47 |
| Throwing stones at cars..... | 14 to 31 | | |

Significant Decreases From 1971

| | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Auto Theft | 132 to 83 | Sniffing glue, etc..... | 45 to 31 |
| Trespassing..... | 106 to 78 | Late Hours..... | 100 to 37 |
| Ungovernable..... | 511 to 435 | School Truancy | 158 to 139 |

Official - Non-official: Delinquent vs. Unruly:

Of the 4,365 cases registered in 1972, 2,940 (or 67.4%) were delinquency cases and 1,425 (or 32.6%) were unruly cases.

2,923 cases (or 67%) were unofficial and 1,442 (or 33%) were official.

Important Observation:

It is interesting to note the comparison of Juvenile Delinquency for the years 1966 and 1972. In 1972, individual girls **increased** 38% and boys **decreased** 3%.

Offense-wise girls increased 28% and boys decreased 16%.

Rate:

Rate of Juvenile Delinquency increased from 25 per thousand in 1971 to 25.3 per thousand in 1972. This rate was based on figures from the Boards of Education in the county. The schools' enrollment decreased by 1,042 from 1971.

Rate of Juvenile Delinquency per 1970 Census data of children ages 5-17 years living in Lucas County is 19.1 per thousand.

All Individual Children

| | White | Negro | Mexican |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Boys (2,221) | 1,492 (or 67.2%) | 639 (or 28.8%) | 90 (or 4.0%) |
| Girls (1,046) | 693 (or 66.3%) | 319 (or 30.5%) | 34 (or 3.2%) |

The 6 - 12 Age Group

In 1972, 409 individual boys and girls, ages 6 through 12, were in Court, compared to 365 in 1971 – an **increase** of 12%. Of these 409 children, 309 involved boys and 100 were girls. Boys **increased** by 44 and girls **decreased** by 14. Fifty-six (or 18%) of the boys and 6 (or 6%) of the girls had been in Court in a previous year.

Ages 6 - 12

| Ages | Boys | Girls | Total |
|------|------------|------------|------------|
| 6 | | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 8 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 9 | 29 | 3 | 32 |
| 10 | 49 | 11 | 60 |
| 11 | 74 | 21 | 95 |
| 12 | 138 | 65 | 203 |
| | 309 | 100 | 409 |

These 409 boys and girls had 481 offenses – 19 of these were dismissed as “no offense”.

Of the 481 offenses, 367 involved boys and 114 girls as compared to 302 for boys and 132 for girls in 1971 – an 8.5% **increase** in total offenses.

Seventy-three boys and girls, age 13, were in Court in 1972 who had been in the 6-12 year age group.

Most frequent offenses for these 409 boys and girls were:

| | | | |
|-----------------------|-----|---|----|
| Shoplifting | 145 | Malicious destruction of property | 22 |
| Burglary | 63 | Throwing rocks at cars | 15 |
| Unarmed Robbery | 17 | Assault | 12 |
| Other Stealing | 38 | Sniffing glue, etc. | 5 |
| Ungovernable | 32 | Disturbance and trespassing | 19 |
| School Truancy | 29 | False Fire Alarm | 5 |
| Runaway | 27 | | |

These 409 children were 12.5% of the total children and had 11.4% of the total offenses registered.

70 boys and 7 girls were on supervision to a court counselor or agency worker.

9 boys and 1 girl were referred to an agency.

1 boy and 1 girl were committed to Dayton Children's Psychiatric Hospital.

3 boys were committed to Ohio Youth Commission.

9 boys' and 1 girl's cases were pending disposition as of December 31, 1972.

Where did these children, Ages 6 - 12, live in 1972?

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|---------------------------------|------------|
| Old West End | 70 | Heatherdowns-Beverly Area | 8 |
| Dorr Area | 61 | Oregon | 7 |
| Lagrange Area | 31 | Springfield Township | 6 |
| South Side Area | 29 | Old Orchard Area | 5 |
| Birmingham Area | 25 | Longfellow Area | 5 |
| East Toledo Area | 23 | Point Place | 4 |
| North End Area | 23 | Talmadge Area | 2 |
| Parkside Area | 20 | Spencer Township | 2 |
| Mayfair Area | 17 | DeVeaux Area | 1 |
| Sylvania | 16 | Waterville Township | 1 |
| Tribly Area | 12 | Central Business District | 1 |
| Maumee | 12 | State of Michigan | 7 |
| Reynolds Corners Area | 11 | Wauseon, Ohio | 1 |
| Airport Highway Area | 8 | Perrysburg, Ohio | 1 |
| | | Total | 409 |

6 - 12 Years

| | White | Negro | Mexican |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Boys (309)..... | 191 (or 61.8%) | 113 (or 36.6%) | 5 (or 1.6%) |
| Girls (100)..... | 64 (or 64.0%) | 33 (or 33.0%) | 3 (or 3.0%) |

C.S.I. Detention

In 1972, 183 boys and girls, ages 6 - 12, were detained in the Child Study Institute compared to 218 in 1971 -- a **decrease** of 35 (or 19%).

Other Cases:

Excluding Non-support and Domestic Relations, there were 11,491 cases registered in 1972 compared to 10,942 in 1971 -- an **increase** of 549.

Breakdown of the 11,491 cases registered in 1972 is as follows:

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------|----------------------------------|-----|
| Delinquency | 4,365 | Illegal placements | 17 |
| Traffic complaints | 6,133 | Out-of-town investigations | 18 |
| Dependency | 243 | Special service | 60 |
| Custody actions | 109 | Bastardy | 258 |
| Visitation and companionship | 64 | Affidavit in neglect | 57 |
| Consent to marry | 87 | Contributing to delinquency or | |
| Neglected child | 2 | unruliness of minor | 77 |
| Abuse of minor | 1 | | |

Traffic Complaints

Individual children: boys - 3,380; girls - 875; total - 4,255. These boys and girls had 6,133 traffic complaints in 1972 as compared to 5,705 in 1971 -- an **increase** of 428 (or 7.5%).

| First Traffic Complaint | Previous Traffic Complaint |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Boys | Boys |
| 2,621 (or 77.5%) | 759 (or 22.5%) |
| Girls | Girls .. |
| 808 (or 92.3%) | 67 (or 7.7%) |

462 of the first offender boys and 57 of the girls repeated in 1973.

Repeater rate for boys -- 1,221 (or 36%).

Repeater rate for girls -- 124 (or 14%).

In 1972 mini-bike complaints **dropped** from 261 in 1971 to 169 -- a **decrease** of 8.5%.

TABLE NO. 1
Trend for the Past Five Years

| | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Committed to Ohio Youth Commission | 216 | 197 | 204 | 195 | 158 |
| Committed to Private Correctional Schools | 28 | 55 | 21 | 26 | 47 |
| Committed to Other Institutions | 34 | 38 | 31 | 28 | 25 |
| Placed in Foster Homes | 50 | 36 | 24 | 21 | 36 |
| Number carried on Probation or Supervision | 1,103 | 1,135 | 1,587 | 1,686 | *1,652 |

*749 cases closed in 1972

TABLE NO. 2
Age Range of All Children

| Years | Boys | Girls | Total |
|----------|------|-------|-------|
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| 8 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| 9 | 29 | 3 | 32 |
| 10 | 49 | 11 | 60 |
| 11 | 74 | 21 | 95 |
| 12 | 138 | 65 | 203 |
| 13 | 205 | 125 | 330 |
| 14 | 328 | 191 | 519 |
| 15 | 377 | 246 | 623 |
| 16 | 479 | 213 | 692 |
| 17 | 463 | 158 | 621 |
| 18 | 60 | 13 | 73 |

2,221 1,046 3,267

1972 Median Ages: Boys 15 yr. 5 mo.; Girls 15 yr. 11 mo.

TABLE NO. 3
Offenses by the Month (Except Traffic)

| Month | Boys | Girls | Total |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| January | 252 | 108 | 360 |
| February | 214 | 97 | 311 |
| March | 253 | 124 | 377 |
| April | 241 | 133 | 374 |
| May | 278 | 162 | 440 |
| June | 266 | 112 | 378 |
| July | 189 | 95 | 284 |
| August | 226 | 128 | 354 |
| September | 294 | 112 | 406 |
| October | 247 | 105 | 352 |
| November | 272 | 113 | 385 |
| December | 234 | 110 | 344 |
| | 2,966 | 1,399 | 4,365 |

Includes 209 dismissed cases and 249 "Out-of-County" Runaways

TABLE NO. 4
Source of Referrals - All Children's Offenses
(Except "Out-of-County" Runaways)

| | Boys | Girls | Total |
|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| Parents or relatives | 169 | 228 | 397 |
| Probation counselor | 23 | 40 | 63 |
| Law enforcement officer | 2,539 | 925 | 3,464 |
| Other court | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| School department | 71 | 45 | 116 |
| Social agency | 13 | 23 | 36 |
| Other source | 8 | 16 | 24 |
| | 2,832 | 1,284 | 4,116 |

Delinquency Referee Department

The downward trend, noted in the last two years, in registered cases was halted in 1972. There were 49 more cases registered by referees in 1972 than in 1971. In the matter of hearings and conferences (unofficial hearings) there was an **increase** of 185 - 6,245 in 1972 as contrasted to 6,060 in 1971. In addition to hearings on delinquency and unruly cases, the referees had 1,030 traffic hearings - there being no traffic referee for approximately four months.

There were no major procedural changes made in the handling of cases during 1972. The procedure for setting initial hearings, initiated in 1971, (wherein the referee would set the hearing date rather than, as previously, the police giving the juvenile a hearing date) appears to be functioning as hoped. On the average the hearings are being set for four weeks from the time the case is referred to the referee. This time average does not include the hearings set after a child is detained on a new complaint - within the statutory ten-day limit.

In 1972 there were 284 juveniles who denied the charge(s) and whose case(s) were referred to the prosecutor for contested hearings. The 1971 figure was 201. From the information given by the assistant prosecutors, disposition of cases (as distinguished from individuals referred to them) are as follows: finding of delinquency - 226; hearings, findings, resulting in dismissal - 13; continued to the call of the prosecutor - 23; dismissed for lack of prosecutive merit or written off docket for good cause - 27; handled on non-judicial (minor offender) basis - 3. There were 30 cases still pending at years' end.

The Legal Interns, third-year law students, assigned to the prosecutor's office and handling prosecution of juvenile cases, did a creditable job. However, it was the consensus of the referees that the frequent turnover of Legal Interns hampered the processing of cases, causing delays.

At the end of the year there were 44 attorneys, in addition to those of Legal Aid, who have made themselves available for appointment to represent indigent juveniles. There were 152 appointments made. Mr. Frank Landry, Court Support Officer, has provided a screening function in establishing indigency. Where the parent is found to be financially able to provide an attorney for their child they are required to do so. If a parent, again who is financially able to provide legal counsel, refuses to do so, the Court appoints counsel, then an effort is made to compel the parent to reimburse the county for the cost of the appointed attorney.

There were 38 expungement hearings had in 1972. This compares to 26 hearings in 1971, and 15 in 1970. However, it is evident that this is a very small percentage of individuals who were eligible to have their record expunged.

There appears to be an **increase** in the number of hearings on preadjudication motions, particularly as regards motions to suppress evidence and/or statements. At this time, however, there are no actual figures. An effort will be made to establish this particular statistic for future trend evaluation.

