


LUCAS COUNTY JUVENILE COURT

1984 ANNUAL
REPORT



TOLEDO, OHIO

TABLE OF CONTENTS


Introduction.....	Page 1
Highlights for 1984.....	Page 1
Administration.....	Page 3
Business/Fiscal.....	Page 3
Referee Department.....	Page 3
Court Appointed Special Advocates.....	Page 4
Citizens Review Board.....	Page 4
Records/Statistics.....	Page 4
Probation Services.....	Page 5
Chemical Awareness Program.....	Page 6
Diversion Program.....	Page 6
Juvenile Restitution Program.....	Page 7
Placement Consortium.....	Page 7
Placement Services.....	Page 8
Remedial Reading Program.....	Page 9
Serious Offender Program.....	Page 9
Structural Family Counseling.....	Page 10
Volunteer Probation Counselor Program.....	Page 10
Probation Services - 1984 Activity.....	Page 10
Youth Services Advisory Board.....	Page 11
C.A.R.E.S.....	Page 11
Intensive Family/Child Treatment Program.....	Page 12
Jerusalem Outreach Program.....	Page 12
Parental Substance Abuse Counseling (Parents Helping Parents).....	Page 13
Child Study Institute.....	Page 14
Court Statistics.....	Page 15
Child Study Institute Statistics.....	Page 17
Fiscal Reports.....	Page 18
Court Staff.....	Page 20
Volunteer Staffs.....	Page 22

*To the Honorable James Holzemer
To the Honorable Al Hawkins
To the Honorable Sandy Isenberg
Commissioners of Lucas County*

*TO: J. Thomas Mullen, Director
Ohio Department of Youth Services*

In compliance with Section 2151.18 General Code, we submit herewith the Annual Report of the Court of Common Pleas, Juvenile Division, covering the calendar year 1984, showing the number and kinds of cases that have come before it, and other data pertaining to the work of the Court of interest to you and the general public.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Andy Devine". The signature is written in black ink on a light-colored background.

Andy Devine, Judge

INTRODUCTION

The Juvenile Court is a complex legal/social agency responsible for the resolution of cases involving children and families. Although delinquency/unruly cases is the largest single category, the Court is also responsible for juvenile traffic offenders; parentage (paternity); dependent, neglected and abused children; custody/visitation; marriage consents; and adults charged with contributing to the delinquency of a minor.

The resolution of these cases is time-consuming and difficult because of the ongoing personal, familial and social problems encountered by the people involved. The Judge and staff have concern not only for resolving cases in Court but also for improving family life, personal relationships, and education & social services for youth and families within the community.

The goal for the Juvenile Court is to effectively, efficiently and equitably administer justice. The Juvenile Court has to prescribe to the Ohio Rules of Juvenile Procedure, which are:

- 1) to effect the just determination of every juvenile court proceeding by ensuring the parties a fair hearing and the recognition and enforcement of their constitutional and other legal rights;
- 2) to secure simplicity and uniformity in procedure, fairness in administration and the elimination of unjustifiable expense and delay;
- 3) to provide for the care, protection, and mental & physical development of children subject to the jurisdiction of the juvenile court, and to protect the welfare of the community; and
- 4) to protect the public interest by treating children as persons in need of supervision, care and rehabilitation.

With this in mind, the Court proceeds with confidence to achieve its goal of resolving cases and attempting to help children and families in trouble; realizing that it is not within human power to achieve total success, but nonetheless committed to its ideal.

HIGHLIGHTS FOR 1984

The 15,520 cases disposed of during 1984 represent
—a 31% increase over 1983 (3,702 cases)
—the most cases disposed of during a year in the history of the Juvenile Court.

The most significant increase has been in the area of family cases (paternity, reciprocals, dependency, neglect & abuse) with an increase of 3,092 cases. In terms of Court time, these cases place a hearing burden upon the judicial system. They require long and involved testimony that often deals with complex legal issues.

