


**FAMILY COURT**  
**of**  
**LUCAS COUNTY**


**1970 Annual Report**

**HON. ROBERT R. FOSTER**  
**HON. FRANCIS A. PIETRYKOWSKI**




429 Michigan

Toledo, Ohio 43624



**ROBERT R. FOSTER, JUDGE**

**Court of Common Pleas  
Division of  
Domestic Relations**



**FRANCIS A. PIETRYKOWSKI, JUDGE**

**Court of Common Pleas  
Division of  
Domestic Relations**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Letter to the Commissioners .....	3
Judge Foster Reports .....	4
Judge Pietrykowski Reports .....	5
Statistical Highlights of 1970 .....	6 - 8
Trends, Ages, Offenses by Months, Source of Referral .....	8 - 9
Modification of Probation .....	9
Delinquency Referee Department .....	10
Juvenile Offenses .....	11
Disposition of Juvenile Offenders .....	12
Traffic Report .....	13
Traffic Statistics .....	14 - 16
Probation Services .....	17 - 18
Placement Services .....	18 - 19
Boys' Group Home .....	20
Juveniles Placed in Institutions .....	21
Map - Census Tracts 1970 - Toledo Urban Area .....	22
Residence of Individual Children Involved in Offenses .....	23 - 24
Areas and Schools Attended .....	25 - 26
Dr. Henry Hartman Reports .....	27 - 29
Medical Department Report .....	30
Child Study Institute .....	31 - 32
Child Study Institute Statistics .....	33 - 34
Custody Department .....	35 - 36
Child Support Department .....	37
Finance Department .....	38 - 39
Domestic Relations .....	40 41
Domestic Relations Statistics .....	42 - 45
Staff of Family Court - December 1970 .....	46 - 47

To the Honorable Sol Wittenberg  
William Gernheuser  
James Holzemer

Commissioners of Lucas County

And to the Honorable Dr. Kenneth Gaver, Director,  
Ohio Department of Mental Hygiene and Correction

Dear Sirs:

In compliance with Section 2151.18 General Code, I submit herewith the Annual Report of the Court of Common Pleas of Lucas County, Ohio, Division of Domestic Relations, which includes the Juvenile Court, covering the calendar year 1970, showing the number and kinds of cases that have come before it, and other data pertaining to the work of the Court of interest to you and the general public.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT R. FOSTER  
FRANCIS A. PIETRYKOWSKI  
JUDGES

June, 1971

## **Judge Foster Reports**

The year of 1970 marked the opening of the first group foster home to be established in Lucas County and operated by the Family Court Center. Federal grants through the Toledo Model Cities Program were made available for the purchase and remodeling of the home, and for its operation during the balance of 1970. Commencing in 1971, the County of Lucas will bear the cost of operating and maintaining same through the Family Court Center.

The objective of the home is to care for pre-delinquent or mildly delinquent boys between the ages of 8 and 14 in a family setting. This milestone was accomplished only with the complete cooperation of the Toledo Model Cities Program, the Lucas County Commissioners and the City of Toledo. We are duly grateful for their joint efforts.

Plans for our second group home, for girls from 14 to 17 years of age, are well under way and will be financed jointly by federal grants from the Ohio Law Enforcement Planning Agency (O.L.E.P.A.) and the Toledo Model Cities Program. As in the boys' group home, these funds will be used for the purchase and remodeling of the group home and for its operation during the first year. It is expected that this second home shall be in operation by mid-1971 and it shall add greatly to our capacity for rehabilitating children in their own home community.

A third group home for older boys has been proposed by this court to O.L.E.P.A., and if approved by this agency, should be well on its way to existence by late 1971. As in the other two group homes, the bulk of the funds for establishing same will come from this government agency, and the maintenance and operation of the home will ultimately rest with Lucas County.

The prime objective of the group home program is to establish an alternative treatment for our delinquent youth that is less drastic than the Ohio Youth Commission and more effective than returning children to inadequate homes on probation. In addition, we shall be able to greatly reduce our use of private schools and institutions that are rapidly increasing their fees beyond our means.

In closing, I extend my personal thanks to Judge Francis A. Pietrykowski and to the entire staff of the Family Court Center for their untiring efforts in maintaining this court as one of the finest courts of its kind in the nation.

Robert R. Foster, Judge

## **Judge Pietrykowski Reports**

The Family Court as it exists in Lucas County, Ohio is a Division of the Lucas County Common Pleas Court. This Division of the Common Pleas Court is singularly equipped to handle any and all types of family controversies, including juvenile matters, under one roof with one staff dedicated to serving the needs of our community. In having this type of facility, we are indeed fortunate. This is especially true in this day and age with our mobile society, problems with our juveniles and the tragically increasing divorce rate.

With the financial help of various local and federal resources we have now in existence two group homes — one for boys and one for girls. We are very pleased with the results to date and with further help, we hope to implement this program.

I want, personally, to thank each and every member of our staff for their loyal support and dedication to their work. I am convinced that the effort of all involved has helped make our community a better place in which to live and raise our families.

Francis A. Pietrykowski  
Judge

# Statistical Highlights of 1970

## Volume

Juvenile offenses registered in 1970 totaled 4,698, a **decrease** of 166 from 1969. Included in the 1970 registrations were 276 dismissed cases and 238 "Out-of-County" Runaways. In 1969, there were 291 dismissed cases and 168 "out-of-County" Runaways.

Of the 4,698 cases registered, 3,257 involved boys and 1,441 involved girls as compared to 3,717 boys and 1,152 girls in 1969.

There was a total of 2,401 individual boys and 1,078 girls registered in 1970 compared to 2,592 boys and 879 girls in 1969. Boys **decreased** by 191 and girls **increased** by 199 -- an **increase** of 8 children (excluding "Out-of-County" Runaways).

## Repeaters

Of the 2,401 individual boys, 606 (or 25.2%) repeated in 1970. Of the 1,078 girls, 184 (or 17.1%) repeated in 1970. However, 1,029 (or 42.8%) of the 2,401 boys and 271 (or 25.1%) of the 1,078 girls had been in court before 1970.

## First Offenders

There were 1,372 boys and 807 girls who appeared in court in 1970 for their first offense. This is a **decrease** of 5 boys and an **increase** of 151 girls from 1969.

168 (or 12.2%) of the 1,372 first offender boys and 104 (or 12.9%) of the 807 first offender girls repeated in 1970. 438 (or 42.6%) of the 1,029 "**repeater**" boys and 80 (of 29.5%) of the 271 "**repeater**" girls repeated in 1970.

Since 1964, or in the last 7 years, 14,005 boys and girls have been in court for their first offense.

## Significant Increases in Offenses From 1969:

Shoplifting from 728 to 951\*; robbery (armed) - 11 to 27; robbery (unarmed) - from 47 to 64; fraud, forgery and grand larceny - from 54 to 70; assault and battery - from 93 to 106; runaway - from 292 to 308; ungovernable - from 443 to 493; use, possession, sale of drugs - from 39 to 104.

\* Shoplifting **increased** from 498 in 1967 to 951 in 1970 - 47.6%.

## Significant Decreases From 1969:

Burglary - from 408 to 385; auto theft - from 184 to 129; other stealing - from 314 to 235; disturbance and fighting - from 215 to 144; school truancy - from 187 to 141; assault, intent to rob - from 12 to 3; drinking - from 297 to 242; sniffing glue, etc. - from 54 to 25; late hours - from 211 to 161.

## Official - Non-official:

Of the 4,698 delinquency cases registered in 1970; official delinquent - 1,010 (or 21.5%); unruly child - 300 (or 6.4%); minor or unofficial cases - 3,388 (or 72.1%).

## Rate:

Rate of juvenile delinquency **increased** from 24.2 per thousand in 1969 to 25 in 1970.

## THE 7 - 12 AGE GROUP

In 1970, 450 individual boys and girls, ages 7 through 12, were in court -- a **decrease** of 82 from 1969. Of these children, 350 were boys and 100 were girls. **Ninety** eight (or 25.1%) of the boys and 12 (or 12%) of the girls had been in court in a previous year.

23 boys, ages 10-12, repeated in 1970.

11 girls, ages 10-12, repeated in 1970.

36 of the 158 boys, age 12 in 1969, repeated at age 13 in 1970 - 22.8%.

16 of the 57 girls, age 12 in 1969, repeated at age 13 in 1970 - 28.1%.

These 450 children had 558 offenses - 442 for boys and 116 for girls compared to 573 for boys and 127 for girls in 1969 - a **decrease** of 142 (or 25.4%).

Most frequent offenses for these 450 boys and girls were: shoplifting - 201 (an **increase** of 30 over 1969); burglary - 53; armed robbery - 2; purse snatching - 2; unarmed robbery - 7; theft of bicycles - 26; other stealing - 31; assault and battery - 18; arson - 6; malicious destruction of property - 27; trespassing and disturbance - 38; ungovernable - 40; runaway - 22; and school truancy - 14.

89 boys and 6 girls were on probation/supervision to a court counselor or an agency worker.

11 boys and girls were referred to an agency.

14 boys were committed to the Ohio Youth Commission.

No girls were committed to the Ohio Youth Commission.

5 boys' and 3 girls' cases were pending investigation as of December 31, 1970.

### C.S.I. Detention

In 1970, 408 boys and girls, ages 8 through 12, were detained in the Child Study Institute compared to 466 in 1969.

### Other Cases:

Excluding Non-support and Domestic Relations, there were 10,971 cases registered in 1970 compared to 11,337 in 1969 - a **decrease** of 3.4%.

Breakdown of the 10,971 cases registered in 1970 are as follows:

Delinquency .....	4,698	Illegal placements .....	23
Traffic complaints .....	5,151	Out-of-town investigations .....	7
Dependency .....	202	Special Service .....	91
Custody actions .....	143	Bastardy .....	378
Visitation and companionship .....	88	Affidavit in neglect .....	81
Consent to marry .....	36	Contributing to delinquency or unruliness of minor .....	73

## TRAFFIC COMPLAINTS

Individual children: boys - 3,005; girls - 561; total - 3,566. These boys and girls had 5,151 complaints - a decrease of 358 (or 6.5%) from 1969.