Walter Bouck Chief Referee
Catherine Champion Assistant Chief Referee
Marjorie Gullberg William Ruby
Janice Christofel Dennis Ulrich (*resigned*)
Frank Sidle Eddie Squire

Juvenile Offenses

| Offense | Boys | Girls | Total |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Robbery - armed | 19 | 1 | 20 |
| Robbery -unarmed – purse snatching | 48 | 4 | 52 |
| Burglary | 415 | 9 | 424 |
| Auto theft | 82 | 1 | 83 |
| Operating motor vehicle without owner’s consent | 53 | 1 | 54 |
| Shoplifting | 491 | 404 | 895 |
| Forgery, fraud, grand larceny | 63 | 14 | 77 |
| All other theft | 227 | 10 | 237 |
| Arson | 7 | 2 | 9 |
| Carrying/possession weapons | 21 | 3 | 24 |
| Distrubance/fighting | 88 | 34 | 122 |
| Malicious destruction of property | 63 | 6 | 69 |
| Trespassing | 71 | 7 | 78 |
| Throwing stones/snowballs at cars | 30 | 1 | 31 |
| Violation fireworks ordinance | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| All other carelessness/ mischief | 69 | 5 | 74 |
| School truancy | 91 | 48 | 139 |
| Runaway | 128 | 332 | 460 |
| Ungovernable | 187 | 248 | 435 |
| Sex offenses | 46 | 7 | 53 |
| Assault on person | 78 | 11 | 89 |
| Stab/shoot, intent to kill or wound | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| Other injury to person | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Drinking/drunk and disorderly/intoxication | 87 | 12 | 99 |
| Purchasing/possession of intoxicants | 15 | 2 | 17 |
| Sniffing glue, paint, etc. | 27 | 4 | 31 |
| Use/possession/sale of drugs, etc. | 128 | 35 | 163 |
| Late hours | 25 | 12 | 37 |
| All other offenses | 87 | 17 | 104 |
| Sub total | 2,674 | 1,233 | 3,907 |
| Dismissed | 158 | 51 | 209 |
| “Out-of-County” Runaways | 134 | 115 | 249 |
| TOTAL OFFENSES REGISTERED | 2,966 | 1,399 | 4,365 |

Disposition of Juvenile Offenders

| | Boys | Girls | Total |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Probated - Court Counselor | 342 | 108 | 450 |
| Supervision - Court Counselor | 137 | 39 | 176 |
| Continue Probation/Supervision | 130 | 36 | 166 |
| Probated to Agency | 38 | 17 | 55 |
| Supervision to Agency | 27 | 1 | 28 |
| Continue Probation/Supervision to Agency | 12 | 8 | 20 |
| Referred to Agency | 10 | 19 | 29 |
| Committed/Custody to Agency | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Probated to parents | 17 | 34 | 51 |
| Adjusted | 732 | 650 | 1,382 |
| Fined | 363 | 3 | 366 |
| Restitution | 55 | 2 | 57 |
| Fine and restitution | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Probated to Adult Probation Department | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Waived to Common Pleas Court | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Certified to other Court | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Miami Children's Home | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Columbus State School | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Dayton Children's Psychiatric Hospital | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Luella Cummings School | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| St. Vincent Hospital | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Toledo Mental Health Center | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Committed to Ohio Youth Commission | 90 | 28 | 118 |
| Returned to Ohio Youth Commission | 10 | 1 | 11 |
| Temporary Comm. Ohio Youth Commission | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Committed to Mansfield Youth Center (OSR) | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| Suspended Commitment to O.Y.C. | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Referred to Juvenile Placement Bureau | 27 | 9 | 36 |
| Other Dispositions | 21 | 6 | 27 |
| Dismissed | 107 | 43 | 150 |
| Pending Disposition | 68 | 32 | 100 |
| | 2,221 | 1,046 | 3,267 |

Traffic Report 1972

The increase in juvenile traffic complaints must be attributable to the increase in juvenile traffic accidents in 1972 as compared to 1971. There were about 191 more accidents last year which were broken down into the following: 65 more "driving without due regard for safety" convictions, almost all accident related; 79 more "failure to maintain an assured clear distance ahead" and 47 more "failure to yield the right of way". The increase can also be attributable to the Toledo Police Department's admitted 1972 practice of citing more drivers for speeding than in prior years. Such practice is beneficial for the safety on our streets.

The increase in juveniles driving without valid drivers' licenses (66 more convictions in 1972 than in 1971) indicates that parents must keep a closer watch on their children who are nearing driving age and parents must keep their car keys in a safe spot away from their teenage children just as parents must keep matches out of the reach of a five-year old.

It is interesting to note that while about 78% of the boys who appeared in Juvenile Traffic Court were appearing for their first time and did not repeat -- about 22% were repeaters. Of the girls who appeared in Juvenile Traffic Court, 92% were appearing for their first time and did not repeat -- only 8% of the girls repeated. Court appearances seem to have a much greater effect on females than on males, the girls apparently taking court appearances more seriously than the boys.

Finally, in reading over the many citations and accident reports that come into Juvenile Traffic Court, we can easily see that excessive speed is the pitfall of juvenile drivers. A great majority of the accidents juveniles were in could have been avoided if they would have been driving 5 to 10 miles per hour slower. It is our hope that juvenile traffic offenders are made aware of this fact after attending a hearing in Juvenile Traffic Court.

R. Jeffrey Lydy
Traffic Referee

Comparison of Boys' and Girls' Traffic Complaints January - December 1971-1972

| Complaint | 1971 | 1972 | + or - |
|--|-------|-------|--------|
| No operator's license..... | 589 | 644 | + 55 |
| Restrictions | 11 | 13 | + 2 |
| No motorcycle endorsement | 26 | 24 | - 2 |
| Altered license | 1 | 1 | |
| Temporary permit - no licensed driver | 30 | 45 | + 15 |
| Temporary permit - motorcycle restrictions | 5 | 2 | - 3 |
| Red light | 329 | 302 | - 27 |
| Stop sign | 151 | 183 | + 32 |
| Drag racing..... | 6 | 10 | + 4 |
| Speeding | 1,771 | 2,075 | +304 |
| Assured clear distance..... | 213 | 292 | + 79 |
| Unreasonable speed for conditions | 8 | 9 | + 1 |
| Following too close | 7 | 6 | - 1 |
| Space between vehicles | 10 | 14 | + 4 |
| Without due regard: | 1 | 5 | + 4 |
| On street | 449 | 509 | + 60 |
| On private property | 7 | 5 | - 2 |
| Reckless operation | 70 | 72 | + 2 |
| Improper starting | 16 | 16 | |
| Improper backing without care | 56 | 60 | + 4 |
| Changing course | 90 | 74 | - 16 |
| No signals to turn | 10 | 4 | - 6 |
| Fail/yield at intersection | 21 | 31 | + 10 |
| Fail/yield left turn | 57 | 91 | + 34 |
| Fail/yield at stop sign or yield sign | 142 | 150 | + 8 |
| Fail/yield private property-alley, etc. | 85 | 80 | - 5 |
| Left of center | 38 | 51 | + 13 |
| Improper turn: | 0 | 1 | + 1 |
| Right..... | 11 | 18 | + 7 |
| Left | 20 | 31 | + 11 |
| U | 0 | 4 | + 4 |
| Prohibited turn | 64 | 52 | - 12 |
| Wrong way on one-way street | 32 | 36 | + 4 |
| Motorbikes - helmet..... | 54 | 43 | - 11 |
| Goggles | 23 | 23 | |
| Rear view mirror | 0 | 4 | + 4 |
| Unsale vehicle | 58 | 104 | + 46 |
| Improper headlights | 39 | 32 | - 7 |
| Improper tail lights..... | 42 | 58 | + 16 |
| Excessive noise | 0 | 1 | + 1 |
| Tires..... | 90 | 85 | - 5 |
| Muffler | 133 | 136 | + 3 |

Comparison of Boys' and Girls' Traffic Complaints January - December 1971- 1972

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------|------------|
| Motor | 4 | 1 | - | 3 |
| Horn | 0 | 1 | + | 1 |
| Improper license plates | 173 | 156 | - | 17 |
| Driving under the influence | 10 | 14 | + | 4 |
| Leaving scene | 76 | 70 | - | 6 |
| Fleeing | 12 | 4 | - | 8 |
| Eluding | 12 | 19 | + | 7 |
| Resisting | 3 | 4 | + | 1 |
| Violation of court order | 51 | 13 | - | 38 |
| Other operational | 112 | 101 | - | 11 |
| Other non-operational | 129 | 102 | - | 27 |
| | 5,347 | 5,881 | + | 534 |
| Dismissed | 358 | 252 | - | 106 |
| TOTAL | 5,705 | 6,133 | + | 428 |

Comparison of Boys' and Girls' Dispositions Of Traffic Complaints January - December 1971- 1972

| | 1971 | 1972 | + | - |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|-------------|
| Pay court costs | 5,021 | 4,326 | | -695 |
| Court costs suspended | 224 | 325 | +101 | |
| Pay fine | 4,075 | 4,887 | +812 | |
| Fine suspended | 131 | 113 | | - 18 |
| License revoked | 11 | 12 | + 1 | |
| License application suspended | 264 | 245 | | - 19 |
| License restricted | 428 | 145 | | -283 |
| License suspended | 514 | 398 | | -116 |
| Attend DDC | 985 | 710 | | -275 |
| Dismissed | 358 | 253 | | -105 |
| Other | 136 | 122 | | - 14 |
| TOTAL | 12,147 | 11,536 | | -611 |

Probation Services

I. Personnel

On March 31, 1972, C. Don McColl, Assistant Chief Casework Supervisor, retired after 27 years service to the Court and the community. Don McColl came to the Court in September 1945 as a probation counselor. A Toledo native and graduate of Notre Dame University, Don served as a counselor, foster home supervisor, interim referee, and from 1959 to his retirement he was a casework supervisor. Don is a man of wit, intelligence and compassion. He has a philosophic bent and admirably fulfills John Henry Newman's definition of a gentleman: "One who never wilfully inflicts pain." In the most trying situations and with the most difficult of people, he maintained his poise and personality. Don typifies the best in the tradition of social service as a vocation and a profession and not just a job. The entire staff wishes him a restful and relaxing retirement. Knowing Don, we are sure it will be an active one.

The year 1972 saw 12 new people join our staff replacing those who retired or resigned. Jerome Levitt, another supervisor, resigned his position with the Court in order to enter a Ph.D. program in educational psychology at Ann Arbor. Other resignations involved counselors moving on to career advancements and educational pursuits. One counselor entered a Ph.D. program in clinical psychology. Three others accepted the following positions: counselor at a private school, supervisor with another agency and administrator with the Big Brothers.

Mr. Charles Smith, an experienced counselor on the staff, replaced Mr. McColl while Mrs. Margaret Gumble, a senior counselor, replaced Mr. Levitt.

The 12 new people who were hired resulted in an increase of 1 on the professional staff. At year's end we were operating with 1 administrator, 4 casework supervisors, 24 probation counselors (including group home and placement counselors) and 4 secretarial workers.

An additional secretarial employee added in 1972 gave us much needed help in the typing department and assistance in other clerical areas.

II. Training and Orientation

Each new probation counselor receives individualized orientation and continual instruction and counsel from his/her supervisor.

During the year, staff members, supervisors and counselors severally, attended a number of conferences and workshops sponsored by such organizations as the Ohio Youth Commission, the Ohio Corrections and Court Services Association, and the Toledo Metropolitan Area Council of Governments. These conferences and seminars dealt with material appropriate to the work of probation counselors within the Court system, broadening understanding as to what is happening in corrections throughout our state and particularly what other state institutions are doing.

The probation counselors themselves continued their regular meetings of the counselors' organization, discussing their mutual problems and making suggestions and proposals as they saw fit. Three counselor representatives attended the regular administrative meetings with the Court director and other administrative personnel to discuss and clarify issues as well as communicate policy information.

Probation Services

(continued)

Biweekly conferences between counselors and the administrator of probation services continued throughout the year.

In 1972 we had the good fortune of receiving a grant from the federal government through our local Regional Planning Unit for the purpose of continued training of both probation counselors and group leaders in the Child Study Institute. The training sessions were conducted by the Adler Institute of Chicago, Illinois. The meetings extended for 10 weeks with half the staff attending Thursday, and the other half attending Friday sessions. The speakers for the sessions were psychiatrists and psychologists from various parts of the country, all of whom are allied with the Adler Institute. Topics covered included individual interviewing and counseling, family therapy, group counseling, specialized topics of drug abuse, homosexuality and suicide. The lecturers were obviously well versed in their subject matter. They demonstrated techniques and actively involved the staff in their demonstrations. We had the benefit of a video tape recorder, acquired as part of the grant, and thus were able to record the substance of the training sessions for further use.

III. Student Field Training Experience

In 1972, 7 students from Bowling Green State University and the University of Toledo were assigned to the Court as student probation counselors. This assignment was for one or two quarters and is an accredited field work placement experience. Each student spends his/her time at the Court observing and learning procedures and working with one of the professional staff as an assistant. Educationally, the program provides a valuable experience and also serves as a fine employee recruitment source for the Court. Four of our present Court staff had been assigned here as student probation counselors.