In April of 1984, the institute of Child Advocacy began to develop a training program and manual on permanency planning for the staff and volunteers of Juvenile Court and the Children Services Board. A Planning Committee, chaired and facilitated by Institute staff with representatives from the Juvenile Court, Children Services Board, Ohio Department of Human Services and related community members, met weekly from July through September to agree upon the general outline and specific language to be used in the manual.

In May of 1984, the Toledo Police Department concluded a 13 month undercover drug investigation at 5 local high schools. As a result of the investigation, 60 juveniles were charged with drug trafficking. The Probation Department developed a treatment package to meet this sudden and serious problem. The Chemical Awareness Program (CAP) was developed to teach the youth and parents the dangers of chemical abuse. Besides CAP, the Court used a combination of probation, detention, hospital treatment, periodic urinalysis and public service work for the 55 youths who were kept in the community. The response from the Court was praised by local community leaders and further documented the Court's commitment to resolve the problems of chemical abuse by Toledo area youth.

An important milestone was reached in the ultimate construction of a secure treatment center with the approval for the project from the Juvenile Justice Advisory Board. A treatment design committee was formed with representatives from the Advisory Board, Juvenile Court and local treatment providers to chart the treatment characteristics and time guidelines as to bring the project to a successful conclusion.

To improve the quantity and quality of court and court related space for the Family Court Center, the Lucas County Commissioners formalized plans for new construction and remodeling of present facilities. Current plans call for demolition of two adjacent county owned buildings and the installation of a new heating plant during 1985. An architect has been retained to draw up plans for the new building and remodeling of the current building to be shared jointly by juvenile and domestic courts.

The Juvenile Court continued to aggressively pursue funding sources for continuing and new programs:

- the Lucas County Placement Consortium was funded for a second year by the Ohio Department of Health*
- the Serious Offender Program was funded by the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services with federal Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention funds*
- the Chemical Awareness Program (CAP) was funded by the Ohio Department of Health, Division of Alcoholism after its successful implementation during the Toledo Police Department undercover operation.*

The Lucas County Juvenile Court continued to be a state and national leader in innovative programming. Programs, philosophy and personnel are constantly being evaluated and changed to best meet the needs of youth and families in the community. The Court has been and remains in partnership with the community with the underlying philosophy that success can only be accomplished if it is "a Court involved with the Community and a Community involved with the Court."

ADMINISTRATION

As of January 5, 1977, the Family Court Center was divided into two separate divisions within the Court of Common Pleas:

- Juvenile Division
- Domestic Relations Division

Under the Authority of the respective judges, the new arrangement allowed for a greater emphasis and specialization in the area of domestic and juvenile matters.

The Family Court Center complex is administered by the Court Director. All business/fiscal matters are under the direction of the Court Business Manager.

Separate Juvenile Court departments include: Referee Department under the jurisdiction of the Chief Referee; Probation Services under the Administrator of Probation Services; and Child Study Institute (detention) under the CSI Administrator.

BUSINESS/FISCAL

The Business Office is under the supervision of the Business Manager. The Business Manager is responsible for: budget preparation and control; payroll; financial reports and records for state and federally subsidized projects; support and collections; purchasing and procurement of equipment and supplies; and building maintenance. The Clerk of Court's Office is also under the supervision of the Business Manager.

The budget must be prepared annually and be approved by the county commissioners. Subsequent to its approval, funds are budgeted separately for the Juvenile Court and Child Study Institute. Expenditures must conform to various appropriations and are constantly monitored by the County Auditor.

REFEREE DEPARTMENT

One Judge could not conduct a hearing on each of the 15,520 cases filed in the Juvenile Court in 1984. Trained and experienced referees arraign parties, hold pre-trial conferences and conduct trials. Using their knowledge of the law, procedure and the facts of each case, the referees make recommendations to the Judge. The Judge reviews each Referee Report and makes the Order that is most appropriate in each case.

Jury trials, trials involving adult defendants, as well as cases involving the certification of juveniles to the General Division of the Common Pleas Court are heard only by the Judge.