First Traffic Complaint	Previous Traffic Complaint
Boys .....	2,425 or 80.7%
Girls .....	521 or 92.9%
Boys .....	580 or 19.3%
Girls .....	40 or 07.1%

In 1970, 490 (or 16.3%) of the boys and 34 (or 6.1%) of the girls had more than one traffic complaint.

Bessie Munk  
Joanne Combs

**TABLE NO. 1**  
**Trend for the Past Five Years**

	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970
Commitments to Ohio Youth Commission ....	199	172	216	197	204
Commitments to Private Correctional Schools	14	48	28	55	21
Commitments to other Institutions .....	16	30	34	38	31
Placed in Foster Homes .....	40	68	50	36	24
Total children removed from community .....	269	318	328	326	280
Number carried on probation or supervision ..	1,271	1,148	1,103	1,135	*1,587

\* 664 cases closed in 1970

**TABLE NO. 2**  
**Age Range of All Children**

	Boys	Girls	Total
7 years and under .....	3	1	4
8 .....	9	1	10
9 .....	28	4	32
10 .....	54	9	63
11 .....	106	17	123
12 .....	150	68	218
13 .....	229	154	383
14 .....	330	231	561
15 .....	459	255	714
16 .....	515	190	705
17 .....	481	141	622
18 .....	37	7	44
	2,401	1,078	3,479

1970 Median Ages: Boys 15 yr. 8 mo. - Girls 15 yr. 3 mo.

**TABLE NO. 3**  
**Offenses by the Month (Except Traffic)**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
January .....	191	116	307
February .....	217	68	285
March .....	283	131	414
April .....	269	128	397
May .....	288	124	412
June .....	313	133	446
July .....	303	118	421
August .....	306	144	450
September .....	310	108	418
October .....	268	121	389
November .....	244	127	371
December .....	265	123	388
	3,257	1,441	4,698

Includes 276 dismissed cases and 238 "Out-of-County" Runaways.

**TABLE NO. 4**  
**Source of Referrals - All Children's Offenses**  
*(Except "Out-of-County" Runaways)*

	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
Parents or relatives .....	121	172	293
Probation counselor .....	22	23	45
Law enforcement officer .....	2,882	1,086	3,698
Other court .....	3	0	3
School department .....	58	47	105
Social agency .....	8	10	18
Other source .....	15	13	28
	3,109	1,351	4,460

**MODIFICATIONS OF PROBATION**

	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
Committed to Ohio Youth Commission (Ch. of Disp.) ....	6	2	8
Committed to Mansfield Youth Center (O.S.R.) .....	3	0	3
Placed in Columbus State School .....	1	0	1
Placed in Toledo State Hospital .....	2	0	2
Placed in Miami Children's Home .....	6	1	7
Committed to Ohio Youth Commission (1969 cases closed in 1970) .....	4	1	5
Committed to Private Training Schools .....	15	6	21
Placed in Foster Homes .....	9	15	24
Placed on Probation/ Supervision: (1969 cases disposed of in 1970) .....	30	16	46
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	76	41	117

## Delinquency Referee Department

While there was a decrease in the number of registered cases handled by referees in 1970, over 1969, 4,460 contrasted to 4,696, there was an increase in the number of hearings and conferences, 6,472 in 1970 versus 6,394 in 1969. The increase, in hearings and conferences, may be attributed in large measure to the detention hearings had — some 357. One can be doubly thankful, therefore, for the decrease in the number of court referrals - as the cases registered are, for the most part, a reflection of referrals.

The cases referred to the prosecutor, on not guilty pleas, in 1970 showed a considerable diminution over 1969. In 1969 there were 355 cases referred, 252 in 1970. This is a larger proportional decrease than the decrease in total referrals. But, as noted elsewhere in this report, there was a great decrease in registration of boys' cases in contrast to the increase in girls' cases, and the overwhelming percentage (85%) of referrals to the prosecutor were boys' cases. However, this does not account altogether for the magnitude of the decrease (but let us be happy about it, as we are happy about the general decrease in referrals). According to the records of the assistant prosecutors, the dispositions of juvenile cases referred to them, in 1970 are as follows: subsequent plea of guilty - 106; found guilty - 67; found not guilty - 7; dismissed (found not to have prosecutive merit) - 25; and cases handled unofficially (minor offender) - 18. There were, of course, cases carried over into 1971 as, undoubtedly there were cases heard in 1970 that were referred in 1969.

The procedures in handling contested hearings went relatively smoothly. In this regard, the assigned Assistant Prosecutors, Curtis Posner, Charles Doneghy, and his successor, Paul Martin, were most helpful.

Detention hearings presented problems as had been anticipated. The procedural "bugs" were worked out, but there was the additional problem arising from the limits set, in the Code, as to what constituted the bases for detention. Apparently, and hopefully, the reasons given as a basis for detaining are now understood.

While we may have acknowledged, previously, the cooperation and understanding of the legal profession, this has become more manifest recently. During the latter part of the reported year, the court had proceeded to implement Section 2151.351 O.R.C., providing for the appointment of legal counsel for indigent juveniles and payment by the county for such service. Previously, Toledo Legal Aid Society had assumed sole burden for such representation - for which due appreciation must be acknowledged. Now a pool of twenty-six attorneys is available. But, Legal Aid is also continuing to provide an appreciable share of legal representation.

There were fifteen expungement hearings during the year. It may be that there will be more in the future, as the procedure becomes better known. Only one expungement was not recommended - that because it had not been two years, as statute requires, since termination of last court action. Expungement was later recommended, and granted.

Walter Bouck ..... Chief Referee  
Catherine Champion ..... Assistant Chief Referee

Marjorie Gullberg  
Janice Christofel  
Frank Sidle

William Ruby  
Dennis Ulrich  
\* James Fagerstrom  
\* (Resigned October, 1970)

## JUVENILE OFFENSES

Offense	Boys	Girls	Total
Robbery - armed .....	27	0	27
Robbery - unarmed and strong arm .....	51	13	64
Burglary .....	377	8	385
Auto theft .....	127	2	129
Shoplifting.....	478	473	951
Forgery, fraud, grand larceny .....	66	4	70
All other theft.....	223	12	235
Arson .....	16	3	19
Carrying/possession weapons .....	17	0	17
Disturbance/fighting .....	112	32	144
Malicious destruction of property .....	80	4	84
Trespassing .....	81	5	86
Violation fireworks ordinance .....	36	1	37
All other carelessness/ mischief .....	101	3	104
School truancy .....	85	56	141
Runaway .....	83	225	308
Ungovernable .....	194	299	493
Sex offenses .....	34	14	48
Assault and battery .....	88	18	106
Assault, intent to rob .....	2	1	3
Murder .....	1	0	1
Shoot, intent to kill.....	4	0	4
Other injury to person .....	10	4	14
Drinking/drun and disorderly/intoxication .....	216	26	242
Purchasing/possession of intoxicants .....	15	0	15
Sniffing glue, paint, etc. ....	22	3	25
Use/possession of drugs, etc. ....	75	29	104
Late hours.....	132	29	161
Operating motor vehicle without owner's consent.....	59	2	61
All other offenses .....	81	25	106
Sub total .....	2,893	1,291	4,184
Dismissed .....	216	60	276
"Out-of-County" Runaways .....	148	90	238
<b>TOTAL OFFENSES REGISTERED .....</b>	<b>3,257</b>	<b>1,441</b>	<b>4,698</b>

## DISPOSITIONS ON JUVENILE OFFENDERS

	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
Probation-Court Counselor .....	278	87	365
Supervision-Court Counselor .....	115	21	136
Continue Probation/Supervision .....	150	36	186
Probation to Agency .....	23	14	37
Supervision to Agency .....	17	1	18
Continue Probation/ Supervision Agency .....	19	6	25
Referred to Agency .....	18	16	34
Committed to Agency .....	2	0	2
Probated to parents .....	18	23	41
Adjusted .....	984	723	1,707
Fined .....	272	15	287
Restitution .....	69	8	77
Fine and restitution .....	15	2	17
Referred to other Court .....	5	1	6
Miami Children's Home .....	0	3	3
Columbus State School .....	1	0	1
Committed to Ohio Youth Commission .....	135	35	170
Returned to Ohio Youth Commission .....	19	1	20
Temporary Comm. Ohio Youth Commission .....	1	0	1
Committed to Mansfield - O.S.R. ....	15	0	15
Suspended Commitment, O.Y.C. ....	10	0	10
Suspended Commitment, O.S.R. ....	7	0	7
Referred Juvenile Placement Bureau .....	32	2	34
Other Disposition .....	18	8	26
Dismissed .....	129	42	171
Pending Disposition .....	49	34	83
	<b>2,401</b>	<b>1,078</b>	<b>3,479</b>

## Traffic Report 1970

The year 1970 was the first full year that a qualified drivers education course was required before the 16 and 17 year old was allowed to obtain a probationary drivers license. The experience gained through this course is reflected in the decrease of offenses committed by our juvenile traffic offenders.

In 1969, the court handled 5,509 juvenile traffic cases. However, in 1970, the court handled 5,151 traffic complaints, a decrease of 358.

Of the offenses which indicate accident situations, all but one show a decrease for 1970. Red light violations show a decrease of 107; stop signs -- 39; without due regard for safety -- 129; assured clear distance -- 14; starting or backing without care -- 27; changing course -- 19; failure to yield cases -- 92. The only increase is in the 'following too closely' category -- +14. These offenses usually constitute driver negligence or driver inexperience as regards the automobile.

Although the physical operation of handling an automobile appears to be better, the novelty and inexperience of the juvenile driver is apparent by the huge increase in speeding charges before the court in 1970. There were 1,198 speeding citations in 1970, an increase of 234 over 1969.

In order to teach the child the responsibility he must bear when he drives a car, the court assigned 1,133 juveniles to the Defensive Driving Course. These cases usually involve speeding charges or accident situations.

The court took in \$70,730 in traffic fines and costs in 1970. A total of 7 people had their licenses revoked, 636 suspensions occurred, 334 juveniles had their application for license suspended and 519 had their driving privileges restricted for essential driving only.

Of the 5,151 citations issued to juveniles in 1970, 4,492 were issued to boys and 659 to girls. This is a significant decrease from the 1969 totals.

A greater effort must be made by those who deal with juvenile drivers to reduce the novel aspect of driving and to teach the child the responsibility of driving.