IV. Agency-Coordination

The assistance and cooperation of other agencies in the handling of the numerous cases that come through the Court is greatly appreciated. The Children's Services Board and the Toledo Catholic Charities particularly are to be thanked for their consistent and capable service throughout the year. In 1972 a total of 49 children were referred to agencies for investigation and 110 were referred for supervision. At the end of 1972, 126 children were under the supervision of agencies, having been referred and assigned to them by the Court.

The Court continued to have the services of teacher probation counselors during 1972. This program has been in existence for five years. It has been a successful and useful experience. It involves Toledo Public School teachers serving as part-time probation counselors. Through most of 1972 we had five TPC's. However, by the end of the year the number had decreased to three because two became involved in regular school responsibilities. Each TPC supervises youths who are wards of the Court and attend the school where they serve as a member of the staff. Each TPC has been carrying a caseload of between 8-12 probationers. While the program has been useful, it has been decided with the attrition of those who are presently serving as TPC's that this program will be phased out.

Probation Services

(continued)

The schools themselves have been involved in expending their own programs to serve children with in-school and out-of-school problems. It would seem with the growing emphasis on diverting children from the juvenile justice system, that the development of in-school programs is a better approach. The Board of Education over the past few years has developed the P.E.P. (Pre-Employment Program), the Jefferson Center Vocational Program and other vocational and interest programs including the new "In Lieu Center" soon to be in operation. These programs seem to be valuable new directions in educational process, and hopefully as a positive side effect on this programming, delinquency prevention will result.

V. Psychological Services

In 1972 a total of 103 psychological studies were done for the Court on a contractual basis by local private psychologists. During the past several years this contractual basis has been the sole source of psychological studies inasmuch as the Court has been without a full-time psychologist. Fortunately, in September 1972, the Court acquired the full-time services of Dr. Andrew Glatter, an experienced accredited clinician. Thus, invaluable studies and consultations are now available for the staff expertly and expeditiously.

We do not wish to overlook nor forget the valuable services of those local psychologists who have given of their time and competence in the service of the Court.

VI. Placement Services

The year saw considerable activity in private school placements, new emphasis in foster home recruiting and personnel changes in Placement Services. Edgemoade Schools, located now in four states, accepted more of our aggressive, treatable boys. We ended the year with 10 boys in their care. Two had been placed under the CHAMPUS (Civilian Health and Military Personnel Uniformed Services) program. Edgemoade Schools are the only ones that considered these boys and this treatment is expensive.

With 20 boys and 8 girls in school placement at the beginning of the year, we placed an additional 16 boys and 12 girls during 1972. Also, 8 boys and 6 girls were removed from placement for various reasons. Forty-two children were in schools at the end of the year.

There was less change in our total foster home placements. At the first of the year there were 9 girls and 7 boys in foster homes, and at the end of the year there were 17 girls and 4 boys.

To emphasize recruitment of more foster homes, Miss Debra Gecowetts became our full-time recruiter in February and was joined in November by our second recruiter, Miss Ann Langenderfer. Two persons will be able to publicize, recruit and evaluate homes in ways we have not been able to do in many years.

Our very experienced private school and foster home counselor, Mrs. Margaret Gumble, moved on to a casework supervisor position when that became available during the year. Mr. Thomas McGill, an experienced probation counselor, became placement counselor in January when Mr. Kenneth Singer left to join the staff at Stonegate School in Connecticut. Mr. McGill works closely with the placed child, the private school staff and the child's parents.

Probation Services
(continued)

While most of the children in school placements are assigned to a placement counselor, most of those in foster homes remain with their original probation counselor.

The placement supervisor continued his role of supervising the TPC's with their contact of boys on probation.

VII. Caseload Movement

| | Boys | Girls |
|--|-------------|--------------|
| Investigations Pending 1-1-72 | 41 | 60 |
| Investigations Assigned 1972 | 223 | 135 |
| Investigations Completed 1972 | 224 | 135 |
| Investigations Pending 12-31-72 | 40 | 37 |
| On Probation/Supervision 1-1-72 | 668 | 215 |
| Placed on Probation/Supervision 1972 | 512 | 220 |
| Terminated Probation/Supervision | 508 | 197 |
| On Probation/Supervision 12-31-72 | 672 | 238 |

The final figures for supervision in 1972 include 90 boys and 36 girls who are being supervised by agency workers.

Paul Sullivan, Administrator
Probation Services

1972 - Juveniles Placed in Residential Treatment Centers and Institutions

Boys

| | |
|--|------------|
| Edgemeade Schools | 7 |
| Boys Village | 3 |
| Harbor Creek | 2 |
| Father Flanagan's Boys Home | 2 |
| Starr Commonwealth | 1 |
| Oesterlen Home for Children | 1 |
| Brown School | 1 |
| Stonegate | 1 |
| Cherry Group Home | 12 |
| Columbus State School | 1 |
| Dayton Children's Psychiatric Hospital | 1 |
| Toledo Mental Health Center | 1 |
| Mansfield Youth Center | 12 |
| Ohio Youth Commission | 112 |
| Returned to Ohio Youth Commission | 10 |
| | 167 |

Girls

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Rosemont | 3 |
| Marycrest | 2 |
| Luella Cummings | 4 |
| Peter Claver School | 1 |
| Lourdesmont | 1 |
| Wernle Children's Home | 3 |
| Girls' Group Home | 3 |
| Miami Children's Center | 5 |
| Florence Crittenton Home | 4 |
| Dayton Children's Psychiatric Hospital | 1 |
| Ohio Youth Commission | 34 |
| Returned to Ohio Youth Commission | 1 |
| | 62 |

Modifications of Probation

| | Boys | Girls | Total |
|---|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Committed to Ohio Youth Commission | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| Committed to Mansfield Youth Center | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Committed to Private Training Schools | 30 | 17 | 47 |
| Placed in Foster Homes | 5 | 31 | 36 |
| Placed on Probation/Supervision: | 18 | 13 | 31 |
| (1971 cases disposed of in 1972) | | | |
| TOTAL | 63 | 67 | 130 |

The Team Approach at the Winthrop Street Boys' Group Home

Members of the team at the Winthrop Street Boys' Group Home are the boys, the real parents, the foster parents, the social worker and the psychiatrist.

At the beginning of 1972 the boys were the same eight who had lived there in 1971. The following changes occurred in 1972: 1) A 15-year-old boy had received maximum benefits from the group home so he was released to his father's custody. 2) A 14-year-old boy was transferred to the Older Boys' Group Home on Cherry Street. Although this young man had made some improvement he needs further help before he will be ready to return to live with his family; 3) New admissions were a 10-year-old, a 12-year-old and a 14-year-old boy.

What changes have occurred in the boys during the past year? Physically, they are all growing taller and gaining weight. Mrs. Irby is constantly busy keeping the boys outfitted to keep up with their growth spurts. Scholastically, all of the boys have improved, some a great deal and a few only slightly. Two boys who attended adjusted curriculum classes in 1971 improved sufficiently so that they were enrolled in regular classes in September 1972 and they managed to keep up with the regular classes.

The most dramatic change in all the boys was in their behavior -- they are learning to behave in a more appropriate manner. Delinquent behavior was the common denominator in the past history of every boy admitted to the group home. Behavior may be thought of as an expression of an individual's level of emotional maturity and his adaptation to the environment. One common weakness of all the boys admitted to the home has been emotional immaturity. All the boys have shown some degree of emotional maturity during the past year, due to the instilling of stable standards and values, of discipline, of caring, and of the example set by the foster parents, Mr. and Mrs. Ronald Irby, and by Mr. Lennie Coffin, social worker.

Children cannot be viewed in isolation from their parents. So their parents were asked to come for interviews at the Group Home and to be part of the treatment team in planning each boy's future. A few parents, perhaps sometimes out of profound guilt feelings, at times were very critical of the care a child was getting. When the parents realized they were not being blamed for the child's misbehavior and that they were very necessary to supply information to help understand the child, the parents became very cooperative and helpful.

The Winthrop Street Boys' Group Home is directed by Mr. and Mrs. Ronald Irby. These two foster parents have exceptional gifts of warmth, dedication, caring and a philosophy of life which they are able to transmit to the boys at the Home. Mr. and Mrs. Irby are a special kind of foster parents -- besides assuming parental responsibility, they give affection and support 24 hours a day. These foster parents are more than parents -- they have extra responsibilities because of the boys' problems. These foster parents manage complicated relationships with other people: the boys in their care, their teachers, the boys' own parents and representatives of Court.

Mr. Lennie Coffin, social worker, serves as the Big Brother at the Home with warmth and professional skill. It is not practical here to list specifically who does what. But, through the cooperative efforts of the foster parents and Mr. Coffin,

Winthrop Boys' Group Home (continued)

the boys receive attention and training on a day-to-day and, if needed, on an hour-to-hour basis. Sometimes, even minute-by-minute.

The task of the psychiatric consultant is twofold: direct treatment of the child and discussions with the parents, foster parents and social worker.

On initial interviews with the boys, the more verbal youngsters emphasized that they were not "crazy" and therefore they did not need to talk to a "shrink." The more passive youngsters showed cautious enthusiasm in talking about themselves. However, as each boy felt acceptance of himself he gradually gave us excessive defenses and he permitted himself direct expression of previously repressed feelings. Most of the boys now reveal many of their inner feelings and the cause of each one's difficulties becomes more clear.

As a result of discussions with the foster parents and the social worker, treatment plans are formulated for each boy. The overall plan is to help each youngster learn behavior in a way best suited for his individual temperament.

Recognition for special services to the Group Home during the past year is due to: **Miss Flora Hineline** who tutored the boys during the past summer; and, to **Miss Cynthia Kraus** and **Miss Nancy Schwanger**, volunteers, who help tutor the boys with their school work several times a week. These two young women have also talked to the boys' teachers to learn how to best help the boys. They have also taken the boys on outings and have provided them various treats.

The Reverend George Maize, pastor of St. Paul AME Zion Church, and members of his congregation have been very helpful in making available their educational hall for meetings with the boys' parents and various professional speakers.

Mrs. Zainer (Lambertville, Michigan), has on numerous occasions invited the boys and foster parents to her farm where they enjoyed the fresh produce and also learned about farming. In addition, Mrs. Zainer has sponsored trips for the boys to other farms.

Mary A. Lenkay, M.D.
Assistant Professor of Psychiatry
Medical College of Ohio at Toledo

Winthrop Group Home

There are nine boys in residence at the Winthrop Street Group Homes, ages ranging from 10-15. Eight boys are enrolled at Fulton Elementary School, and one is enrolled at Scott High School. The boy at Scott has been on the Honor Roll twice, and one of the boys at Fulton has been on the Honor Roll once. Three boys in the grade school showed considerable improvement in their grades -- four made only limited improvement.

We have two volunteer tutors who worked at the Home this year, but the time element would not permit them to work with all of the boys; hence, those who critically needed the tutoring were chosen. There is still a dire need for specialists in the reading field, and it is highly questionable that such can be secured from the ranks of volunteers, inasmuch as the Group Home staff has previously had little success.

Special meetings with parents and staff to discuss family and the children's problems have served also as a means of increasing parental understanding of their own child, their attitudes, future plans, etc. Special sessions with the parents, initiated by the psychiatric consultant and the social worker, have produced good results.

The boys are enrolled in a special recreation program under the auspices of the Indiana Y.M.C.A.

Two boys have been returned to their family environments this year, but continue under Group Home supervision. They have had minor socialization and adjustment problems in school, which were handled adequately by the school counselor and the Group Home counselor. It is interesting to report that the first boy discharged from the Home is now serving as a "Big Brother -- Junior Counselor" to the boys in residence, and is doing an excellent job.

The staff reports, with great pride, that there has not been a single incidence of recidivism this year and, in fact, since the Group Home opened in September, 1970.

The staff has initiated the "buddy system", whereby the boys who are making better than average progress, take a special interest in another boy who is moderately consistent in his adjustment problems. The experiment has not been in progress long enough to evaluate.

Presently, five boys are on the extended home visit program, which is an important part of the pre-discharge return-home procedure.