Juvenile Court jurisdiction covers cases of delinquency, traffic, unruly (truancy, runaway, incorrigible), dependency, neglect, abuse, parentage and contributing to the delinquency of minors. Final responsibility for each case rests with the Judge. Without the assistance of the staff of qualified referees the swift disposition of cases in this high volume Court could not be accomplished.

COURT APPOINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATES (CASA)/GUARDIAN AD LITEM

Court Appointed Special Advocates (CASA) are trained citizen volunteers serving as Guardian ad Litem (GAL) who represent youth in dependency, neglect or abuse cases. A GAL is a person appointed by the Juvenile Court to advocate for the best interest of the child. These advocates investigate a child's social and emotional background and advise the Court concerning their recommendations.

The program goal is to insure that a child's right to a safe, permanent home is acted on in a sensitive and expedient manner. The GAL follows the case to its satisfactory conclusion with the child's best interest in mind at all times. There were 61 CASA/GAL in Lucas County in 1984 and 194 cases were represented.

The CASA Program was developed and established by Judge Andy Devine and the Junior League of Toledo, Inc.

CITIZEN REVIEW BOARD

The purpose of the Juvenile Court Citizen Review Board is to review the status of each child in the care or custody of a public or private agency to determine that a plan for a permanent, nurturing environment exists, and that the agency is working toward achieving this plan. Review Board members receive extensive training with regard to state statutes governing child welfare, information concerning child placement and Review Board policies and procedures. The four 5-member Boards each meet twice monthly and conducted 2667 reviews in 1984.

The Citizen Review Board was formed through the cooperative work of the Juvenile Court and the Junior League of Toledo.

RECORDS/STATISTICS

Records/Statistics include the file room (family files) and the Statistical Information Office. The Statistical Information Office compiles and stores information, both manually and for the court's computer. These offices are under the supervision of the Court Director and materials and information are confidential, conforming to relevant Ohio Revised Code statutes.

PROBATION SERVICES

Probation Services is responsible for two (2) basic functions:

- 1) social history investigations
- 2) supervision/counseling

Investigations are ordered by the Judge prior to the disposition hearing and include family dynamics, school adjustment, community life (including substance abuse), professional evaluations and victim assessment.

Probation Officers are assigned to one of four (4) geographical teams with each team under the supervision of a Case Manager. The team concept allows the Probation Officer to become familiar with neighborhoods, peer groups, schools and community resources. Supervision includes home and school visits and interviews (counseling) with the youth and family. The Probation Officer attempts to discover the cause of the child's difficulties and aids the child and family in bringing about changes in their behavior.

A variety of staff training is available to probation personnel with a focus on the family and the epidemic problem of substance abuse.

Six (6) probation officers participated in Structural Family Counseling training which involved videotaping family sessions for critique by a consulting psychologist.

Six (6) probation officers received training towards certification as Chemical Dependency Counselors. A Chemical Awareness Program (C.A.P.) which involved the entire family in three weeks (18 hour) of awareness, prevention, and intervention, was designed and implemented by these counselors.

Volunteers continued to be a valuable resource to the Department, in such positions as: Peer Counselors; Volunteer Probation Counselors; Remedial Reading Tutors; and community professionals in the Diversion Program.

During 1984, Probation Services carried an average of 923 probation cases a month, had 960 youth placed on probation and completed 712 social history investigations.

The following formal programs are under the direction of the Administrator of Probation Services:

- Chemical Awareness Program (C.A.P.)
- Diversion Program
- Juvenile Restitution Program (J.R.P.)
- Placement Consortium
- Placement Services
- Remedial Reading Program
- Serious Offender Program
- Structural Family Therapy
- Volunteer Probation Counselor Program

CHEMICAL AWARENESS PROGRAM (C.A.P.)

In May of 1984, the Toledo Police Department concluded an undercover drug investigation at five (5) local high schools. As a result of the sting operation, 60 juveniles were charged with drug trafficking. The sting graphically pointed out to the community the seriousness of teenage chemical abuse and vividly illustrated the adage that "chemical abuse has no boundaries."