Daniel J. Sanders  
Traffic Referee

## COMPARISON OF BOYS' TRAFFIC COMPLAINTS

January - December 1969 - 1970

	1969	1970		
No operator's license .....	381	464	+	83
Temporary permit - no licensed driver .....	34	37	+	3
Red Light .....	326	238	-	88
Stop Sign .....	163	134	-	29
Without due regard on street .....	553	446	-	107
Without due regard off street .....	31	17	-	14
Assured clear distance .....	219	218	-	1
Speeding .....	855	1,018	+	163
Unreasonable speed for conditions .....	1	2	+	1
Driving left of center .....	48	43	-	5
Wrong way on one-way street .....	39	27	-	12
Following too closely .....	6	17	+	11
Prohibited turn .....	87	26	-	61
Starting or backing without care .....	87	70	-	17
Changing course without care .....	86	62	-	24
Yield at intersection .....	57	34	-	23
Yield turning left .....	66	46	-	20
Yield at sign .....	99	84	-	15
Yield emerging - private drive .....	62	46	-	16
Motorbike - passengers' helmet .....	49	46	-	3
Unsafe vehicle .....	100	52	-	48
Headlights improper .....	72	35	-	37
Muffler - excess noise/defective .....	209	119	-	90
Leaving scene of accident .....	97	88	-	9
Improper license plates .....	131	133	+	2
Violation City Order (license suspended: restored) .	66	67	+	1
Other operational violations .....	375	342	-	33
Other non-operational violations .....	250	184	-	66
	4,549	4,095	-	454
Dismissed .....	264	397	+	133
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	<b>4,813</b>	<b>4,492</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>321</b>

## COMPARISON OF GIRLS' TRAFFIC COMPLAINTS

January - December 1969 - 1970

	1969	1970	±	
No operator's license .....	51	44	-	7
Temporary permit - no licensed driver .....	3	7	+	4
Red Light .....	60	41	-	19
Stop Sign .....	23	13	-	10
Without due regard on street .....	106	84	-	22
Without due regard off street .....	0	2	+	2
Assured clear distance .....	58	45	-	13
Speeding .....	109	180	+	71
Unreasonable speed for conditions .....	1	0	-	1
Driving left of center .....	12	5	-	7
Wrong way on one-way street .....	4	3	-	1
Following too closely .....	3	6	+	3
Prohibited turn .....	14	3	-	11
Starting or backing without care .....	24	14	-	10
Changing course without care .....	23	28	+	5
Yield at intersection .....	20	11	-	9
Yield turning left .....	26	20	-	6
Yield at sign .....	39	35	-	4
Yield emerging - private drive .....	28	29	+	1
Motorbike - passenger's helmet .....	0	3	+	3
Unsafe vehicle .....	8	3	-	5
Headlights improper .....	2	0	-	2
Muffler - excess noise/ defective .....	3	2	-	1
Leaving scene of accident .....	10	9	-	1
Improper license plates .....	4	4	-	
Violation Court Order (license suspended; restricted)	3	0	-	3
Other operational violations .....	17	16	-	1
Other non-operational violations .....	11	13	+	2
	662	620	-	42
Dismissed .....	34	39	+	5
<b>TOTAL</b> .....	<b>696</b>	<b>659</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>37</b>

## COMPARISON OF BOYS' DISPOSITIONS

January - December 1969 - 1970

	1969	1970		
Pay Court Costs .....	4,461	3,887	+	574
Court Costs Suspended .....	60	160	+	100
Pay Fine .....	3,775	3,092	-	683
Fine Suspended .....	37	75	+	38
License Revoked .....	19	7	-	12
License Suspended .....	975	563	-	412
Application/ License Suspended .....	240	281	+	41
License Restricted .....	341	431	+	90
Attend DDC .....	1,206	901	-	305
Dismissed .....	264	397	+	133
Other Dispositions .....	84	86	+	2
TOTAL .....	11,462	9,880	-	1,582

## COMPARISON OF GIRLS' DISPOSITIONS

January - December 1969 - 1970

	1969	1970		
Pay Court Costs .....	658	594	+	64
Court Costs Suspended .....	4	19	+	15
Pay Fine .....	581	485	-	96
Fine Suspended .....	5	11	+	6
License Revoked .....	0	0		
License Suspended .....	159	73	-	86
Application/License Suspended .....	37	53	+	16
License Restricted .....	57	17	-	40
Attend DDC .....	302	303	+	1
Dismissed .....	34	39	+	5
Other Dispositions .....	4	8	+	4
TOTAL .....	1,841	1,602	-	239

# **Probation Services**

## **I. Personnel**

The year 1970 saw many changes in personnel within the Probation Services of the Court. The untimely death of Dan Weber, Chief Casework Supervisor, the attrition of employees due to new employment ventures, family moves and additions, and the furthering of education (full-time graduate school) resulted in the Probation Services taking on a total of 14 employees in 1970. These included a new administrator of probation services (formerly chief casework supervisor), 12 probation counselors and a receptionist. Two promotions within the staff involved probation counselors being appointed to the position of casework supervisor.

The close of 1970 saw Probation Services operating with 1 administrator, 4 casework supervisors, and 21 full-time probation counselors. In addition, the services of 5 part-time Teacher Probation Counselors were maintained.

## **II. Training and Orientation**

The influx of new employees required orientation in court policies and procedures. Supervisory and administrative personnel, as well as older and more experienced employees, contributed their time and wisdom generously. During the spring of the year, the staff had the benefits of classes in counseling techniques sagaciously presented by Dr. Rothschild of the Psychology Department at the University of Toledo. The staff has continually benefited by the counsel, encouragement, and concern of Dr. Henry Hartman, our consultant-psychiatrist. During the course of the year, a number of staff members attended conferences and seminars sponsored by the Ohio Youth Commission, Toledo Metropolitan Area Council of Governments, the Mental Health Association and Ypsilanti State Hospital, relating to problems of delinquency, drug abuse and mental health.

## **III. Student Field Training Experience**

The court continues to offer field training experience to students from local universities and colleges. In 1970, 4 undergraduates from the University of Toledo, 2 from Bowling Green State University and 2 students from the Community and Technical College received field training experience here at the court. They were ably supervised by members of our counseling staff. In addition to these undergraduates, 2 full-time graduate students in social work from the University of Michigan continue their field placement with the court. These men have been employees of the court and will join our full-time staff upon graduation this spring.

## **IV. Teacher Probation Counselor Program**

This program began 3 years ago and was sponsored jointly by the court and the Board of Education. During this year, it came totally under the court's operation. The program involves the use of public school teaching personnel as part-time probation counselors. We now have 5 teacher/probation counselors who handle up to 15 probation/supervision cases each. The TPC supervises children who attend the school where he/she is also a faculty member.

## V. Agency - Coordination

The Court continues to enjoy the valuable assistance of social agencies in our community. Over 100 cases originally referred into juvenile court are being supervised by these agencies. This, of course, alleviates the caseload burden of the court counselor.

Agency counselors supervise children, as do the court counselors, and receive the assistance of the court-agency coordinator in matters of court policy and procedure.

## VI. Psychological and Psychiatric Services

In 1970, a total of 83 psychological evaluations were done for the court on a contractual basis by local, private psychologists and graduate interns from the University of Toledo. The court is grateful for this competent and time consuming assistance.

Numerous psychiatric conferences and interviews were done by Dr. Henry Hartman, consultant-psychiatrist for the court. His experience and expertise are gratefully appreciated.

## Caseload Movement

	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>
Investigations Pending 1-1-70 .....	78	43
Investigations Assigned .....	192	115
Social Histories Dictated .....	212	114
Investigations Pending 12-31-70 .....	58	44
On probation/supervision 1-1-70 .....	761	186
Placed on probation/supervision .....	528	211
On probation/supervision 12-31-70 .....	*727	*129

\* These figures include:

103 (boys agency supervision)

016 (girls agency supervision)

Paul Sullivan, Administrator  
Probation Department

## **Placement Services**

We continued in 1970 to serve and maintain our placed children. Most of these placements were made in the last half of the previous year and successful placements usually continue for 18 months. Funds for the year allowed only limited new placements.

We began the year with 91 children in placement supported by county funds, and ended with 59. The majority were in private schools for special treatment of emotional and psychological problems. About half of the 32 children terminating placement did so with favorable progress and successful treatment. Others did not improve and were returned home for further probation; reached their 18th birthday, or were committed to the Ohio Youth Commission.

Besides the county supported children, we usually have 10 or more children supported either by their parents or living with relatives, and some in free foster homes. The devotion of some parents (one couple paying over \$400 monthly for their son), and the generosity of relatives and concerned foster parents is certainly commendable and encouraging.

As noted in other pages of this report, parents who are able, do pay the maximum monthly reimbursement. This is due to the fine efforts of Mr. Frank Landry, Support Officer. We, in placement, cooperate in every way we can with these efforts because this may allow us to place one more child who needs this care.

We were indeed fortunate to maintain our counselors specializing in placement, for most of the year. Mrs. Margaret Gumble continued to build a successful foster home program even while carrying a partial case load. Kenneth Singer continued his work with a combined case load of boys and girls in private schools, and replacing Miss Alice Bauer, who returned to school for graduate study, was Miss Marjorie Kelbaugh. Continuity and stability of counselors is a great benefit to the children and all persons involved in the placement process.

A new educational subsidy to counties was established by state law in July. This permits the county to receive a maximum of \$500 per year for a child being educated in a private school when no other Ohio money is provided. This aids us primarily in our out-of-state placements.

We want to note with thanks the cooperation of many private social agencies in the placement of several children. While these agencies provide the caseworkers for the children and families, the court assures the payment of board and other expenses for the children.

As a routine, yet necessary, administrative responsibility, the placement supervisor continued to coordinate conveyance of youths to the Ohio Youth Commission on long term and temporary commitments. While Robert Donovan of C.S.I. handled the detail planning, scheduling of counselors and other court employees who transport the youths to Columbus was a continuing duty.

In addition, the five Teacher-Probation Counselors were transferred to the supervision of the placement supervisor in the spring. The T.P.C.'s continue to be of help to the students on probation, the schools they attend as well as the court, by having school personnel serve in a correctional role. The T.P.C.'s employed in three large Toledo schools are effective intermediaries between school and court, and receive compensation from the county for their T.P.C. counseling.