Ron and Carol Irby
Group Home Parents

Lennie Coffin
Probation Counselor

Cherry Group Home

The Cherry Group Home was opened in June, 1972 and the honor of being the first resident went to a boy who had been at the Winthrop Group Home. This home serves boys between the ages of 14 and 18 who have been in residential treatment facilities, another Group Home, or who have not succeeded on a probation program in their own home. Federal funding through the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA), supplemented by county funds, enabled the Juvenile Court to open this second Group Home for boys. This type of treatment programming is very much in keeping with the best and most current thinking that children's problems originate in the community and, whenever it is possible, they should be resolved through some type of community-based program. During the five months operation, the home has served seven boys and alternate plans had to be made for two of them. Maximum capacity has been established at eight.

Mr. and Mrs. William J. Peterson were selected as the houseparents and Mr. Charles Norris as the counselor for the home. We found the same thing true at Cherry as previously discovered at Winthrop; namely, that more professional service was necessary in order to effect the resocialization of the boys and also help them and their parents to understand each other in a much better fashion. Dr. Andrew Glatter, who was appointed chief psychologist in September, 1972, became involved in the Cherry Group Home program. Dr. Henry Hartman, who has been the Court's psychiatrist since 1953, is very involved in the Group Home program. Each and every boy who is considered for admission is interviewed by Dr. Hartman as the final step in the approval process. Each month there is a staff conference at the Group Home, which is attended by Dr. Hartman, psychiatrist; Dr. Andrew Glatter, psychologist; Mr. George Ryan, counselor; Mr. and Mrs. Peterson, the houseparents, and the Court director.

Inasmuch as the home has been in operation only a few months, it is not possible to evaluate the entire program at this time.

William and Georgia Peterson
Group Home Parents

Charles Norris
Group Home Counselor

Volunteer Program

For the past year, the Lucas County Family Court Volunteer Program has been making headway in the delicate area of juvenile probation.

We have had great success in using our volunteers in rehabilitative roles for delinquent and unruly children.

In the year 1972, several related programs evolved from the basic Volunteer Probation Counselor Program. They include:

A Charm and Self-Improvement Course for the girls detained in C.S.I. is offered weekly to the girls.

Discussion Groups are also offered to the girls in C.S.I. on a weekly basis. This consists of role playing and a general airing of ideas pertinent to the girls in detention.

Another volunteer is a switchboard operator, at the Court, two mornings a week. She also spends another morning a week in the girls' section of C.S.I., teaching handicrafts to the girls not attending school.

Some of the volunteers have indicated an interest in becoming involved in the Court's Foster Care Program and in doing volunteer work at the Court's Group Homes.

The program is in the process of establishing a Case Aid system in which a volunteer and a Court counselor would use a team approach in working with one particular child.

Some of the new techniques implemented in 1972 to aid the volunteers included:

"Buzz" Groups – in which the volunteers met in their particular area of residence to discuss the problems they were having and to "feedback" ideas to other volunteers.

Newsletter – published monthly, to better communication within the total program.

Monthly Meetings - for the exchange of ideas and discussion of new approaches for expanding services.

In 1972, we had 44 new recruits into the program, bringing our total number of volunteers to 61. The Volunteer Program has served 54 children on probation, and approximately 9,500 volunteer hours have been given.

The "repeater" statistics for children on probation/supervision to volunteers have been encouraging. In 1972, 6 (21%) boys were repeaters, and only 6 (23%) girls repeated.

Figuratively speaking, the use of Volunteer Probation Counselors earned the Court a savings of \$38,000 for the year 1972. This is determined by multiplying volunteer man hours (9,500) by \$4.00 per hour.

Volunteer Program
(continued)

In 1973, the program will be finalizing the first Volunteer Training Manual, to supplement the training sessions.


Another new aspect of the program will be "Staffings", in which a volunteer may request a time for detailed discussion of a specific problem area he is encountering with his case. The panel involved in this procedure will consist of the Court's Volunteer Services staff, two of the Court's probation counselors, and two other volunteers. We are sure that the "Staffing" procedure will prove to be a valuable learning technique.

Personnel changes in the Volunteer Department, during 1972, included the appointment of Mark D. Smith, Coordinator of Volunteer Services. Marjorie Lefler has joined the program as Consultant to the Volunteer Program.

Mark D. Smith, Coordinator
Marjorie Lefler, Consultant

1970
CENSUS TRACTS
TOLEDO
URBAN AREA

TOLEDO-LUCAS COUNTY
PLAN COMMISSIONERS



Residence of Individual Children Involved in Offenses*

“City Areas”

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Dorr Area:</p> <p>Offenses 614</p> <p>Children 462</p> <p>(Boys 304; Girls 158)</p> | <p>Longfellow Area:</p> <p>Offenses 105</p> <p>Children 88</p> <p>(Boys 61; Girls 27)</p> |
| <p>Old West End Area:</p> <p>Offenses 543</p> <p>Children 404</p> <p>(Boys 257; Girls 147)</p> | <p>Heatherdowns-Beverly Area:</p> <p>Offenses 104</p> <p>Children 83</p> <p>(Boys 63; Girls 20)</p> |
| <p>South Side Area:</p> <p>Offenses 296</p> <p>Children 229</p> <p>(Boys 150; Girls 79)</p> | <p>Mayfair Area:</p> <p>Offenses 96</p> <p>Children 78</p> <p>(Boys 58; Girls 20)</p> |
| <p>Lagrange-Stickney Area:</p> <p>Offenses 240</p> <p>Children 196</p> <p>(Boys 143; Girls 53)</p> | <p>DeVeaux Area:</p> <p>Offenses 65</p> <p>Children 55</p> <p>(Boys 34; Girls 21)</p> |
| <p>East Toledo Area:</p> <p>Offenses 232</p> <p>Children 192</p> <p>(Boys 130; Girls 62)</p> | <p>Airport Highway Area:</p> <p>Offenses 48</p> <p>Children 39</p> <p>(Boys 26; Girls 13)</p> |
| <p>North End Area:</p> <p>Offenses 208</p> <p>Children 154</p> <p>(Boys 103; Girls 51)</p> | <p>Point Place Area:</p> <p>Offenses 44</p> <p>Children 36</p> <p>(Boys 21; Girls 15)</p> |
| <p>Tribby Area:</p> <p>Offenses 163</p> <p>Children 140</p> <p>(Boys 95; Girls 45)</p> | <p>Talmadge Area:</p> <p>Offenses 41</p> <p>Children 26</p> <p>(Boys 16; Girls 10)</p> |
| <p>Parkside Area:</p> <p>Offenses 153</p> <p>Children 121</p> <p>(Boys 95; Girls 26)</p> | <p>Old Orchard Area:</p> <p>Offenses 30</p> <p>Children 25</p> <p>(Boys 15; Girls 10)</p> |
| <p>Reynolds Corners Area:</p> <p>Offenses 152</p> <p>Children 122</p> <p>(Boys 82; Girls 40)</p> | <p>Fort Industry Area:</p> <p>Offenses 16</p> <p>Children 13</p> <p>(Boys 5; Girls 8)</p> |
| <p>Birmingham Area:</p> <p>Offenses 144</p> <p>Children 109</p> <p>(Boys 70; Girls 39)</p> | <p>Central Business District:</p> <p>Offenses 2</p> <p>Children 2</p> <p>(Boys 1; Girls 1)</p> |

Residence of Individual Children Involved in Offenses*

"County Areas"

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Sylvania:</p> <p>Offenses 171</p> <p>Children 137</p> <p>(Boys 94; Girls 43)</p> | <p>Swanton Township:</p> <p>Offenses 20</p> <p>Children 17</p> <p>(Boys 15; Girls 2)</p> |
| <p>Oregon:</p> <p>Offenses 132</p> <p>Children 105</p> <p>(Boys 86; Girls 19)</p> | <p>Harding Township:</p> <p>Offenses 13</p> <p>Children 10</p> <p>(Boys 5; Girls 5)</p> |
| <p>Springfield Township:</p> <p>Offenses 95</p> <p>Children 78</p> <p>(Boys 59; Girls 19)</p> | <p>Monclova Township:</p> <p>Offenses 11</p> <p>Children 10</p> <p>(Boys 4; Girls 6)</p> |
| <p>Maumee:</p> <p>Offenses 91</p> <p>Children 76</p> <p>(Boys 51; Girls 25)</p> | <p>Ottawa Hills:</p> <p>Offenses 8</p> <p>Children 7</p> <p>(Boys 6; Girls 1)</p> |
| <p>Spencer Township:</p> <p>Offenses 32</p> <p>Children 22</p> <p>(Boys 18; Girls 4)</p> | <p>Richfield Township:</p> <p>Offenses 2</p> <p>Children 2</p> <p>(Boys 2; Girls 0)</p> |
| <p>Waterville Township:</p> <p>Offenses 31</p> <p>Children 26</p> <p>(Boys 13; Girls 13)</p> | <p>Providence Township:</p> <p>Offenses 1</p> <p>Children 1</p> <p>(Boys 1; Girls 0)</p> |
| <p>Jerusalem Township:</p> <p>Offenses 21</p> <p>Children 18</p> <p>(Boys 15; Girls 3)</p> | <p>Residence out of Lucas County:</p> <p>Offenses 192</p> <p>Children 184</p> <p>(Boys 123; Girls 61)</p> |
| | <p>Total Offenses 4,116</p> <p>(Does not include "Out-of-County" Runaways)</p> |

Total Individual Children Registered

| Boys | Girls | Total |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 2,221 | 1,046 | 3,267 |

Areas and Schools Attended

(See map, page 26)

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Old West End (483)</p> <p>Scott H.S. 291</p> <p>Macomber Voc. H.S. 50</p> <p>Central Catholic H.S. 27</p> <p>Glenwood 55</p> <p>Fulton 33</p> <p>Warren 13</p> <p>Luella Cummings 6</p> <p>St. Mary's 3</p> <p>Rosary Cathedral 2</p> <p>St. Angela's Hall 2</p> <p>Larc Lane Spec. 1</p> <p>Lagrange-Stickney (308)</p> <p>Woodward H.S. 160</p> <p>Parkland 49</p> <p>Sherman 34</p> <p>Hamilton 31</p> <p>Spring 17</p> <p>Cherry 11</p> <p>St. Hedwig 4</p> <p>St. Vincent de Paul 1</p> <p>St. Adalbert 1</p> <p>South Side (263)</p> <p>Libbey H.S. 188</p> <p>Jones 37</p> <p>Walbridge 14</p> <p>Marshall 7</p> <p>Burroughs 6</p> <p>Arlington 5</p> <p>St. Peter & Paul 3</p> <p>Immaculate Conception 2</p> <p>Mt. Vernon 1</p> <p>Trilby (202)</p> <p>Whitmer H.S. 121</p> <p>Jefferson Jr. H.S. 32</p> <p>Washington Jr. H.S. 32</p> <p>McGregor 4</p> <p>Trilby 4</p> <p>Hopewell 3</p> <p>Westwood 3</p> <p>Jackman 1</p> <p>Meadowvale 1</p> <p>St. Clement 1</p> <p>Birmingham (181)</p> <p>Waite H.S. 135</p> <p>Birmingham 24</p> <p>Garfield 16</p> <p>St. Stephen 3</p> <p>Holy Rosary 1</p> <p>Sacred Heart 1</p> <p>Heffner Spec. 1</p> | <p>Dorr Area (168)</p> <p>Whitney Voc. H.S. 16</p> <p>Robinson Jr. H.S. 52</p> <p>Gunckel 34</p> <p>Pickett 32</p> <p>Lincoln 11</p> <p>Stewart 11</p> <p>Martin L. King 6</p> <p>Washington 3</p> <p>St. Teresa 2</p> <p>St. Ann 1</p> <p>Reynolds Corners (140)</p> <p>Rogers H.S. 95</p> <p>McTigue Jr. H.S. 35</p> <p>Fall-Meyer 3</p> <p>Hawkins 3</p> <p>Keyser 3</p> <p>Ryder 1</p> <p>Oregon (124)</p> <p>Clay H.S. 65</p> <p>Cardinal Stritch H.S. 16</p> <p>Eisenhower Jr. H.S. 18</p> <p>Fassett Jr. H.S. 18</p> <p>Clay Elem. 2</p> <p>Jay Shuer (Spec.) 2</p> <p>Coy 1</p> <p>Starr 1</p> <p>Wynn 1</p> <p>Sylvania (110)</p> <p>Sylvania H.S. 81</p> <p>Arbor Hills Jr. H.S. 12</p> <p>McCord Jr. H.S. 7</p> <p>Sylvan 4</p> <p>Hillview 3</p> <p>Stranahan 2</p> <p>Maplewood 1</p> <p>Longfellow (109)</p> <p>DeVilbiss H.S. 94</p> <p>Longfellow 8</p> <p>St. Catherine 6</p> <p>St. Agnes 1</p> <p>Airport Highway (84)</p> <p>Springfield H.S. 49</p> <p>Springfield Jr. H.S. 23</p> <p>St. John's H.S. 8</p> <p>Reynolds 3</p> <p>Holland Elem. 1</p> |
|---|--|