In response to the operation and arrests, the Court, with assistance from nine (9) chemical dependency programs and support groups in the community, implemented an intensive eighteen (18) hour education/awareness and intervention program. The Chemical Awareness Program (C.A.P.), as it was called, combined group sessions with education so as to enable families to assess dynamics and behaviors and to understand the concepts of chemical dependency and treatment.

Because of the success of the program, it was funded by the Ohio Department of Health, Division of Alcoholism for continuation in 1984-85.

During 1984, 45 families were referred to C.A.P.

DIVERSION PROGRAM

The Lucas County Juvenile Court Diversion Program was designed to provide the court a viable dispositional alternative for first offense misdemeanants, to reduce the number of youth who become formally involved with the juvenile justice system and to educate youth about the law while exposing them to activities, information and experiences that will foster positive attitudes and values that will enable them to make positive choices in the future.

The program has two components - an official diversion and an unofficial diversion. Those youth referred for official diversion are adjudicated by the court and must attend a series of one hour sessions (5 for those 13 years and younger and 8 for those 14 years of age and older). Session topics include:

- value clarifications*
- understanding the law*
- dangers of drug and alcohol use*
- police relations*
- vandalism in the parks*
- tours of the Child Study Institute*

Most sessions are facilitated by representatives from community social agencies and the Toledo Police Department. When a youth has successfully completed the program, the original referral charge is dismissed at a formal court hearing.

In September of 1984, an unofficial diversion component was added that completely diverts the youth from the formal system. The program has an intake interview with the youth and family and recommends appropriate referrals to community agencies.

During 1984, the Diversion Program accomplished the following:

- 418 official referrals*
- 92 unofficial referrals*
- 91% of youth referred successfully completed the program.*

JUVENILE RESTITUTION PROGRAM (JRP)

The relationship between youth, crime, victims and the community are demonstrated through the two major components of the Juvenile Restitution Program.

The "Restitution Process" deals with holding youth directly responsible financially for the loss and/or damages they have caused. Based on financial ability, the youth either pays the debt, works on a program work crew to earn the money, or a combination of both. The restitution owed by each youth is determined through a loss verification process conducted with each victim. The program records all payments, maintains restitution records and handles all reimbursements for each youth owing restitution.

The "Public Service" component can best be described as symbolic restitution. Neither the child, the victim, nor the community receive any monetary compensation. However, the public service work the offenders perform is intended as a way for them to make amends with the community.

A unique aspect of the Restitution Program is the operation of supervised work crews. A wide variety of community service projects are completed by these crews at Toledo area parks, schools and public service agencies. They are also active in the set up and dismantling of the Crosby Gardens Festival of the Arts and the Toledo Festival each year.

During 1984, 662 youths were referred to the program, \$40,721.73 in restitution was paid to victims and 13,866 hours of public service work was completed. A total of 60 work sites were used with program crews providing 27,000 hours of labor during the year.

PLACEMENT CONSORTIUM

In 1982, the Probation Department formed a committee of various placement personnel to staff cases in need of possible placement. Each case was presented by the Probation Officer for a treatment/placement recommendation. With the realization that the Court was not the first (or only) service provider, information and input was requested from various community agencies to assist the committee in exploring treatment alternatives.

Since there were still gaps in service and service area boundaries, the Placement Consortium was organized to take a significant step toward improving coordination and communication of existing resources and to more appropriately address the needs of multi-problem youth and their families.

With funding from the Ohio Department of Health in 1983, the Lucas County Placement Consortium was divided into two groups:

- 1) Executive Planning and Conflict Resolution Group: Composed of the directors of major funders, policymakers and planners of children services in Lucas County, the group seeks joint funding solutions, policy resolutions, high-level coordinations and appropriateness of services provided.

2) *Placement, Providers, and Case Planning Group*: This group is composed of representatives reflecting the various services available throughout the county, and staffs cases weekly, identifying barriers to service and service gaps.

At present, 20 agencies representing the six major Ohio disciplines providing services for children are members of the Consortium. Since its inception, the Consortium has sought creative solutions for over 450 youths with a variety and combination of problems, such as mental illness, mental retardation, significant health problems, delinquency, dependency and abuse, chemical dependency, and youths in need of additional and extraordinary educational services. The cluster concept itself has demonstrated that by working together, youngsters who ordinarily would fall through the cracks of the service delivery system are finding treatment and services now available.