Our thanks go to Edwin T. Burnep and Mrs. Phyllis Dean, our faithful volunteers who helped the total court staff during the year. "Ed" continued to assist in complicated restitution cases and Mrs. Dean with our extensive typing and clerical work.

In summary, we feel that placement services contributed substantially to the court's services for the year. Activities we hope to pursue in the future are training sessions for experienced and inexperienced foster parents, and research on former wards of court who completed placement several years ago.

Richard L. Daley ..... Supervisor  
Counselors ..... Margaret Gumble  
Marjorie Kelbaugh Kenneth Singer

## **Boys Group Home**

The Community Based Boys Group Home, funded by Model Cities for one year, became a reality September 11, 1970. The official dedication and opening was October 31, 1970. There were 5 boys (ages 10 through 12) placed during the year. Where possible, the boys are transferred to Fulton School since the home is located in that school district. A special tutoring program for the boys was arranged by Roy Hodge, the principal of Fulton, and our Volunteer Educational Advisor. All of the boys' grades improved, thanks to the teachers and principals of the schools where they were in attendance.

Attitudes of both boys and parents have also changed through group and individual counseling sessions. The Group Home Parents, through establishing rapport with the other parents, have been most advantageous in bringing about changes.

The boys were taken to New York for a weekend by the Group Home Parents as a reward for good behavior. The boys have attended several special events, due to the generosity of other organizations. Special donations of books and other equipment have been received.

The parents of boys in residence have organized a club and schedule activities to help bridge the communication gap. The Group Home Staff schedules activities whenever possible to include the families of the boys in an attempt to effect positive relationships.

The purpose of the Boys Group Home Newsletter, edited by Mr. Irby, is to inform the readers about the Group Home and to give the boys in residence an opportunity to express their opinions and ideas through the press. It will also carry articles from parents and others, to help make the reading interesting and informative.

The Boys Group Home will be funded by Lucas County, January 1, 1971, and succeeding years, and will continue under the direct supervision of the juvenile court.

**Ronald Irby**  
Group Foster Father

**Mrs. Carol Irby**  
Group Foster Mother

**Leonard Coffin**  
Social Worker

## 1970 - JUVENILES PLACED IN INSTITUTIONS

### Boys

Father Flanagan's Boys Home .....	10
Buckeye Boys' Ranch .....	2
Boys Village .....	1
Edgemeade of Maryland .....	1
Wernle Children's Home .....	1
Lutheran Orphans Home .....	1
Community Group Home - Toledo .....	4
Miami Children's Home .....	6
Columbus State School .....	2
Toledo State Hospital .....	2
St. Charles Hospital .....	1
Mansfield Youth Center (O.S.R.) .....	18
Ohio Youth Commission .....	152
Returned to Ohio Youth Commission .....	19

---

220

### Girls


Girls Town of America .....	1
Rosemont School for Girls .....	1
Vista Maria .....	1
Gilmary School for Girls .....	1
Wernle Children's Home .....	2
Miami Children's Home .....	4
Florence Crittenton .....	1
Columbus State School .....	2
Dayton Children's Psychiatric Hospital .....	1
Ohio Youth Commission .....	40
Returned to Ohio Youth Commission .....	1

---

55

1970  
CENSUS TRACTS  
TOLEDO  
URBAN AREA

TOLEDO-LUCAS COUNTY  
PLAN COMMISSIONS



## Residence of Individual Children Involved in Offenses \* “City Areas”

### Dorr Area:

Children .....	540
Offenses .....	741

### Old West End:

Children .....	432
Offenses .....	615

### South Side Area:

Children .....	232
Offenses .....	296

### Lagrange-Stickney Area:

Children .....	208
Offenses .....	278

### East Toledo Area:

Children .....	194
Offenses .....	276

### North End Area:

Children .....	165
Offenses .....	214

### Parkside Area:

Children .....	158
Offenses .....	204

### Trilby Area:

Children .....	169
Offenses .....	200

### Reynolds Corners Area:

Children .....	113
Offenses .....	143

### Birmingham Area:

Children .....	86
Offenses .....	110

### Longfellow Area:

Children .....	80
Offenses .....	96

### Mayfair Area:

Children .....	75
Offenses .....	90

### Heatherdowns-Beverly Area:

Children .....	80
Offenses .....	86

### Deveaux Area:

Children .....	74
Offenses .....	80

### Point Place Area:

Children .....	53
Offenses .....	61

### Airport Highway Area:

Children .....	33
Offenses .....	47

### Talmadge Area:

Children .....	34
Offenses .....	38

### Old Orchard Area:

Children .....	36
Offenses .....	36

### Fort Industry Area:

Children .....	21
Offenses .....	26

### Central Business District:

Children .....	9
Offenses .....	12

## Residence of Individual Children Involved in Offenses \* “County Areas”

**Sylvania:**

Children ..... 148  
Offenses ..... 182

**Maumee:**

Children ..... 95  
Offenses ..... 129

**Oregon:**

Children ..... 59  
Offenses ..... 75

**Springfield Township:**

Children ..... 48  
Offenses ..... 54

**Spencer & Harding Townships:**

Children ..... 29  
Offenses ..... 35

**Jerusalem Township:**

Children ..... 15  
Offenses ..... 20

**Waterville Township:**

Children ..... 20  
Offenses ..... 20

**Swanton Township:**

Children ..... 17  
Offenses ..... 18

**Monclova Township:**

Children ..... 10  
Offenses ..... 12

**Ottawa Hills:**

Children ..... 9  
Offenses ..... 11

**Providence Township:**

Children ..... 6  
Offenses ..... 7

**Richfield Township:**

Children ..... 5  
Offenses ..... 6

	<b>Ind. Children</b>	<b>Offenses</b>
City Areas .....	2,792	3,649
County Areas .....	461	569
	3,253	4,218
Residence Out-of-Lucas Co. ....	226	242
Totals Registered .....	3,479	4,460

Above figures do not include 238 “Out-of-County” Runaways.

\* Offenses not necessarily committed in area of child’s residence.

# AREAS AND SCHOOLS ATTENDED

(See map, p. 22)

<p><b>Old West End ..... (461)</b>            Scott H.S. .... 247            Macomber Voc. H.S. .... 68            Central Catholic H.S. .... 23            Fulton ..... 57            Glenwood ..... 32            Warren ..... 13            Luella Cummings ..... 7            Rosary Cathedral ..... 6            St. Mary's ..... 6            Larc Lane ..... 2</p> <p><b>Lagrange - Stickney ..... (335)</b>            Woodward H.S. .... 160            Sherman ..... 67            Parkland ..... 48            Hamilton ..... 29            Spring ..... 16            Cherry ..... 9            St. Hedwig ..... 5            St. Adalbert ..... 1</p> <p><b>Dorr Area ..... (293)</b>            Whitney Voc. H.S. .... 15            Robinson Jr. H.S. .... 104            Gunckel ..... 58            Pickett ..... 37            Lincoln ..... 29            Stewart ..... 20            Martin L. King ..... 13            Washington Elem. .... 11            St. Ann's ..... 2            St. Stanislaus ..... 2            St. Teresa ..... 2</p> <p><b>South Side ..... (258)</b>            Libbey H.S. .... 173            Jones ..... 50            Walbridge ..... 18            Marshall ..... 4            St. James ..... 3            Immaculate Conception ..... 2            Sts. Peter &amp; Paul ..... 2            Westfield ..... 1            Arlington ..... 1            Burroughs ..... 1            Newbury ..... 1            St. Charles ..... 1</p>	<p><b>Trilby ..... (247)</b>            Whitmer H.S. .... 135            Washington Jr. H.S. .... 49            Jefferson Jr. H.S. .... 30            Jackman ..... 7            McGregor ..... 5            Hopewell ..... 4            Wernert ..... 4            St. Clements ..... 3            Trilby Elem. .... 3            Westwood ..... 3            Hiawatha ..... 2            Horace Mann ..... 1            Meadowvale ..... 1</p> <p><b>Birmingham ..... (157)</b>            Waite H.S. .... 118            Birmingham ..... 19            Garfield ..... 13            Holy Rosary ..... 4            Sacred Heart ..... 2            Heffner Spec. .... 1</p> <p><b>Reynolds Corners ..... (155)</b>            Rogers H.S. .... 112            McTigue Jr. H.S. .... 33            Fall-Meyer ..... 6            Little Flower ..... 2            Hawkins ..... 1            Ryder ..... 1</p> <p><b>Longfellow ..... (137)</b>            De Vilbiss H.S. .... 112            Longfellow ..... 14            St. Catherine ..... 5            St. Agnes ..... 4            Larchmont ..... 2</p> <p><b>Sylvania ..... (132)</b>            Sylvania H.S. .... 63            Sylvania North Jr. H.S. .... 41            McCord Jr. H.S. .... 18            Arbor Hills Jr. H.S. .... 3            Hillview ..... 3            Highland ..... 1            Maplewood ..... 1            St. Joseph ..... 1            Stranahan ..... 1</p>
--	---

# AREAS AND SCHOOLS ATTENDED

(See map, p. 22)