Areas and Schools Attended (Continued)

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------|--|--------------|
| DeVeaux | (78) | Waterville Township | (30) |
| Start H.S. | 72 | Anthony Wayne H.S. | 23 |
| DeVeaus | 4 | Fallen Timbers Jr. H.S. | 7 |
| Blessed Sacrament | 2 | | |
| Maumee | (72) | Talmadge | (27) |
| Maumee H.S. | 42 | St. Ursula Academy | 9 |
| Gateway Middle | 21 | Notre Dame Academy | 8 |
| Union | 3 | Monac | 5 |
| Fort Miami | 2 | Christ the King | 3 |
| Miami Children's Center | 2 | Lincolnshire | 1 |
| Wayne Trail | 1 | Mary Immaculate | 1 |
| St. Joseph | | | |
| East Toledo | (70) | Spencer Township | (19) |
| Raymer | 20 | S.S. Local H.S. | 18 |
| Oakdale | 15 | Irwin Elem. | 1 |
| Navarre | 14 | | |
| Franklin | 10 | Old Orchard | (12) |
| E. Side Central | 7 | Old Orchard | 7 |
| Good Shepherd | 2 | McKinley | 5 |
| St. Thomas | | | |
| Tracy (Spec.) | | Point Place | (12) |
| Heatherdowns-Beverly | (66) | Point Place | 8 |
| Bowsher H.S. | 51 | Ottawa River | 2 |
| Maumee Valley C.D.H.S. | 2 | Edgewater | 1 |
| McAuley H.S. | 3 | St. John's Elem | 1 |
| Harvard | 3 | | |
| Our Lady of Perpetual Help | 2 | Springfield Township | (7) |
| Trinity Lutheran | 2 | Dorr | 4 |
| Glenn | 1 | Central Avenue | 2 |
| Heatherdowns | 1 | Crissey Elem | 1 |
| St. Patrick's | | | |
| Parkside | (63) | Ottawa Hills | (5) |
| St. Francis de Sales H.S. | 14 | | |
| Nathan Hale | 35 | Other | (87) |
| Gesu | 8 | Swanton Schools | 19 |
| Bancroft Hills | 4 | Jefferson Center | 16 |
| St. Hyacinth | 1 | Penta County | 15 |
| St. Jude | 1 | Cotter | 15 |
| | | Florence Crittenton | 6 |
| North End | (53) | P.E.P. | 4 |
| Riverside | 25 | Project Step | 4 |
| Lagrange | 20 | Beauty College | 2 |
| Stickney | 4 | B.V.R. | 1 |
| Chase | 2 | Lott Sheltered Workshop | 1 |
| St. Francis de Sales Elem. | 2 | Cooking School | 1 |
| | | Medical College of Ohio | 1 |
| Mayfair | (32) | Home Tutor | 2 |
| Whittier | 21 | Lucas County | |
| Greenwood | 5 | Att: Out-of-County | 12 |
| Mayfair | 5 | NotAtt: Graduated | 19 |
| Northwood | 1 | Not Attending | 247 |
| | | Residence out of Lucas Co. | 184 |
| | | Total Individual Children | 3,267 |

Dr. Henry Hartman, Consultant Psychiatrist, Reports

This report for the year 1972 is being written just as I am finishing twenty years of service to the Family Court Center as consulting psychiatrist. Although I am at the Center only two days a week, this has become one of the chief interests about which my professional life revolves, and I am deeply grateful for the privilege of continuing to serve. The dedication with which all of my co-workers, in every department and at every level, approach the Herculean and frequently frustrating task of trying to cope with the rising tide of disturbed children, and only too often their equally disturbed parents, is a source of inspiration on which very few people have the opportunity to draw. It seems quite probable to me that those who work in this institution daily are so accustomed to the deep devotion which all these involved bring to their labors that it does not strike them with the same impact that it does one who comes to it afresh twice every week. The constant search for new and fresh approaches to the solution of the problems which these children present, in the face of recurrently inadequate funds and apparent public apathy, poses a repeated challenge to ingenuity and creativity. This past year has been spent largely in consolidating the gains we have made in some of these new programs, while attempting to grapple with some of the theoretical implications inherent in other proposed approaches before recommending that we commit some of our meager resources to trying them. Certainly we are going to have to continue to try them. It is my impression, although I do not have the figures to substantiate this statistically, that a greater proportion of the children we are seeing are more seriously disturbed than those we have seen in the past. We are seeing more children for whom there are no available treatment modalities, even if we had the funds to avail ourselves of them. We are seeing more children who need services which do not exist. I feel that in the future we are going to have to find some way to get the time to think through the problems these children present, devise some solutions to these problems, and then convince citizens who have to foot the bills of the urgent necessity of providing these services.

A glance at the figures appended to this report will show that there has been a definite trend developing in the use of the psychiatrist's services over the past few years. This is in the direction of increased amounts of time spent in consultation with staff at all levels, and a corresponding lessening of time spent in direct service to children. It is the writer's impression that this is a much more productive use of his time, and a correspondingly larger number of clients benefit from it. This shift has been more easily made during this past year because of the addition of Dr. Andrew Glatter to the staff as director of the Department of Psychology. Also, we continued to offer training to Residents from the Department of Psychiatry of the Medical College, who in turn lent their expertise to examination of children. During the past year the writer addressed a gathering of foster home parents during the course of a one-day workshop, and also addressed Volunteer Probation Counselors during their training period. Away from the Court I have continued my annual participation in the in-service training program of the Michigan Juvenile Court workers, as well as addressing the Domestic Relations section of the Ohio Correctional Workers Association. I have continued to serve on the clinical faculty of the Medical College, and in August commenced an association as Consultant to the Court Diagnostic and Treatment Center.

Dr. Hartman's Report
(continued)

As we look ahead to 1973 we see new challenges which must be met. These will be not only along the lines already alluded to, but will be posed by the activation of the Youth Services Bureau. If this agency functions as visualized, it will hopefully serve to divert from our rolls large numbers of children now adjudged unruly, and allow us, possibly for the first time, to see what can be done for the seriously delinquent child when the full resources of the Court can be mobilized to serve him. This is an exciting prospect and I hope we can be prepared to meet it.

Psychiatric Services

| | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
|---|------|------|------|
| Conferences with Probation Counselors | 111 | 120 | 115 |
| Interviews with Clients | 56 | 78 | 100 |
| Conferences with Custody Department | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Leadership at Staff Meetings: | | | |
| Domestic Relations | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| Child Study Institute | 25 | 21 | 23 |
| Placement Department | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Probation Counselors | 17 | 11 | 1 |
| Supervision of Psychiatric Residents | 0 | 10 | 14 |
| Conferences with Group Home Staff | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| Conferences with Staff | 24 | 7 | 7 |
| Conferences with Others | 12 | 8 | 1 |
| Interviews with Applicants | 7 | 5 | 5 |
| Court Appearance | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| | 259 | 271 | 300 |

Dr. Andrew N. Glatter, Chief Psychologist Reports . . .

After a hiatus of three and a half years the Psychology Department resumed its functioning, as of September 5, 1972, under the supervision of the writer.

Traditional functions of Psychology Departments usually include teaching, evaluation, treatment, research, training, and planning for prevention. Even during the last four months of 1972, at least some of these functions had been undertaken or attempts had been made to plan for their implementation. Eighty-seven complete diagnostic psychological test batteries had been administered and evaluations written thereon. These batteries always include major IQ tests, as well as objective and projective instruments for the evaluation of emotional, personality, and adjustment problems present. Additionally, some aptitude and achievement measures are given, together with tests to evaluate the possibility of organicity. Special attention has been paid to a delineation of true versus pseudo-retardation and special instruments have been used to measure the possibility of culturally-induced retardation, meaning that which can be caused by extremely poor scholastic achievement and which in turn can be the result of being socio-economically disadvantaged.

In addition to the above, twenty-three reports had been written on the results of special consultations with probation counselors and/or children under their supervision and the families of these children. These conferences were highly beneficial and usually resulted in decisions for the children at Child Study Institute that were the most beneficial for them and agreeable to parents, the Court, as well as to the probation counselors.

This writer also participated with Dr. Henry Hartman, Consultant Psychiatrist, in conferences and meetings held with the male and the female floor leaders as well as with the members of the Placement Department, and with the department of Domestic Relations. Also, the monthly meetings of the administrative staff were attended. This writer also delivered lectures to and met with members of the Volunteer Probation Counselors and with visiting students from various universities, on a tour of the Child Study Institute. Also, since the inception of our weekly training sessions with visiting staff members of the Adlerian Institute, this writer attended those full-day institutes or training seminars, with special attention given to possible future discussions of the content of these lectures, with Child Study Institute floor leaders, probation counselors, etc. This writer also met, approximately once per month, with the supervisory staff of the Child Study Institute leaders, in an attempt to institute new evaluation techniques and programs and child-handling techniques which are now getting underway.

A new program of therapy for parents was also initiated. A total of sixteen sessions, eight each, for two separate groups, have been held so far. These are two-hour group therapy sessions, held at night, at the Child Study Institute, with four to five couples participating in each group in an attempt to resolve problems and difficulties that parents have with their children and thereby reduce recidivism. So far the results have been highly gratifying and we learned that not only do these sessions help in bringing about a more harmonious relationship between parents and their children but that even the marriage partners themselves say that they have benefitted in a heightened awareness of their own difficulties and in a resolu-

Dr. Glatter's Report
(continued)

tion of these via more amicable and open lines of communication. A proposal has been submitted to the Ohio Youth Commission for a grant to continue such group therapy sessions with the parents of delinquent children in a more intensified manner.

In the planning stage for 1973 are group therapy sessions for the boys in the Cherry Group Home as well as with the parents of these boys. This writer is taking an active role in serving as consultant to the houseparents and counselors of the Cherry Group Home.

Looking at the results of the four months activities of the Psychology Department during 1972, it is already apparent that the children had suffered without a psychologist at the Court during the past three and a half years and that this is now being remedied. For example, those children who showed signs of serious emotional illness have been referred to Childrens' Psychiatric Hospitals. Too, those children who were so severely retarded that they could hardly be called responsible for their actions, have been referred to appropriate institutions. Also, the matter of rehabilitation has been given careful attention and an analysis of the children's aptitudes and interests have been incorporated in the reports of this writer with the view that appropriate referrals be made to rehabilitation agencies, for future schooling or training or job placement.

Medical Department Report

Submitted by I. H. Kass, M.D.

The medical clinic staff in the Child Study Institute consists of a pediatrician and nursing personnel. As in past years, medical services are available to all children in the institute on a 24-hour daily basis.

All incoming children are screened for signs of illness or contagious diseases. Where indicated, complete physical examinations and laboratory tests are performed. These records and results are available to counselors, interested agencies, court officials and private physicians.

With the cooperation of the Medical College of Ohio in Toledo, children with acute drug abuse problems are referred for diagnosis and toxicology studies. Excellent working arrangements continue to exist between our medical clinic and various social and medical agencies for followup care.

The medical department's pediatrician during the past year attended a number of medical meetings locally and out of the city, to avail himself of current advances in medicine.

We are indebted to members of the Toledo Police Department for their fine cooperation in transporting sick and injured children to hospitals. The Toledo Health Department continues to assist us with prompt and reliable laboratory procedures.