PLACEMENTS IN 1984	
<i>Intensive Family Counseling Program</i>	69
<i>Group Homes</i>	47
<i>Court Foster Homes</i>	33
<i>Foster Home Networks</i>	20
<i>Residential Schools</i>	16
<i>Boys Town</i>	15

PLACEMENT SERVICES

Although the goal of Probation Services is to deal with problems in the natural home setting, in some cases this is not the best method to correct behavioral problems. An inadequate home environment where supervision is lacking and other problems exist often necessitates temporary removal of the child from that home and placement into an alternative living arrangement until matters can be corrected.

The Foster Home Department is responsible for recruiting, training and certifying quality foster homes. It is in this type of placement that youngsters need to experience a parent-child relationship. The Placement Department is responsible for placing children into private group homes, public institutions and private schools. The department acts as a liaison between the placement site and the court.

Both departments work with agency staff or foster parents, natural parents and the child during the placement to correct the present problems and reunite the natural family unit upon termination of the placement.

REMEDIAL READING PROGRAM

In October of 1980, an experimental program with a small reading laboratory was established to test the feasibility of raising reading levels of delinquent youth. After extensive testing, it was found that reading deficiencies were pronounced and that justification for a full time program was warranted.

The Remedial Reading Program was established in January of 1981 with the following program components:

- individual assessment of each student*
- design of an individual work plan which identifies current skills level and those needing improvement*
- a one-on-one approach with the student by utilizing trained volunteer tutors.*

The volunteer tutor is the essential ingredient of the program. Success is tied to the tutor's determination to support, encourage and motivate the student. The diagnostic tests, prescriptions and audio visual equipment provide the basic format, but the tutors' efforts ultimately insure the success of the program.

SERIOUS OFFENDER PROGRAM

A proposal outlining an alternative treatment strategy for youths classified as serious offenders (felony 1 or felony 2) was funded by the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Services. A treatment team, consisting of a representative from the Juvenile Court, the Ohio Department of Youth Services, and the Cummings-Zucker Center, has the responsibility of formulating and implementing a treatment plan for selected youths committed to a state institution. The Juvenile Court's representative serves as case-manager and provides overall direction to the program.

Treatment and counseling with the youth and family begins in detention and continues during institutionalization. If all parties have cooperated and the family unit has stabilized, an early release is granted and the youth enters the Cummings-Zucker extended day program. The program is highly structured, 12 hours a day, 7 days a week, with weekly family therapy sessions. The counseling and the networking approach that is utilized is crucial to the success of the program.

During 1984, 40 youths were selected, with 20 being assigned to a control group and 20 to the program. An evaluation and follow-up is being conducted by the Toledo/Lucas County Regional Planning Unit.

STRUCTURAL FAMILY THERAPY

In keeping with the philosophy of keeping families together by building on their strengths, Probation Services has had counselors in training to learn structural family therapy techniques since 1981.

Counselors learn to acquire, improve and expand skills in counseling families. The focus is on family structure as the context for planned, problem-oriented therapy. From these sessions, counselors learn how to read, join and restructure family sessions. With the installation of one-way glass in one of the department's interviewing rooms, sessions are video taped and reviewed at regularly scheduled meetings. Counselors in the program also maintain a regular caseload.

In 1984, 60 families were referred for structural family counseling.

VOLUNTEER PROBATION COUNSELOR PROGRAM

The Volunteer Probation Counselor Program (V.P.C.) is designed to encourage concerned citizens to assist in the supervision of youth on probation. The program, which was developed in 1971, recognizes the fact that volunteers can be a valuable resource in the overall treatment of juvenile offenders.

Volunteers generally work with first, low risk offenders. All V.P.C.'s must participate in orientation and training classes before being assigned a probationer. In addition, on-going training is provided to all volunteers.