<p><b>Deveaux ..... (111)</b>            Start H.S. .... 89            Deveaux ..... 13            Elmhurst ..... 6            Blessed Sacrament ..... 3</p> <p><b>Maumee ..... (97)</b>            Maumee H.S. .... 63            Maumee Middle Jr. H.S. .... 27            Fort Miami ..... 3            Fairfield ..... 2            Miami Children's Center ..... 1            Union ..... 1</p> <p><b>East Toledo ..... (73)</b>            Oakdale ..... 23            E. Side Central ..... 14            Raymer ..... 14            Franklin ..... 12            Navarre ..... 7            St. Thomas ..... 2            Good Shepherd ..... 1</p> <p><b>Heatherdowns-Beverly ..... (72)</b>            Bowsher H.S. .... 57            McAuley H.S. .... 5            Maumee Valley C.D. H.S. .... 1            Bowsher Jr. H.S. .... 2            Harvard ..... 4            Glann ..... 2            St. Patrick's ..... 1</p> <p><b>Oregon ..... (66)</b>            Clay H.S. .... 36            Cardinal Stritch H.S. .... 2            Eisenhower Jr. H.S. .... 13            Fassett Jr. H.S. .... 13            Wynn ..... 2</p> <p><b>North End ..... (59)</b>            Riverside ..... 24            Lagrange ..... 19            Stickney ..... 11            Chase ..... 2            St. Francis de Sales ..... 2            St. Michael ..... 1</p> <p><b>Airport Highway ..... (55)</b>            Springfield H.S. .... 29            St. John's H.S. .... 10            Springfield Jr. H.S. .... 14            Holland Elem. .... 2</p> <p><b>Parkside ..... (49)</b>            St. Francis de Sales H.S. .... 18            Hale ..... 25            Gesu ..... 4            St. Jude ..... 2</p>	<p><b>Waterville Township ..... (28)</b>            Anthony Wayne H.S. .... 23            Fallen Timbers Jr. H.S. .... 4            Whitehouse Elem. .... 1</p> <p><b>Talmadge (Inc. Ottawa Hills) ..... (23)</b>            Ottawa Hills H.S. .... 6            St. Ursula Academy ..... 4            Notre Dame Academy ..... 3            Monac ..... 4            Lincolnshire ..... 3            Christ the King ..... 2            Ladyfield ..... 1</p> <p><b>Mayfair ..... (22)</b>            Whittier ..... 15            Regina Coeli ..... 5            Mayfair ..... 1            Northwood ..... 1</p> <p><b>Spencer Township ..... (22)</b>            S.S. Local H.S. .... 19            Irwin Elem. .... 3</p> <p><b>Old Orchard ..... (19)</b>            McKinley ..... 11            Old Orchard ..... 8</p> <p><b>Point Place ..... (14)</b>            Point Place ..... 10            Ottawa River ..... 3            Kleis ..... 1</p> <p><b>Fort Industry ..... (3)</b>            Shoreland ..... 3</p> <p><b>Springfield Township ..... (3)</b>            Crissey Elem. .... 2            Dorr ..... 1</p> <p><b>Jerusalem Township ..... (1)</b>            Jerusalem Elem. .... 1</p> <p><b>Other:</b></p> <p>Penta County ..... 14            Swanton H.S. .... 9            Evergreen H.S. .... 3            Swanton Jr. H.S. .... 3            St. Cyril (Rossford) ..... 1            Swanton Elem. .... 1            Toledo University ..... 2            S.A.C. .... 7            Private Training Schools ..... 3            Special Home Tutor ..... 2            Att: Out of Lucas Co. .... 18            Lucas Co. - not att. .... 304            Res. out of Lucas Co. .... 220</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Total Ind. Children ..... 3,479</b></p>
---	---

## **Dr. Henry Hartman, Consultant-Psychiatrist, Reports**

This year, 1970, has been another in which the accomplishments of the consultant psychiatrist cannot be measured in terms of the statistics of number of conferences, number of children seen etc., although these are included for what they are worth. This was a year in which, to my mind, contributions to the Child Study Institute as a whole out-weighed contributions to individual children. The year started disappointingly with a failure of the pilot program of supervising individual counselors in therapy with their probationers which was initiated in 1969 and mentioned in last year's report. This program got off to a poor start due to scheduling misunderstandings, and went to pieces completely due to staff turnover. Not one of the counselors originally involved, nor any of their replacements, was still with the court by July of 1970. As events transpired, this may have been providential, for it freed time for the rapidly evolving programs in the Child Study Institute which would prove the most significant contributions of the year.

The 1969 report discussed the morale situation among the leaders on the boys' floor and the institution of staff conferences with these leaders to try and improve morale. By mid-March, we began to get involved in discussions of ways to improve the care given to youngsters in Child Study Institute, and in transforming that from a purely custodial matter to a more therapeutically oriented program. At about that time, a program developed at the Juvenile Detention Home at Akron, and called by them the, "Roetzel Hall Program," was introduced for study and debate. Discussion about this program became quite energetic with some leaders enthusiastic, some lukewarm, and some practically negative in their attitudes. The supervisor in charge of this program, with some of his group leaders, was invited to Toledo to explain it more fully to us. Discussions and a special meeting were held with the afternoon leaders of the Child Study Institute in order to involve them in what was going on. Finally in June, a group of leaders from the boys' floor and the day supervisor from the girls' floor, accompanied by the writer, went to Akron to see the program in action. This was an extremely valuable move since it tended to clarify our thinking which, up to that point, had been somewhat fuzzy. It was felt that while the Roetzel Hall Program might meet the needs of the Akron Detention Home quite adequately, it was not suited to ours. Two aspects of the program, however, were retained. The one was the segregation of the first offender; the other was the use of scheduling of time as a basis for a system of behavior modification.

From this time on, all concerned worked at almost a hectic rate. The writer's meetings with floor leaders were limited at this time to those persons directly involved in writing the first offender program, later called the F. Section Program, which finally received its formal push-off in August of 1970. I should like to express my appreciation to Dan Holzemer, day supervisor and director of program, and to Tom DeLuccia and James Fitzpatrick who labored so hard to iron out the bugs and get the program off the ground. These men worked hours and hours over-time to insure that the first offender program got off to a good start and proceeded as well as it has.

*In 1970 the First offender program was instituted and*

[ Briefly described, this program segregates all male youngsters in the Child Study Institute for the first or second time in such a way that they have no voice contact at any time with more experienced youngsters. Their day is divided into 23 periods, during each of which they can earn from 0 to 4 points for their behavior, attitude and performance. All privileges, i.e., whether they go to the dining hall and eat or whether they eat in their rooms on the floor, whether they are allowed to participate in games, watch television or just sit, etc., are dependent on the total number of points earned at that time during the day. Extra awards are given for extra points. Meanwhile, with no harshness, the Child Study Institute is made to seem a somewhat oppressive place to be and not a lark to be bragged about to one's peers. ]

It is too early to judge what impact this may have on the lives of the boys who may go through it. At this point we have seen tangible evidence of change in section morale, change in section appearance and tremendous change in leader morale. This last factor has led to demand for development of similar programs in other sections. The time table at the end of 1970 calls for institution of similar programs in E. Section in January of 1971, and meetings with evening and weekend personnel of that section had been held by the end of the year. It is hoped that C and D Sections, the remaining boys' units, will have programs in operation by March of 1971, and to that end, a group of leaders and supervisors and the psychiatrist visited the Detention Home in Ann Arbor during Christmas week of 1970 to observe a token economy system in operation there. Again this particular program does not necessarily fit our institution in its present form, but stimulated a profitable discussion.

The institution of the first offender program has also been of assistance in smoothing counselor-leader relationships. Paul Sullivan, Administrator of Probation Department, has sat in routinely on the sessions with the leaders since the program was instituted in August and has helped transmit what has been going on to the counselors. The latter, in ones and twos, has spent time on F. Section observing the leaders and the group interaction and have been impressed with the leaders' ability to make meaningful observations of children as the program brings them into closer touch with them. Finally, during the last three months of the year, at the suggestion of Miss O'Grady, leaders have sat in on conferences with the psychiatrist and counselor about individual children, adding their observations to make it a truly team approach.

The psychiatrist has also been involved tangentially with the group foster home project, from initial screening interviews of foster parents through conferences with them and the social worker, down to screening the youngsters involved.

We have also, during the last few months of 1970, initiated for the first time the formal use of Child Study Institute as an aid in training resident psychiatrists of the Medical College of Toledo. The current resident, Dr. Mary Lemkay, has spent Tuesday mornings during that time with us, working with the youngsters and increasing her knowledge in this field. It might also be noted in this regard that the first offender program was presented by the writer at the psychiatric grand rounds at the Medical College in December and was quite well received.

The writer has given talks on four occasions during the year of 1970 to the student nurses at St. Vincent Hospital on Behavior Disorders of Children. He has, also, in November of 1970, conducted two all-day workshops on Techniques of Interviewing to the juvenile court personnel in Michigan under the auspices of the Michigan Children's Charter — one in Ann Arbor and the other in Bay City.

In the face of all this, we continue work with our most important problem – the treatment and disposition of children brought to our court by anti-social behavior. Again in 1970, insufficiency of funds has kept us from dealing with their needs as effectively as we should be doing. Again the lack of services of full-time psychologists is a glaring deficiency which must be remedied. We are consistently handicapped in our treatment program by our inability to find funds to place children in residential settings when this need is imperative. It is no wonder that the morale of our counseling staff becomes undermined when so often they know what must be done but are unable to do it because of inadequate money. We can only congratulate them for their endeavors to do what they can in the face of a bad situation and to continue to explore ways of making our programs more effective within limitations of our budgetary restrictions.

Dr. Henry Hartman  
Consultant - Psychiatrist

## 1970 Psychiatric Services

Conferences with Probation Counselors .....	111
Interviews with clients .....	56
Conferences with Marriage Counselors .....	4
Interviews with clients .....	9
Leadership at Staff Meetings:	
Domestic Relations .....	7
Juvenile Court .....	17
Child Study Institute .....	25
Conferences with Staff .....	20
Conferences with Others .....	3
Interviews with Applicants .....	7

## Medical Department Report

The medical clinic staff in the Child Study Institute consists of a pediatrician and nursing personnel. As in past years, medical services are available to all children in the institute on a 24-hour daily basis.

All incoming children are screened for signs of illness or contagious diseases. Where indicated, complete physical examinations and laboratory tests are performed. These records and results are available to counselors, interested agencies, court officials, and private physicians.

With the cooperation of the Medical College of Ohio at Toledo, children with acute drug abuse problems are referred for diagnosis and toxicology studies. Excellent working arrangements continue to exist between our medical clinic and various social and medical agencies for follow-up care.

Members of the medical department have, during the past year, attended a number of medical and nursing meetings locally and out of the city, to avail themselves of current advances in medicine.

We are indebted to members of the Toledo Police Department for their fine cooperation in transporting sick and injured children to hospitals. The Toledo Health Department continues to assist us with prompt and reliable laboratory procedures.