Pertinent Medical Statistics for 1972

Examinations and treatments total: 4,040

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------|----|
| Dental referrals | 26 | EEG | 1 |
| Visual defects | 143 | Special Lab. tests | 41 |
| Throat infections | 84 | Out Patient Clinic | 28 |
| Skin infections | 60 | Doctors Office | 28 |
| Pelvic infections | 111 | Emergency Room | 29 |
| Pregnancies detected in C.S.I. | 12 | Hospital | 1 |
| Gonorrhea | 17 | | |

Child Study Institute

During 1972 the concept of community based agency and volunteer services for detained children expanded far beyond our expectations. The major goal of many of these services was to acquaint children, on a person-to-person basis, with those individuals, in addition to their counselor, who were willing to help them work through a program of change following release from C.S.I. Prior to the last few months of 1970 most detention programs operated by community agencies and volunteers were aimed at reaching children while they were a captive audience and attempting to effect some type of behavior change. The major stumbling block to the success of many of these creative programs continued to be the termination of contact following a child's release back to his home. However, as can be noted in the annual report, for the past two years the situation has changed radically.

Some thirty boys were placed in Boy Scout troops around the city following their release from C.S.I. during the year. This was a result of the combined efforts of the local Scouting office (Rick Statlander) and Volunteer men from the Toledo Exchange Club, organized by Ken Marshall. Boys were able to earn various merit badges and initiate special projects at a time when their interest might be waning.

Community outreach counselors for the Y.M.C.A. under the direction of William Johnson involved our boys in a variety of outside projects and encouraged them to maintain their contacts when they returned to the community. There was a free and easy approach to the boys with no attempt to sell them on the idea of organized activities and/or sports. Much of the responsibility for future contact was left directly with the children but the encouragement and interest was there for them to see.

The Y.W.C.A., under the guidance of Mary Lee Ritske, Mary Smith and their volunteers, provided special programs inside C.S.I. twice each week and opened their facilities to our detained girls every other week-end. They provided all needed supervision as well as variety of activities. During the twenty-six trips involving eight to twelve girls, that were made between the two buildings during the year, only two girls used the opportunity to run. As of December, 1972 three of our girls who could not return to their own homes are residents at the Y.W.C.A.

Mrs. Joanne Shapler, in addition to her duties as ceramic teacher, was appointed C.S.I. Librarian during 1972 and was able to secure book shelves from the Carpenter's Union which were built into the dining room. The large volume of donated books were coded and made available to children on a regular basis. The Toledo Lucas County Library involved six staff persons on a scattered time schedule to provide services to our children. Movies, slides, group discussions and reading hours were organized on a weekly basis.

The "Young Life" group of concerned young adults revived the forgotten influence of music therapy in a confined setting. Faithfully each week they made it possible for many children to channel a good deal of frustration and anxiety into acceptable outlets. Also, some of the group have applied to the volunteer counselors and teachers in C.S.I.

Of course it should be noted here that these projects were also successful because of the high degree of C.S.I. staff participation and interest. Without this co-

Child Study Institute
(continued)

operation our achievements would have been minimal. It should be remembered here that all these special programs were conducted in addition to the regular C.S.I. programs, which are implemented by the staff.

One of the highlights of the year was the agreement reached with the Toledo Board of Education to operate our entire educational program during regular school hours. Joe Christen was appointed Principal in September following the retirement of the grand lady - Bess Campbell. Leone Himeline continued as grade school teacher while Stephen Kolinski, Tom Daniels and Mr. Christen handled the high school subjects. Children continued to be taught at their own learning level and received full attendance and grade credit from their own schools in the community. Wayne Haefner and June Taylor continued their fine efforts in woodship and ceramics respectively.

We wish also to recognize the following community agencies who conducted active programming in C.S.I. throughout most of 1972, and are continuing their efforts: League of City Mothers; Exchange Club; "Community Outreach" Program; Y.W.C.A.; Toledo Lucas County Library; Toledo Area Boy Scouts; Toledo Federation of Musicians; Toledo and Lucas County Boards of Education; Toledo Health Department; Toledo Area Big Brothers; Toledo University; Medical College of Ohio; Toledo Lucas County Safety Council; Toledo Catholic Charities and Toledo Council of Churches.

Finally, it is to be noted that thanks to the cooperation of local hospitals, especially Medical College and St. Vincent, it was not necessary to attempt to deal medically with children in detention who were involved in some type of drug abuse. All such cases were accepted by one of the hospitals regardless of delinquent acts involved. Follow-ups occurred only after medical discharge and recommendations.

Lawrence P. Murphy

Charles J. Hinkelman

Child Study Institute Previously in C.S.I.

| Month | Boys | Girls | Total |
|--------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| January | 110 | 41 | 151 |
| February | 97 | 34 | 131 |
| March | 132 | 51 | 183 |
| April | 112 | 40 | 152 |
| May | 122 | 47 | 169 |
| June | 119 | 47 | 166 |
| July | 108 | 25 | 133 |
| August | 92 | 31 | 123 |
| September | 98 | 45 | 143 |
| October | 117 | 33 | 150 |
| November | 108 | 33 | 141 |
| December | 87 | 36 | 123 |
| Total | 1,302 | 463 | 1,765 |

Registrations and Temporary Releases Total Registrations

| Month | Boys | Girls | Total |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| January | 203 | 94 | 297 |
| February | 177 | 83 | 260 |
| March | 234 | 105 | 339 |
| April | 242 | 86 | 328 |
| May | 191 | 94 | 285 |
| June | 205 | 86 | 291 |
| July | 201 | 74 | 275 |
| August | 165 | 77 | 242 |
| September | 163 | 70 | 233 |
| October | 193 | 92 | 285 |
| November | 190 | 72 | 262 |
| December | 127 | 69 | 196 |
| Total | 2,291 | 1,002 | 3,293 |
| Less Children Detained | 1,276 | 446 | 1,722 |
| Actually Detained | 1,015 | 556 | 1,571 |

Child Study Institute Average Daily Population

| Month | Boys | Girls | Total |
|---|------|-------|-------|
| January | 35 | 32 | 67 |
| February | 33 | 33 | 66 |
| March | 38 | 35 | 73 |
| April | 46 | 34 | 80 |
| May | 45 | 31 | 76 |
| June | 36 | 23 | 59 |
| July | 44 | 21 | 65 |
| August | 39 | 27 | 66 |
| September | 45 | 31 | 76 |
| October | 48 | 23 | 71 |
| November | 44 | 22 | 66 |
| December | 42 | 25 | 67 |
| Average for 1972 | 41 | 28 | 69 |
| Average for 1971 | 39 | 33 | 72 |
| Number of days population exceeded capacity in 1972 | | | |
| Boys 45; Girls 175 | | | |

Ages of Children Registered

| | Boys | Girls | Total |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 8 years and under | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| 9 | 7 | - | 7 |
| 10 | 26 | 3 | 29 |
| 11 | 29 | 9 | 38 |
| 12 | 78 | 27 | 105 |
| 13 | 176 | 106 | 282 |
| 14 | 287 | 195 | 482 |
| 15 | 475 | 250 | 725 |
| 16 | 582 | 239 | 821 |
| 17 | 607 | 167 | 774 |
| 18 | 21 | 5 | 26 |
| Total | 2,291 | 1,002 | 3,293 |

Median Age, 1972: Boys 15 years, 6 months; Girls 15 years, 2 months

Median Age, 1971: Boys 15 years, 7 months; Girls 15 years, 5 months

Domestic Relations — Marriage Counseling

Within the legal framework of Ohio statutory law and within the rules of court of the Domestic Relations Division of the Lucas County Court of Common Pleas the staff of the Domestic Relations Division continues to work to implement the intent of Ohio Law.

The changes occurring in statutory family law reflect the changing social concepts in family life. During 1972 the Domestic Relations Division continued to work under the provisions of Rule 75 - Ohio Rules of civil procedure - which modified the mandatory divorce investigation statute as provided for in Section 3105.08 Ohio Revised Code. Rule 75 provides that it is within the discretion of the court to require "investigations" if minor children are involved in divorce litigation. Lucas County Court of Domestic Relations, as is true of all Domestic Relations Courts in the larger Ohio metropolitan communities, continues to make referral to counseling and/or investigation mandatory by rule of Court if there are children under 14.

Divorce actions which involve children under 14 years of age are automatically assigned to the counseling staff for evaluation and recommendation to the bench.

Other litigated cases assigned to counseling by request of the bench, of an attorney, or by the client himself, include: 1) Special divorce investigations - no children, or children over 14; 2) Middle-aged or older couples who have filed for divorce, but by whom divorce is being pursued with obvious reservation; 3) Special custody problems arising during litigation; 4) Litigated cases from other jurisdictions in which one of the litigants is living in Lucas County are referred for investigation and/or counseling. Reports and recommendations are forwarded to the inquiring court. This latter category is part of a reciprocal agreement between courts; Lucas County Domestic Relations Court is the beneficiary of this reciprocity in a number of situations where one of the parties to the action (and sometimes the minor children of the parties) is living in the jurisdiction of another Court.

Special non-litigated cases comprise the pre-litigation counseling caseload. In these situations clients contemplating divorce are referred to counseling by their attorneys, by other courts or other professional persons, or come self-referred to evaluate the marital problem and to make a determination as to whether or not divorce is the desirable solution. This pre-litigation effort represents a highly valuable service, but only a limited number of such cases can be accepted because of staff limitations.

A further legal provision in Ohio law with a bearing on the counseling effort is found in 3117 Ohio Revised Code which provides for a conciliation procedure. The intent of this section is fulfilled by the counseling division in that careful evaluation is made in each case — whether litigated or non-litigated — as to the possibility of a reconciliation effort.

Domestic Relations - Marriage Counseling (continued)

Other modifications in family law establish a framework within which the counselors work professionally; these modifications are witness to the growing awareness, legally, of the importance of family relationship as supporting to a healthy society. For example: House Bill 163, amending sections 3109.05 thru 3109.11 Ohio Revised Code clarified such matters as responsibility of both parents to support; defined more specifically the inter-relatedness of a non-custodial parent's right to companionship and visitation if he has failed to support; established, in law, rights of a child's extended family members to have access to a child - i.e., - Section 3109.11 - "if either the father or mother of an unmarried minor child is deceased, the relatives of such deceased person *may be granted* reasonable companionship and visitation rights . . . by a court of competent jurisdiction upon a *finding* . . . that such rights would be in the best interests of the minor child."

The counseling effort, working within the legal framework, uses many methods: - the one-to-one counseling situation, joint counseling sessions; family counseling in which one or both parents and one or more of the children may become involved. This professional effort forms the basis for careful recommendations to the bench. By statutory provision the counselor is subject to cross-examination at the time of court hearing to professionally support his recommendation.

The domestic relations counselors use referral to other community agencies such as Toledo Mental Hygiene Clinic, the private family agencies, and to private professional services where such referral will assist in solving family problems or lend continuing supportive therapy to families needing such help.

The counseling report to the bench helps give assurance -- particularly in uncontested cases (which today comprise the majority of divorce actions) that decisions are not made unilaterally. It helps to assure that the interests of both parties, and especially the interests of the children, have been given careful thought and consideration. In addition, the counseling service, by finding solutions to problems within the family group prior to final hearing, makes a substantial contribution to the effort of keeping the court docket current.

Counseling skills of the staff are up-dated by staff study intra-murally and by activity in professional organizations. Monthly staff meetings are scheduled with case presentation and case discussion under the supervision of the court psychiatrist Dr. Henry Hartman.

The work of the department is implemented to a very high degree by the professional involvement of the secretarial staff who work with sensitivity with troubled clients, with the attorneys involved in the active caseload, and with the staff generally. The volume of work increases each year. Without the skill and dedication of these staff members the work of the department could not proceed as effectively as it does.

The tables following suggest the efforts made and the results achieved in protecting values to children, to families, and to individuals; they imply the close working relationship between counselors, secretarial staff, and attorneys as officers of the court, working with the bench for the best interests of the citizens and the community at large.