### Pertinent Medical Statistics for 1970 are as follows:

Examinations and treatments total: .....	3,871
Dental referrals .....	36
Visual defects .....	158
Throat infections .....	114
Skin infections .....	124
Pelvic infections .....	74
Pregnancies detected in C. S. I. ....	12
Gonorrhea .....	12
EEG .....	12
Special lab tests .....	12
Hospital transfers .....	61

I. H. Kass, M.D.

## Child Study Institute

During 1970 there continued to be an increase in specialized programming in C.S.I. It was provided by community agencies and groups in an effort to motivate children to become involved in some type of structured or unstructured activity when they return to the community. This need was seen to be of utmost importance since the great majority of detained children returned home on probation or supervision during the year. Perhaps the most significant aspect of this change in programming thrust was the fact that community agencies became increasingly concerned about youth who were not motivated to participate in such programs. Previous to this time they had concentrated on providing programs for those children who demonstrated interest in coming to them. Now they are coming to the institutions to seek ways of motivating many disinterested children.

The Toledo Area Boy Scouts conducted five 8-week programs during the year with a good deal of emphasis on providing outlets for success and achievement for boys who had known very little of either. Many boys earned badges and special recognition and approximately 20% of the boys who were eventually released from custody were placed in a Boy Scout troop in the community. It should be pointed out that most boys did not complete the 8-week program while in C.S.I., but it was designed so that they could pick up where they left off once released.

The Y.M.C.A. "Community Outreach" Program, which began in the latter part of 1969, expanded its efforts to weekly sessions during 1970. Again the emphasis was placed on successful achievement and learning to find acceptable outlets for tensions and frustrations. A variety of structured and unstructured programs were presented, hence, a child could choose what was of interest to him.

Toward the end of 1970 the Toledo Lucas County Library staff became interested in expanding their reading motivation program to both boys and girls, and it is expected that by February, 1971, most all detained children will have the opportunity to participate in reading development and interest groups.

The League of City Mothers continued their generous support to all our programs of arts and crafts, woodshop, ceramics, plastics and other special projects which would be difficult to operate without their donations. Their financial contribution totaled \$1500 in 1970, but their personal time and effort in conducting several special events made much more of an impression on some children who were convinced that no one cared what happened to them. We were able to conduct daily craft and ceramic programs for the first time.

Perhaps the major highlight of the year was the establishment of a first offender program for boys under the direction of Dr. Henry Hartman, our consultant psychiatrist, and a group of staff members. Although there have been many attempts to separate boys according to age and sophistication, this was the first real attempt to provide a structured program for boys who have had no prior institutional contact. It is an attempt at providing this type of youngster with the kind of experiences and programs necessary to help deter subsequent delinquency.

Planning for specialized programming for girls is underway at the present time, but this is hampered by the fact that there has been a marked increase in the number of girls being admitted to detention for runaway and ungovernable offenses. A good deal of thought and research is presently underway to discover the reasons for this increase. It appears to be connected to increased parental permissiveness for girls in their early teens, the styles and dress of the day, and changing attitudes on sex behavior. It is noted that most girls today do not go through the awkward stage of childhood development, which may have some bearing on the ever-increasing problems of early dating and modes of conduct.

The school program continued to be operated by the Toledo Board of Education, but our hope that the high school classes would be conducted during the day was not realized. Evening sessions continued on a regular basis, and although the program was successful for many children, it is still thought best by the teachers to operate school during regular hours as is the grade school program. We will be continuing our efforts in this area, as there are other programs which could be started in the evening hours that are unavailable during the day.

Lawrence Murphy

Charles Hinkelman

# CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE

## Previously in C.S.I.

<b>Month</b>	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
January .....	107	53	160
February .....	116	42	158
March .....	150	52	202
April .....	156	54	210
May .....	167	60	227
June .....	169	47	216
July .....	166	30	196
August .....	164	68	232
September .....	145	60	205
October .....	148	53	201
November .....	124	43	167
December .....	126	29	155
Total .....	1,738	591	2,329

## REGISTRATIONS AND TEMPORARY RELEASES

### Total Registrations

<b>Month</b>	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
January .....	205	101	306
February .....	212	94	306
March .....	258	114	372
April .....	310	115	416
May .....	298	136	434
June .....	270	127	397
July .....	315	107	422
August .....	333	170	503
September .....	274	106	380
October .....	272	128	400
November .....	250	119	369
December .....	240	88	328
Total .....	3,228	1,405	4,633
Children Not Detained .....	1,894	741	2,635
Children Detained .....	1,334	664	1,998

**CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE**  
**Average Daily Population**

<b>Month</b>	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
January .....	46	36	82
February .....	42	32	74
March .....	35	34	69
April .....	37	32	69
May .....	47	32	79
June .....	45	31	76
July .....	34	29	63
August .....	40	30	70
September .....	41	29	70
October .....	39	31	70
November .....	39	32	71
December .....	47	33	80
<b>Averages for 1970</b>	41	32	73
<b>Averages for 1969</b>	52	33	85

Number of days population exceeded capacity in 1970.

Boys: 60    Girls: 269

**Ages of Children Registered**

	<b>Boys</b>	<b>Girls</b>	<b>Total</b>
8 years and under .....	3	1	4
9 .....	15	1	16
10 .....	35	6	41
11 .....	90	23	113
12 .....	157	77	234
13 .....	305	169	474
14 .....	445	317	762
15 .....	626	320	946
16 .....	799	263	1,062
17 .....	739	223	962
18 .....	14	5	19
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>3,228</b>	<b>1,405</b>	<b>4,633</b>

Median Age 1970: Boys - 16 yrs.    Girls - 15 yrs.

Median Age 1969: Boys - 15 yrs. 2 mos.    Girls - 14 yrs. 9 mos.

# Custody Department 1970

## I. Scope:

The caseload handled by the Custody Division of Juvenile Court falls into 3 main categories:

1. Actions seeking to change custody of a child from one divorced parent to another.
2. Actions seeking to define or enforce companionship rights on behalf of the non-custodial parent.
3. Actions involving illegal placement of children as certified from Probate Court for Juvenile Court approval or disapproval for adoptive purposes.

## II. Volume:

A. CUSTODY CASES: 173 custody actions were filed during the year 1970 of which 122 have been heard, 11 dismissed by movant, 14 continued by counsel for future disposition, with the balance awaiting assignment for, or completion of, pre-hearing custody investigations. For comparison purposes, the 173 custody actions filed in 1970 represent an increase of 13 over 1969.

B. VISITATION AND COMPANIONSHIP CASES: (including contempt citations) 142 motions were filed during the year 1970 of which 95 have been heard, 5 dismissed, 16 settled without formal hearing, and 26 continued by movant for future disposition. Again, for comparison, 32 more such actions were filed in 1970 than in 1969.

C. ILLEGAL PLACEMENT CASES: During the year 1970, 20 illegal placement actions were certified to Juvenile from Probate Court for the purpose of initiating an adoptive study by Children Services Board or other agency. This compares with 29 such cases certified during 1969. Since last report, 18 such cases (including 1969 carryovers) were approved and recertified to Probate Court; 3 additional cases were dismissed after initial hearing, and 17 adoptive studies are currently in process by Children Services Board.

### **III. Procedures:**

Procedures followed in custody contests are governed largely by Chapter 31 of the *Ohio Revised Code*, as modified by new Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, which became effective July 1, 1970. Apart from cases involving the right of election by a child over fourteen years of age, most custody contests are preceded by a thorough investigation of parental and environmental factors. From October, 1967 until July, 1970 such an investigation was mandatory by dictates of law and has been continued by court practice thereafter in order to assure the fullest possible disclosure of parental capacity and circumstances before a custody award is made.

During the year 1970 an additional 45 custody cases were assigned to our Custody Investigator, Mrs. Barbara Smith, for investigation during which period she conducted and reported 42 investigations with approximately 12 more cases proceeding to trial on the basis of casework studies conducted by local agencies in order to eliminate casework duplication. Mrs. Smith also conducted 7 home studies at the request of other courts where one of the parental parties resided in Lucas County.

The counselling services of Mrs. Smith and local agencies also were used with increasing frequency in 1970 as follow-up measures in both custody and companionship cases where the welfare of children demanded further casework services.

### **IV. Conclusion:**

The problems peculiar to children of divorced parents constitute a continuing challenge to both judicial and legislative personnel to improve custodial laws and procedures. In recognition thereof Mrs. Rauh, Custody Referee, is serving her second year as a member of a local legislative committee, chaired by U.S. District Judge Don J. Young; she has appeared this past year before the Law Reform Committee of the Toledo Bar Association and has addressed various university groups such as the Law Dames of the University of Toledo in order to foster community interest in family law matters.

Mrs. Sue N. Rauh  
Custody Referee

Mrs. Barbara Smith  
Custody Investigator/Counselor

# Child Support Department 1970

	Total 1969	Total 1970
<b>1 A. Domestic Relations motions scheduled on pending divorces for child support; injunctions; temporary alimony; ejection of parent from home; temporary custody; contempt; etc. ....</b>	4,446	8,306
<b>1 B. Domestic Relations motions under 1A heard and decision rendered thereon .....</b>	1,340	3,444
<b>2 A. Juvenile Court motions scheduled on prior divorces for child support, injunctions; for contempt; lump sum judgments; to increase or decrease child support or suspend or terminate; set initial support; visitation and companionship; etc. ....</b>	894	1,695
<b>2 B. Juvenile Court motions under 2A heard and decision rendered thereon .....</b>	649	1,193
<b>3 A. United States reciprocal Uniform Support of Dependents hearings scheduled for setting initial child support; and motions to punish for contempt thereon; and to suspend or terminate said child support .....</b>	239	311
<b>3 B. Reciprocal motions under 3A heard and decision rendered thereon .....</b>	138	203
<b>4 A. Bastardy arraignments scheduled in Lucas County .....</b>	368	458
<b>4 B. Bastardy arraignments under 4A heard and bastardy pleas of not guilty, bastardy pleas of guilty, and child support orders set and/or dismissed .....</b>	305	369

In all, 5,947 motions were scheduled and 2,432 heard in 1969 against 10,770 motions scheduled and 5,209 heard in 1970 by referees.

Child support collections through the Toledo Humane Society rose from a previous high of \$4,519,681.42 in 1969 to a new all-time high of \$4,667,951.04 in 1970.

Uniform Reciprocal Dependent Act Child support collections through Juvenile Court Cashier of Lucas County, Ohio, rose from \$156,665.18 in 1969 to a new all-time high of \$159,141.76 in 1970.

Leon Frankel  
Charles Riseley  
Child Support Referees

## Finance Department

While there is no apparent indication that the year 1970 will spearhead a gay or roaring decade, it may prove to be an historic one for juvenile court.

In conjunction with the Model Cities Program, we developed and put into operation our first Group Home for Boys. In addition, plans were completed for a Girls Group Home, to be financed by similar programs, which should be ready for occupancy early in 1971. Both of these facilities become public property after the first year of operation.

The effects of economic inflation were apparent in the rising costs of maintaining adequate staff, tuition and board in private schools, psychological and medical services, food, clothing and maintenance supplies.

The cost of maintaining children in placement exceeded our estimate. However, expenditures for operating the Child Study Institute were slightly under the anticipated cost, as the average daily population was below the estimate.

Again this year the county experienced financial difficulties and the appropriation for operating funds was considerably below our requirements. Consequently, in order to cooperate, we made every effort to reduce expenditures, wherever possible, and some anticipated programs had to be curtailed. The areas in which such programs were curtailed or eliminated resulted in a savings of approximately \$15,000. All other obligations were completely covered.

During the year adjustments were made in compensation for personal service, but the turnover in personnel remained at approximately the same pace. However, replacements were available and we were able to maintain a reasonable staff level.

Very little progress was made in replacing worn out equipment and furniture; however, this item is high on the priority list for the coming year.

Several improvements were made in the detention sections of the Child Study Institute to provide greater safety for the children, but other sections of the building are still badly in need of paint, and the problem of flood control is still unsolved.

During the year 1970, probes were made in various directions with the purpose in mind of increasing the collections into the court for reimbursement by parents for placement of their children in schools or foster homes. In addition, a concerted effort was extended for the collection of restitution owed to the court and complainants for loss and damage sustained, plus fines.

Close liaison with other agencies on the county, state and federal levels has aided in reducing the amount of financial responsibility of the county.

The standardization of reimbursement orders on parents for the support of their children who are wards of the court, and placed in schools or foster homes, was instituted.

In most cases, parental reimbursement was made with some degree of regularity, but it was necessary to hold 42 conferences on reimbursement plus referring 134 cases to the Support Department for delinquencies.

To the existing caseload, 25 cases were added in 1970. There were 31 cases fully paid and closed out, along with the reactivation of 23 dormant cases. Social Security benefits were received for 18 cases.

In restitution, it was necessary to hold 312 conferences for the retirement of 570 cases. There were 511 restitution cases added to the caseload of 626 beginning 1970.

**Collections for 1970**

Support for minor children (collected by Toledo Humane Society) .....	\$4,667,951.04
Support of children, Wards of the Court maintained in private residential treatment centers and foster homes (Juvenile Court) .....	50,730.28
Monies collected under the Uniform Reciprocal Support Act .....	159,141.76
Restitution paid by children for damage or loss and fines .....	18,240.60
State Milk Subsidy and Miscellaneous .....	3,464.39
State Subsidy for foster home care .....	10,960.00
State Subsidy for Education .....	11,944.34
Juvenile Traffic fines (collected by Clerk's Office) .....	41,951.39
Court Costs (collected by Clerk's Office) .....	45,443.19
Domestic Relations and Juvenile fines (Clerk's Office) .....	1,591.80
Special Investigation fines (Clerk's Office) .....	1,950.00
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$5,013,368.79</b>

B. A. Bristol  
Business Manager  
  
Frank E. Landry  
Support Officer

## Domestic Relations - Marriage Counseling

Under Ohio Rules of Civil Procedure, effective July 1, 1970, as prescribed by the Supreme Court of Ohio and submitted to the 108th Ohio General Assembly, the mandatory divorce investigation statute 3105.08 O.R.C. was modified under Rule 75 relating to Divorce, Annulment and Alimony Actions.

The provisions of Rule 75 give discretionary power to the court regarding "investigations:" -- the rule reads, "the court may cause an investigation to be made (where minor children are involved) as to the character, family relations, past conduct, earning ability, and financial worth of the parties to the action." Other statutory provisions regarding availability of report to attorneys of record, to either party to the action, and right of either party to cross examine concerning the contents of the report remain essentially unchanged.

The Lucas County Domestic Relations Court, along with other Domestic Relations Courts in the larger Ohio Metropolitan communities, by rule of court, continued to make these investigatory studies and evaluations mandatory where children under 14 years of age were involved. By practice and policy the matter of first importance was an evaluation, made by professionally competent staff, as to the possibilities of an interest on the part of the litigants in a reconciliation effort.

Counseling with litigants interested in trying to resolve their problems so that an improved marital and family life would result was offered at the professional counseling level. Table 2, below, shows that of the 3,331 divorce petitions disposed of in 1970, 1,360 petitions, or 40+% of petitions disposed of, were dismissed. Many of these dismissals reflect the help of spouses through counseling, and their decision to try again to preserve the values found in the marriage and in the family. In those family situations where no possibility of reconciliation was found, counseling help was offered and used by litigants in resolving personal problems of adjustment during pendency of the divorce action, and in working through problems centering on plans for the protection to the children.

Under Rule 75, new protections were made available to children:

- B.2. "the court may join the child ... as a party defendant and appoint a guardian ad litem, and legal counsel if necessary,
- H. provides relief pending appeal in custody, support and alimony -
- N. provides more specifically for allowance of alimony, child support and custody pendente lite.

Litigated cases which did not fall within the rule of court regarding work with families with children under 14 continued to be referred from court or by attorneys when an interest in the possibility of a reconciliation was found.

Pre-litigation counseling cases were referred by attorneys, by other professionals, and by clients themselves. The number of pre-litigation counseling cases accepted was restricted by staff limitations. Wherever possible, without diminishing the interest of the parties or their counsel, pre-litigation cases were referred to other marriage or family counseling services (both public and private) in the community.

Because of the importance given to evaluating the possibilities of reconciliation in litigated cases; because of the effort made to give service in pre-litigation situa-

tions; because of the concerted effort by the bench and the counseling staff to keep informed at the highest level regarding counseling skills and techniques, this court fulfilled the intent of sections 3117.01 to 3117.08 Ohio Revised Code, made effective November 19, 1969, providing for a conciliation procedure of marital controversies.

Special recognition is given to the contribution made by those staff members serving as secretaries and receptionist-typists. They are increasingly skillful, not only in their own field, but as they contribute to the entire effort of the department. Often the first contact with our growing volume of troubled spouses is made by these staff members who facilitate and augment the entire investigative-counseling process of the domestic relations - marriage counseling division.

In family law the court faces the obligation to preserve two separate interests: the **individual** interest in the family, and the **social** (or larger community interest) in the family and marriage. Roscoe Pound, Dean of Harvard Law School, and Dean Emeritus prior to his death, wrote, "with the progressive breakdown in the authority of the head of the household what was once done through religion, 'boni mores,' and household discipline, has to be achieved, at least as to the ethical minimum, through the law." \* Ohio Statutes and legislative action underwrite this function of law.

During 1970 the counseling staff of the domestic relations, marriage counseling division, actively participated in community educational projects. Anthony Rudge, senior marriage counselor, gave talks to high school and church groups on "problems of early marriage;" "problems in marriage and divorce." He also participated in two TV-Radio discussions on divorce. Other members of the staff gave similar talks and participated in an educational TV program on marriage and the family.

In 1970 the counseling staff was lending its support to the effort to increase the skills and competence of the practice in this field through professional organizations such as Toledo Area Association of Correctional Workers, the Ohio Correctional and Court Services Association and the National Conference of Conciliation Courts which met in Detroit in May, 1970. The staff participated in an 8-week evening seminar at Toledo University on Drug Addiction. This latter project was of particular value in working with an increasing number, the small, of young spouses involved in drug use, and with older spouses whose children needed help with this problem. Members of the staff were active in a number of professional organizations by which they sharpened their skill and competence to help troubled families and spouses.

The department continued to serve as a field placement facility for university students interning in social work.

Table 1, following, shows the increase in divorce petitions filed: 3,568 petitions filed in 1970 (202 more than in 1969). Table 3, following, shows the increase in the number of cases assigned to counseling; in 1970 a total of 2,207 new cases were added to those still pending, (see also Table 4), making a total of 5,178 cases handled during 1970.

The following tables suggest the efforts made, and the results achieved in protecting values to children, to families, and to individuals; they imply the close relationship between counselors and attorneys as officers of the court, working with the bench for the best interests of citizens and the community at large.

\* 78.14 Michigan Law Review: - Pound - "Individual Interests in Domestic Relations."

## DOMESTIC RELATIONS - MARRIAGE COUNSELING

**Table No. 1**

### Domestic Relations - Legal Actions

*(a comparative study for 1968, 1969, 1970)*

	1968	1969	1970
Divorce actions pending before the Court January 1 .....	2,142	2,196	2,632
Total new petitions filed .....	3,086	3,366	3,568
Total petitions before the court during the year .....	5,228	5,562	6,200
Petitions heard .....	1,722	1,820	2,136
Total petitions disposed of .....	3,032	3,054	3,331

**Table No. 2**

### Record of Final Disposition of Legal Actions

*(comparative figures for 1969, 1970)*

	1969	1970
Divorce petitions granted .....	1,847	1,971
Divorce petitions dismissed .....	1,207	1,360
Total cases disposed of .....	3,054	3,331

**Note:** Of the total 3,331 cases disposed of in 1970, 59+% were granted; 40+% were dismissed. National average of dismissals has averaged about 33%.

Counseling with litigants by professional staff in evaluating marital problems, and in resolving conflicts, is believed to account, to a large extent, for the higher dismissal rate of divorce petitions in Lucas County.