Domestic Relations - Marriage Counseling (continued)

Table No. 1
Domestic Relations - Legal Actions
(A Comparative Study)

| | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
|---|-------|-------|-------|
| Divorce complaints pending before the court January 1 | 2,509 | 2,746 | 2,999 |
| Total new divorce complaints filed | 3,568 | 3,704 | 4,115 |
| Total complaints before the court during the year | 6,077 | 6,450 | 7,114 |
| Uncontested cases terminated | | | 2,185 |
| (1970 - 1971 not available) | | | |
| Contested cases terminated | | | 209 |
| (1970 - 1971 not available) | | | |
| Cases dismissed | | | 2,028 |
| (1970 - 1971 not available) | | | |
| Total complaints disposed of | 3,337 | 3,779 | 4,422 |
| Total cases pending before the court January 1, 1972 | | | 2,692 |

- Note:**
1. 307 fewer cases pending 1/1/73 than 1/1/72
 2. Number of hearing on motions during pendency of complaints:
 - By Judge 205
 - By Domestic Relations Referees 10,379
 - Total hearings on motions 10,379
 3. From 7/31/72 to 3/5/73 - of the 2,325 new complaints filed - 248 were filed by Toledo Legal Aid; 232 of the 248 complaints filed by Legal Aid were cases where plaintiff was on ADC grant.

Table No. 2
Record of Final Disposition of Legal Actions
(Comparative Figures)

| | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Divorce petitions granted | 1,971 | 2,094 | 2,390 |
| (For 1972, see 4 annulments granted below) | | | |
| Divorce petitions dismissed | 1,360 | 1,677 | 2,026 |
| (For 1972, see 2 petitions denied below) | | | |
| Divorce petitions denied | — | 3 | 2 |
| Divorce petitions annulments granted | — | 5 | 4 |
| Total cases disposed of by the court | 3,331 | 3,779 | 4,422 |

Domestic Relations - Marriage Counseling (continued)

Table No. 3
Cases Active in Counseling and/or Investigations During 1972

| | |
|--|-------|
| 1. Cases active in counseling as of 1/1/72 | 2,509 |
| 2. Total new litigated cases assigned for counseling and/or investigation <i>(296 more litigated cases assigned to counseling in 1972 than in 1971)</i> | 2,560 |
| 3. Total minor (non-litigated) cases which received not more than two counseling sessions each during 1972. This includes post-litigation, pre-litigation, and post-divorce custody problems | 753 |
| 4. Total cases receiving counseling or investigative service | 5,822 |
| 5. Total <i>major</i> and <i>minor</i> cases closed in 1972 | 3,448 |
| 6. Total major and minor cases pending in counseling 1/1/73 | 2,374 |

Table No. 4
**Classification of Cases Assigned for Investigation
And/or Counseling in Domestic Relations
(A Comparison)**

| | 1971 | 1972 |
|--|-------|-------|
| 1. Divorce investigations as provided for under Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure - Rule 75 - and by Rule of Court; - litigated cases involving children under 14. These cases include special evaluation with respect to reconciliation possibilities; counseling work towards reconciliation of marital problems; special efforts to resolve custody problems..... | 2,200 | 2,523 |
| 2. Special divorce counseling - no children under 14, but cases referred for counseling service by the court, by attorneys, or by the clients themselves | 21 | 23 |
| 3. Major pre-litigation marriage counseling service by attorney request | 2 | 9 |
| 4. Evaluation of litigated cases by request of Domestic Relations Courts of other communities (out-of-town inquiries - O. T. I.) under reciprocity agreement | 4 | 5 |
| 5. Total Cases | 2,227 | 2,560 |

Note: 333 more cases assigned to counseling in 1972 than in 1971.

Table No. 5
**Major Cases Assigned to Counselors
By the Month in 1972**

| Month | Legal Petitions Filed | Cases Assigned To Counselors |
|-----------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|
| January | 349 | 212 |
| February | 370 | 228 |
| March | 399 | 250 |
| April | 332 | 209 |
| May | 306 | 193 |
| June | 402 | 246 |
| July | 318 | 194 |
| August | 403 | 234 |
| September | 322 | 193 |
| October | 332 | 209 |
| November | 311 | 196 |
| December | 271 | 159 |
| Total | | 2,523 |

Note: 61+% (.613) of all major actions filed in 1972 were assigned for counseling or investigation. The percentage in 1971 was .618.

Domestic Relations - Marriage Counseling
(continued)

Table No. 6
Counseling Cases Closed in 1972
Classification of Cases Referred to Counseling or Investigation
That Were Closed in 1972
(Includes Litigated, Non-litigated and Minor Service Cases)

| | 1972 |
|---|-------|
| 1. Mandatory divorce investigation or counseling cases closed | 2,639 |
| 2. Special divorce investigation cases (no children under 14) | 31 |
| 3. Marriage counseling (pre-litigation cases closed) | 8 |
| 4. Post-divorce counseling cases closed | 4 |
| 5. Investigations of divorce cases being litigated in other jurisdictions (O.T.I.) | 9 |
| 6. Miscellaneous cases involving step-children, etc., closed | 4 |
| Total major cases (as above) closed 1972 | 2,695 |
| Total minor cases closed 1972 | 753 |
| Total cases closed | 3,448 |

(See Table No. 3 for carry-over 1/1/73 of 2,374 cases.)

Table No. 7
Recorded Counseling Conferences
As Shown by Appointments of Counseling Staff in 1972

| | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Total office conferences, by appointment, with clients, attorneys, family and children of litigants, and other persons involved with litigants in divorce proceedings | 5,165 |
| <i>(Compare above figure with 4,632 office conferences in 1971)</i> | |
| 2. Total collateral conferences regarding litigated cases: - home visits; <i>recorded</i> phone conferences with attorneys, other professional persons; with clients; conferences with school personnel, hospitals, other agencies, etc. | 7,002 |
| 3. Staff meetings with court psychiatrist, Dr. Henry Hartman | 10 |
| 4. Case conferences by <i>individual</i> counselors with court psychiatrist or <i>specific</i> problem cases | 7 |
| Total <i>recorded</i> appointments | 12,184 |

Domestic Relations - Marriage Counseling (continued)

Table No. 8
A Comparison of the Number of Divorce Petitions
Filed, Heard and Disposed of from 1962 to 1972

| Year | Divorce Complaints Filed | Divorce Complaints Heard | Complaints Granted | Complaints Dismissed | Denied | Annul ment |
|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------|---------------|
| 1962 | 2,096 | 1,241 | 1,180 | 815 | 9 | 6 |
| 1963 | 2,166 | 1,244 | 1,225 | 823 | 2 | 8 |
| 1964 | 2,354 | 1,385 | 1,313 | 910 | 3 | 6 |
| 1965 | 2,268 | 1,485 | 1,550 | 1,315 | 2 | 15 |
| 1966 | 2,733 | 1,457 | 1,432 | 1,476 | | 16 |
| 1967 | 2,658 | 1,669 | 1,625 | 888 | 2 | 5 |
| 1968 | 3,086 | 1,722 | 1,661 | 1,365 | 2 | 4 |
| 1969 | 3,366 | 1,820 | 1,847 | 1,207 | 0 | 0 |
| 1970 | 3,568 | 2,136 | 1,971 | 1,360 | 0 | 0 |
| 1971 | 3,704 | 2,138 | 2,094 | 1,677 | 3 | 5 |
| 1972 | 4,115 | 2,304 | 2,394 | 2,028 | 2 | 4 |

In the period from 1962 through 1972 the number of complaints *filed* almost doubled; the number of divorces *granted* more than doubled; the number dismissed in 1972 was almost two and a half times greater than in 1962.

The Lucas County Planning Commission reports Lucas County population as of 1/1/72 was 490,600; the estimated population in Lucas County as of 1/1/73 was 494,100. The projected count for county population as of 1/1/74 is 497,600.

Eve K. Richards, Supervisor
Domestic Relations Department

Custody Department

The Custody Department of Juvenile Court regularly handles three main categories of cases:

1. Change of Custody – actions of divorced parties seeking to change custody from one party to another.
2. Visitation and Companionship -- actions of civorced parties seeking change or enforcement of parties' rights to see the children.
3. Illegal Placement – adoption actions filed in Probate Court and certified to Juvenile Court for approval or disapproval of placement for adoption.

During 1972 the Custody Department had a 66% staff turnover. Daniel Sanders assumed the position of Custody Referee 1/23/72. The department had had no referee for over one month. Mr. Sanders remained until 6/30/72. J. Anthony Rudge was appointed as Custody Referee 6/26/72. Kathleen Tate, secretary to the Custody Referee, resigned and JoAnna Bieman replaced her on 8/6/72.

Mrs. Barbara Smith, Custody Investigator, remains in her very important position.

Because two Custody Referees handled the cases in the department in 1972, the following table is presented to show department activity for each referee during 1972. A comparison of 1971 and 1972 is shown at the far right of the table.

| Cases Heard | Ref. Sanders <i>1/23/72 to 6/30/72</i> | Ref. Rudge <i>6/26/72 to 12/31/72</i> | 1971 Filings | 1972 Filings |
|------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Custody | 51 | 77 | 179 | 149 |
| V and C | 31 | 58 | 98 | 111 |
| Illegal Placements | 11 | 23 | 26 | 30 |
| Department Status | | | | |
| Cases Pending | 153 | 233 | | |
| <i>(1/1/72)</i> | | | | |
| Cases Assigned | 130 | 160 | | |
| <i>(1972)</i> | | | | |
| Cases Terminated | 50 | 201 | | |
| Cases Pending | 233 | 192 | | |
| <i>(12/31/72)</i> | | | | |

Custody Department (continued)

Often in contested custody cases, a full custody investigation is done by the Custody Investigator. This investigation is made at the request of either party or their attorney to disclose in the fullest possible way the parental capacity and circumstances prior to a custody hearing. In addition, Mrs. Smith is occasionally assigned Visitation and Companionship cases for counseling, has continuing contact with closed cases in a counseling capacity and does investigations as requested by out-of-town courts.

Mrs. Smith's work is summarized below:

| | Cases Pending 1/72 | Cases Assigned 1972* | Cases Terminated 1972 |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Custody | 27 | 31 | 26 |
| V and C | 4 | 4 | 7 |
| Out-of-town Investigations | 3 | 6 | 7 |

*Does not reflect 21 cases for investigation but not yet assigned to Mrs. Smith.

During 1972 Mrs. Smith counseled with 28 families whose cases were completed in order to avoid future court litigation.

Also handled were 32 new cases that did not involve official court litigation and where counseling prevented the need for litigation.

By court rule of 10/4/68, the fee for a full custody investigation is \$25. On 11/4/72 a memo was sent to the presiding judge, Judge Robert R. Foster, requesting an increase in the fee to \$50. As of this writing, a Journal Entry reflecting the change to \$50 is being prepared.

The Custody Referee has noted an increase of awards of custody to fathers. This is both by Court Order and by the election of a child of divorced parents to reside with his father after he has reached 14 years of age. A long term study of this trend is underway. Initial indications are that in 70% of the cases the child either elects or is awarded to the father while it is 20% to the mother and 10% to another relative or to Children Services Board. Complete results of this study to be forthcoming.

J. Anthony Rudge, Custody Referee
Barbara J. Smith, Custody Investigator

Child Support Department

The following contains total number of scheduled hearings and hearings actually heard during 1972 by Referees Leon Frankel, Charles Riseley and Jeff Lydy, as compared to 1971.

| | Total 1971 | Total 1972 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| 3 A Domestic Relations motions scheduled on pending divorces for child support; injunctions; temporary alimony; ejection of parent from home; temporary custody; contempt; etc. | 9,526 | 10,238 |
| 1 B Domestic Relations motions under 1 A heard and decision rendered thereon | 3,571 | 4,117 |
| 2 A Juvenile Court motions scheduled on prior divorces for child support; injunctions; for contempt; lump sum judgments; to increase or decrease child support or suspend or terminate; set initial support; visitation and companionship; etc. | 1,419 | 1,852 |
| 2 B Juvenile Court motions under 2 A heard and decision rendered thereon | 1,109 | 1,109 |
| 3 A United States Reciprocal Uniform Support of Dependents hearings scheduled for setting initial child support; motions to punish for contempt thereon; and to suspend or terminate said child support | 254 | 247 |
| 3 B Reciprocal motions under 3 A heard and decision rendered thereon | 165 | 172 |
| 4 A Bastardy arraignments scheduled in Lucas County | 421 | 389 |
| 4 B Bastardy arraignments under 4 A heard and bastardy pleas of not guilty; bastardy pleas of guilty; and child support orders set and/or dismissed | 352 | 288 |

In all 11,620 motions scheduled and 5,197 heard in 1971 by Referees Leon Frankel and Charles Riseley, as against 12,716 motions scheduled and 5,686 motions heard in 1972 by Referees Leon Frankel, Charles Riseley and Jeff Lydy.