**Table No. 3**

### Cases Active in Counseling And/or Investigation During 1970

Cases active in counseling as of 1-1-70 .....	2,296
Total new litigated cases assigned for counseling and/or investigation .....	2,207
Total minor cases which received not more than two counseling contacts each during 1970 .....	765
Total cases active in counseling or investigation .....	5,178
Total major and minor cases closed in 1970 .....	2,711
Total major and minor cases pending 1-1-71 .....	2,467

**Table No. 4**  
**Classification of Cases Assigned for Investigation**  
**And/or Counseling in Domestic Relations**

	a comparison	1968	1969	1970
1. Mandatory Divorce Investigations: litigated cases involving children under 14 years of age. These cases include special counseling work towards reconciliation of marital problems and special efforts in regards to Custody .....		2,009	2,044	2,164
2. Special Divorce Investigations: no children under 14, but counseling effort requested by the court, by attorneys, or by the litigants themselves .....		4	26	29
3. Major pre-litigation counseling -- by request of attorneys .....		4	3	4
4. Investigations in divorce litigation requested by Domestic Relations Courts of other communities (out-of-town inquiries) .....		3	12	10
TOTAL CASES .....		2,020	2,085	2,207

**DOMESTIC RELATIONS - MARRIAGE COUNSELING**

**Table No. 5**  
**Major Cases Work Assignment**  
**To Counselors By the Month 1970**

	Legal Petitions Filed	Cases Assigned To Counselors
January .....	282	180
February .....	240	151
March .....	285	177
April .....	336	220
May .....	266	168
June .....	340	207
July .....	322	188
August .....	330	206
September .....	346	224
October .....	305	189
November .....	270	170
December .....	246	127
Total .....	3,568	2,207

**Note:** 61+ % of all major cases filed were assigned to counseling.

**Table No. 6**  
**Classification of Cases Referred To Counseling**  
**Or Investigation That Were CLOSED in 1970**

*(Includes litigated, non-litigated and minor service cases)*

1.	Mandatory Divorce Investigation Cases Closed .....	2,012
2.	Marriage Counseling (pre-litigation) Cases Closed .....	7
3.	Investigation of Divorce Cases being litigated in other courts (OTI)	12
4.	Miscellaneous Counseling Cases (post-divorce counseling; step-children, etc.) .....	1
5.	Minor Counseling Cases (pre-litigation) .....	4
	Total Major Cases Closed .....	2,036
	Total Minor Cases Closed .....	675
	Total Cases Closed 1970 .....	2,711

**DOMESTIC RELATIONS - MARRIAGE COUNSELING**

**Table No. 7**

**Volume of Counseling Hours as Shown by**  
**Appointments of Counseling Staff in 1970**

1.	Total office conferences, by appointment, with clients, attorneys, family and children of litigants, and other persons involved with litigants in the divorce proceeding .....	4,171
2.	Total home visits by counseling staff: total recorded phone conferences with attorneys, other professional persons; conferences with school personnel, hospitals, other agencies, etc. ....	6,102
3.	Staff meetings and individual case conferences on pending cases with Court Psychiatrist by counseling staff .....	13
	Total recorded appointments .....	10,286+

## DOMESTIC RELATIONS - MARRIAGE COUNSELING

**Table No. 8**

**A Comparison of the Number of Divorce Petitions  
Filed, Heard and Disposed of From 1960 to 1970**

Year	Divorce Petitions Filed	Divorce Petitions Heard	Petitions Granted	Petitions Dismissed	Denied	Annulment
1960	2,139	1,305	1,236	890	6	6
1961	2,149	1,251	1,265	889	3	9
1962	2,096	1,241	1,180	815	9	6
1963	2,166	1,244	1,225	823	2	8
1964	2,354	1,385	1,313	910	3	6
1965	2,268	1,485	1,550	1,315	2	15
1966	2,733	1,457	1,432	1,476	1	16
1967	2,658	1,669	1,625	888	2	5
1968	3,086	1,722	1,661	1,365	2	4
1969	3,366	1,820	1,847	1,207	0	0
1970	3,568	2,136	1,971	1,360	0	0

**Note:** Of the petitions heard in 1960 (1,305) - 1,236 (or 94+%) were granted; of the petitions heard in 1970 (2,136) - 1,971 (or 92+%) were granted.

In 1970, 1,429 more petitions for divorce were filed; 735 more divorces granted than in 1960. In 1970, 470 more petitions were dismissed than in 1960.

The population of Lucas County in 1960 was 456,931; in 1970, 484,370 -- a population growth of 27,439. \*

\* Lucas County Planning Commission figures as of 1-1-71.

Eve K. Richards  
Supervisor  
Domestic Relations Department

# Staff of Family Court December 1970

Robert R. Foster, Judge  
\* \* \* \* \*  
Francis A. Pietrykowski, Judge

**Director** ..... Rita F. O'Grady  
**Administrator, C.S.I.** ..... Lawrence P. Murphy  
**Assistant Administrator, C.S.I.** ..... Charles Hinkelman  
**Supervisor, Domestic Relations** ..... Eve K. Richards  
**Business Manager** ..... Boston A. Bristol  
**Administrator, Probation Services** ..... Paul R. Sullivan  
**Chief, Typing Department** ..... Mildred M. Baker

**Referees**

**Chief** ..... Walter C.A. Bouck  
**Assistant Chief** .... Catherine Champion  
 Janice Christofel ..... Leon Frankel  
 Marjorie Gullberg ..... Sue N. Rauh  
 Charles Riseley ..... William Ruby  
 Daniel Sanders ..... Frank Sidle  
 ..... Dennis Ulrich

**Court Reporters**

**Chief** ..... Patricia Mack  
 ..... Margaret Jazwiecki

**Casework Supervisors**

**Assistant Chief** ..... C. Don McColl  
 Jeffrey Acocks ..... Judith Buchalski  
 ..... Jerome Levitt

**Probation Counselors**

Joyce Cappelletty ..... Ruby Cummings  
 Ralph Dandona ..... Michael Ferguson  
 Janet Goll ..... Carol Himebaugh  
 Thomas McGill ..... Ann Mentzer  
 Carlyle Mossman ..... Michael Narges  
 Fritz Nitschke ..... Charles Norris\*  
 Kenneth Piccolino ..... Paul Reynolds  
 Thomas Roth ..... George Ryan  
 Charles Smith\* ..... Dustyann Tyukody  
 ..... David Wagner

**Custody Investigator**

..... Barbara Smith

**Special Projects Coordinator**

..... John J. Neenan

**Placement Department**

**Supervisor** ..... Richard Daley  
 Margaret Gumble ... Marjorie Kelbaugh  
 ..... Kenneth Singer

**Support Officer**

..... Frank Landry

**Marshall**

..... Norton Cassady

**Marriage Counselors**

Rebecca Ansted ... Marycarroll Graham  
 Patricia Hoover\* ..... Marcia LaBonte\*  
 J. Anthony Rudge ..... Thomas Wabeke

**Community Based Group Home**

**Counselor** ..... Leonard Coffin  
**House Parent** ..... Carol Irby  
**House Parent** ..... Ronald Irby

**Statistical Department**

**Supervisor** ..... Bessie Munk  
 ..... Joanne Combs

**C.S.I. Professional Staff**

..... Joan Marie Coghlin\*  
 Dr. Henry L. Hartman\*  
 Rev. Robert L. Hass\* Dorothy Jackson\*  
 Dr. I.H. Kass\* ..... Arlene Markwood\*  
 ..... Rev. John Meyer\*

**Bailliffs**

Lenard Bauman ..... Kenneth Rohrs

**C.S.I. Leaders**

**Chief Girls' Leader** .... Catherine Shrider  
**Supervisor** ..... Robert Donovan  
**Supervisor** ..... David Deppen  
**Supervisor** ..... Daniel Holzemer  
**Supervisor** ..... Daniel Perch  
**Supervisor** ..... George R. Stamos  
 Medford Barr\* ..... Rebecca Boudrie  
 Pariss Coleman\* ..... Patrick Curran  
 Pauline Dedes ..... James De Lucia  
 James Farrier ..... Thomas Fitzpatrick

Minnie Glaspie ..... Cornell Grant  
 David Gray\* ..... Shirley Gihl  
 Gerald Heineman\* ..... Emma Hischka\*  
 James Howell ..... John Jackson  
 Kenneth Long\* ..... Willie Loper\*  
 Leroy Lucius ..... Margaret Manzey  
 William McCoy ... Woodrow McCreary  
 Thomas McGurk ..... Verna Moore  
 Myrl Patton\* ..... Edward Poczekaj  
 Stanley Rappaport ..... Ferne Sage  
 John Schafer ..... Bernetta Shields  
 Stella Shields ..... Floyd Smith\*  
 James Twiss ..... Mary Vaillant  
 Edward Verkin\* ..... Lorean Whitaker

**Art Teachers, C.S.I.**

Joanne Shapler\* ..... June Taylor\*

**Cooks**

**Chief** ..... Marie Crawford  
 Modesta Clapp ..... Martha Drzewiecki  
 ..... Dorothy Hogle

**Maintenance Staff**

**Day Foreman** ..... Frank Jurski  
**Night Foreman** ..... Edward Wolny  
 Hazel Celestine ..... Edward Grice  
 Clara Jastrzemski ..... James Kizer  
 Cecilia Kosolka ..... Gusta Leiser  
 Marian Rocco ..... Jean Sohalski  
 ..... Milas Wells

**Office Staff**

James Arnold ..... Ruth Blair  
 Genevieve Blanks ..... Brenda Brandes\*  
 Mary Bruning ..... Marie Brunsmann  
 Mary Compton ..... Mildred Connin  
 Elvira Drotar ..... Mary Eckholdt\*  
 Audrey Fall ..... Regina Fleck  
 Gertrude Gerbich .... Madelynn Gohring  
 Francis Gomolski ..... Jean Gould  
 Carl Guy ..... Pauline Hammonds  
 Ella Herbac\* ..... Joanna Hixson  
 Thelma Hogan ..... Mary Ivansco  
 Bernice Kaminski ..... Mary Klein  
 Nancy Langenderfer ..... Edna Layman  
 Maxine Miles ..... Alma Miller  
 Kathy Mouch ..... Frances Nicholas  
 Madelle Pulcrano ..... Virginia Semler  
 Elaine Soldinger ..... Kathleen Tate  
 Mary Ann Timar ..... Hariette Twiss  
 Joyce Vargo ..... Mary Wendt  
 Cathy Young ..... Bella Yourist

**Toledo Board of Education Teachers**

**Principal** ..... Bess Campbell  
 Thomas Daniels ..... Wayne Haefner  
 Leone Hinline ..... David Thornhill

**Teacher Probation Counselors**

Millard Jackson\* ..... Andrew Kandik\*  
 James Martin\* ..... Mattie Milton\*  
 ..... James Summers\*

**Volunteers**

Phyllis Dean ..... Edwin Burnep

**Students**

Pamela Kearney ..... Thomas Rood  
 Roy Silver ..... Virginia Stewart  
 Vickie Lynn Lewis .... Richard Bradford  
 ..... Andres Friedland

\* *Part-time workers*