Child Support collections through the Toledo Humane Society rose from a previous high of \$5,069,344.78 in 1971 to a new all time high of \$5,581,058.84 in 1972.

Uniform Reciprocal Dependent Act child support collections through Juvenile Court cashier of Lucas County, Ohio, rose from \$185,838.26 in 1971 to a new all time high of \$195,079.20 in 1972.

Respectfully submitted,

Leon Frankel
Charles Riseley
Jeff Lydy

Child Support Referees

Finance Department

Adequate funds were provided to cover all normal operating expenses throughout the year. We were able to grant the staff a modest increment within the federally established guideline and fill several existing vacancies on the staff with well qualified personnel.

While Juvenile Court expenditures exceeded budget slightly, overall operating costs for all departments were 1.2% under the total appropriation. Expenditures for all departments increased 7.5% over the previous year, of which approximately 5.5% of the increase was Personal Service. The operating expense for the Child Study Institute increased less than had been anticipated as a result of the fact that the average daily population remained approximately the same for the past two years.

We added another federally funded program for training juvenile probation counselors and group leaders in the Child Study Institute. Currently we have three funded programs in operation, all of which contribute materially to the efficiency of our services. We were approved for an additional grant to relocate our facility for girls which we hope to have in operation in the near future.

Our recreational areas received a face lifting adding materially to the safety of our children while at play. Namely, the greatest improvement was the resurfacing of the playground which had become unsafe for use and which was considered to be a major project.

We were able to purchase some new furniture for one of the waiting areas, acquire a few pieces of office furniture, and add modestly to our inventory of secretarial equipment.

We have occupied our present facility since 1953, and the nearly twenty years has taken its toll in wear on our furniture and fixtures at a rate much greater than the rate of replacement. Consequently, each year we make every effort to accomplish as much as possible in this area. There is still much to be done, but we are making progress in the right direction.

The year 1972 witnessed a determined attempt at minimizing county expense in the fields of placement, conveyance, medical, and the appointment of attorneys for indigents.

Although a close scrutiny of costs has had the net effect of lessening county obligations with respect to placement, the continued increases being received from placement facilities tend to overshadow reductions in costs.

Every attempt is being exerted to utilize any financial aid to reduce cost of placements; such as using medical policies parents have in effect before obligating the county, applying for Social Security or other federal, state, military, or local aid available. A constant vigilance is kept on the matter of reimbursement by parents for children in placement.

Medical expenses have been lessened by the overall coordination of this office with the Child Study Institute plus the various agencies and hospitals extending medical service to wards of the court. A determination of each and every case can be made by the introduction of an exhaustive financial medical file.

The field of reimbursement for conveyance expenses has been moderately successful, with more emphasis due in the coming year.

Finance Department (continued)

Poundage and/or service fees charged for the handling of reciprocal payments under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act increased in intensity during the past year, mostly due to an additional caseload.

Where legal counsel is provided for indigents, a determination is made by conference prior to the appointment of the attorney to justify this action. The net effect is to reduce the obligation on the county. Where there are contested cases, parental reimbursement is sought by referring the case to the court for determination.

The percentage of parental reimbursement for children in placement has been increased substantially. However, it has been necessary to refer 167 cases to the Support Department for delinquent payors. In addition, 11 conferences were held to motivate parents to live up to their obligations.

A great deal of time and effort was put forth in the investigations, conferences, and 35 referrals to the court for the reimbursement of fees expended for court-appointed attorneys.

The vital statistics indicate that in parental reimbursement for placement of children in 1972 there were 59 new cases added to our caseload and there were 37 cases closed out.

The restitution by children saw an influx of 479 cases to an existing caseload of 485. The number of cases closed out was 472. To collect these accounts it was necessary to conduct 240 conferences and to refer 21 cases back to the court. It might be appropriate to state that of the \$12,884.83 collected more than 50% of it was paid to complainants within the community to compensate for their losses or damage.

Collections for 1972

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Support for minor children | \$5,581,058.84 |
| <i>(Collected by Toledo Humane Society)</i> | |
| Support of children, wards of the court maintained in private residential treatment centers, foster homes, and group homes (Juvenile Court) | 45,716.17 |
| Monies collected under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act | 195,079.20 |
| Restitution paid by children for damage or loss and fines | 12,884.83 |
| Poundage and/or service fees | 2,925.30 |
| State milk subsidy | 1,331.20 |
| State subsidy for foster home care | 10,960.00 |
| State subsidy for education | 16,414.52 |
| Juvenile traffic fines (collected by Clerk's Office) | 61,513.08 |
| Court costs (Clerk's Office) | 113,375.05 |
| Domestic Relations and juvenile fines (Clerk's Office) | 1,755.00 |
| Special investigation fines (Clerk's Office) | 375.00 |
| Reimbursement for court-appointed attorneys | 1,817.00 |
| Miscellaneous: coin machines, medical, conveyance, phones, etc. | 1,125.89 |

B. A. Bristol, Business Manager

Staff of Family Court December 1972

Robert R. Foster, Judge
Francis A. Pietrykowski, Judge

Director Rita F. C'Grady
Assistant Director, Administrator, C.S.I. Lawrence P. Murphy
Assistant Administrator, C.S.I. Charles Hinkelman
Administrator, Marriage Counseling Services Eve K. Richards
Business Manager Boston A. Eristol
Administrator, Probation Services Paul R. Sullivan

Referees

Chief Walter C.A. Bouck
Assistant Chief Catherine Champion
 Janice Christofel Charles Riseley
 Leon Frankel Eddie Squire, Jr.
 Marjorie Gullberg Frank Sidle
 Jeffrey Lydy William Ruby
 J. Anthony Rudge

Court Reporter

Margaret Jazwiecki

Casework Supervisors

Jeffrey Acocks Charles Smith
 Margaret Gumble

Probation Counselors

Germaine Gaston Michael Narges
 Ann Holzemer Charles Norris
 Mary Johnson Henry Norwood
 Ellen Jones Kenneth Piccolino
 Peter K aighin Douglas Rublaitus
 Pamela Kearney George Stamos
 Cynthia Krause Dustyann Tyukody
 Theresa Mohler David Wagner
 Carlyle Mossman Michael Walsh
 Stephen Wohlfeld

Teacher Probation Counselors

*Jimmie Dew *James Summers
 *Andrew Kandik

Custody Investigator

Barbara Smith

Special Projects Coordinator

John J. Neenan

Community Based Group Homes

Boys' Counselor Leonard Coffin
Boys' Counselor George Ryan
House Parent (Winthrop) Ronald Irby
House Parent (Winthrop) Carol Irby
House Parent (Cherry) William Peterson
House Parent (Cherry) Georgia Peterson

Placement Department

Supervisor Richard Daley
 Debra Gecowettes Thomas McGill
 Ann Langenderfer

Support Officer

Frank Landry

Marshal

Norton Cassidy

Marriage Counselors

Henry Bennett Philip Halloran
 *Andrea Friendland *Marsha LaBonte
 Mary Carroll Graham Thomas Wabeke

Statistical Department

Supervisor Bessie Munk
 Elinor Taylor

C.S.I. Professional Staff

*Joan Marie Coghlin *Dorothy Jackson
 Dr. Andrew Glatter Dr. I.H. Kass
 *Rev. Robert Haas *Rev. John Meyer
 *Dr. Henry Hartman *Rosalie Mowka

Bailiffs

Lenard Bauman Ron Rimelspach

Assignment Department

Commissioner Kenneth Rohrs
 Pamela Reich

C.S.I. Leaders

Chief Girls' Leader Catherine Shriver
Supervisor Robert Donovan
Supervisor David Deppen
Supervisor Daniel Holzemer
Supervisor William McCoy
Supervisor Edward Poczekaj
Supervisor John Jackson
Supervisor James Twiss
 Rebecca Boudrie Leroy Lucius
 Ronald Clark Woodrow McCreary
 John Concannon Verna Moore
 *Patrick Curran Loren Noyes
 Pauline Dedes *Myrl Patton
 James Farrier Stanley Rappaport
 Minnie Glaspie *Robert Royster
 Cornell Grant Ferne Sage
 Shirley Guhl *John Schafer
 Michael Harrah Bernetta Shields
 Emma Hischka Stella Shields
 Thomas Holzemer *Jimmie Stinson
 Stephen Lewandowski Mary Valliant
 *Kenneth Long Lorean Whitaker
 Willie Loper Robert Williams

Psychiatric Residents

*Dr. Mary Len kay *Dr. Sonja Pinsky

Consultant Psychologist

*Ruth M. Williams

Art Teachers C.S.I.

*Joanne Shapler *June Taylor

Staff of Family Court

(continued)

Maintenance Staff

Day Foreman Frank Jurski
Night Foreman Edward Wolny
 Hazel Celestine Cecilia Kosolka
 Edward Grice Gusta Leiser
 Clara Jastrzemeski Marian Rocco
 Dolores Jastrzanski Milas Wells
 James Kizer .

Office Staff

Gloria Ballard *Ella Herbac
 JoAnna Bieman Mary Ivansco
 Barbara Bieniek Elsa Jennings
 Mary Bruning Mary Klein
 Marie Brunzman Nancy Langenderfer
 Sherry Burt Edna Layman
 Mary Compton Donna McDonald
 Mildred Connin Alma Miller
 Madonna Conrad Cathy Mramor
 Elvira Drotar Frances Nicholas
 *Mary Eckholdt Darlene Piojda
 Jay Elkes Barbara Recknagel
 Audrey Fall Harry Reichow
 Regina Fleck Loretta Rizzo
 Gertrude Gerbich Mary Sattler
 Madelynn Gohring Virginia Semler
 Frances Gomolski Harriette Twiss
 Jean Gould Joyce Vargo
 Betty Gray Karen Young
 Carl Guy Bella Yourist
 Pauline Hammonds .

Volunteer Program

Coordinator Mark Smith
Counselor Marjorie Lefler

Toledo Board of Education Teachers

Principal Joseph Christen
 *Thomas Daniels *Wayne Haefner
 Leone Hinline Stephen Kolinski

Cooks

Chief Marie Crawford
Modesta Clapp Dorothy Hogle
Martha Drzewiecki

Volunteers in C.S.I.

Charcoal Sketching Joanne James
Charcoal Sketching Ann Williams
Toledo Federation of Musicians
 Paul Gallegher
Lucas County Library
 Kathy Noble
League of City Mothers
 Mrs. H.O. Ewert, President

Exchange Club

Les Beringer Wayne Kohn
 Jack Brown Paul Kraus
 Joe Colturi Ken Marshall
 Bill Eberly Bob McKenty
 Will Elchert Andy Mulligan
 Bob Fessler Jim Murray
 Everett Garrison George Reiser
 Don Hemsoth Phil Rice
 Clay Hepler Jim Silk
 Fred Johnson John Wasserman
 Harold Knapp

Boy Scouts of America

Art Adler John Livingston
 Leonard Durso Rick Stadlander

Y.W.C.A. Program

Coordinator Mary Smith
 Gretchen Boyd Fran Rimer
 Delores Burke Carol Saynisch
 Mary Ann Grabarczyk
 Dorthy Haverbusch Robbie Tucker
 Sue Nowak Merrie-Ellen Vogan

Y.M.C.A. Outreach Program

Coordinator William Johnson
 Bill Duty Ray Lothery

Students and Volunteers

(at Juvenile Court - 1972)

Students

Richard Bradford Richard Pfontz
 Michael Grady Thomas Rood
 Paul Harris Roy Silver
 Barbara Jankowski Virginia Stewart
 Vicki Lewis Linda Tamburro
 Gary Orlow Vicki Vranderic
 Kathleen O'Rourke Barbara Yager

Volunteers

Ernestine Butler Eloise Gries
 Phyllis Dean Richard Kujawa

*Part-time Employees