Volunteers establish weekly contact with the probationer to monitor behavior at home, school and in the community. In addition, they counsel and/or resolve difficulties that may develop while the youth is on probation. The time and personal concern of the Volunteer Probation Counselors assists and encourages the youth and enriches both their lives.

During 1984, the Volunteer Probation Counselor Program,

- served 206 youth
- spent 2,550 hours with probationers
- made 2,215 visits to homes.

PROBATION SERVICES - 1984 ACTIVITY

Carried on Probation from 1983	892
Placed on Probation in 1984	960
Average per Month on Probation	923
Social History Investigations Completed	712
Chemical Awareness Program Referrals	45
Diversion Program Referrals	510
Juvenile Restitution Program Referrals	662
Remedial Reading Program Referrals	50
Serious Offender Program Referrals	20
Structural Family Therapy Referrals	60
Volunteer Probation Counselor Program Referrals	122

YOUTH SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD

Beginning July 1, 1980, the Ohio Department of Youth Services eliminated categorical subsidy grants earmarked for probation staff salaries and foster home placement and replaced it with a more generic Youth Services Grant. Monies are allocated on a population formula basis to assist juvenile courts in developing or expanding prevention, diversion and non-secure treatment services for youth in community based programs.

To participate in the Youth Services Grant, the Court had to establish and maintain a Youth Services Advisory Board. The purpose of the Board is to advise the Court in the development of an annual youth services plan in accordance with the objectives of the Youth Services Grant and to monitor its progress.

During 1984, the Board was expanded to 17 members (formerly 15); eight (8) being appointed by the Judge; eight (8) being appointed by the Lucas County Commissioners; and one (1) being appointed by the Board. The Board took an active and aggressive position in the review and recommendation of subsidy projects and in the planning of a continuum of services for serious and chronic offenders, which includes a local treatment facility.

For the 1984-85 fiscal year, the Board approved funding for the following projects:

- Substance Abuse Treatment Home
- Jerusalem Outreach Center
- Intensive Family/Child Treatment Program
- Staff Training
- Juvenile Restitution Program
- Parental Substance Abuse Counseling
(Parents Helping Parents)
- Chemical Abuse Reduced Through Education and Services
(C.A.R.E.S.)
- Treatment Services Planning for Serious and Chronic Offenders
- Residential Placements

C.A.R.E.S.

Toledo/Lucas County Chemical Abuse Reduced Through Education and Services, initiated and organized by Judge Devine and the Junior League of Toledo, Inc., is a countywide non-profit agency. C.A.R.E.S. has enlisted the leadership of the community, i.e., school superintendents, police chiefs, treatment directors, substance abuse support groups and interested citizens and organizations concerned with working toward prevention, treatment and rehabilitation of chemically dependent youth.

These functions are achieved through a network of committees addressing such areas as:

- Community awareness
- Education/enforcement
- Juvenile justice
- Strengthening the family
- Support groups
- Treatment

C.A.R.E.S. Board of Trustees has twenty members - 10 organizational seats are held by the Juvenile Court Judge, Law Enforcement Board, Juvenile Officers of the Police Department, Toledo Public Schools, Lucas County Schools, Catholic Schools, United Health Services, Children Services Board and the Lucas County Mental Health Board.

C.A.R.E.S. has received wide-spread community support and national recognition, both for its conceptual organization and accomplishments.

INTENSIVE FAMILY/CHILD TREATMENT PROGRAM

Many of the youth who were coming before the Court were found to be experiencing estrangement from their families as well as the larger society. The breakdown in family relations manifests itself in the form of anger and frustration and often results in a child who is seen as out of control or delinquent.

The Cummings-Zucker Center, Inc. of Toledo in conjunction with the Juvenile Court developed a program with the major objectives of:

- 1) restoring a healthy relationship between the child and parents*
- 2) instilling the traditional acceptable ideals of society which include affirmation of the family, the school and community as the foundations of human society*
- 3) increasing self-worth, stimulating personal growth and developing a sense of responsibility on behalf of the youth.*

The objectives are achieved through simultaneously operating program elements; 12 hour extended day treatment/school programming, behavior modification, family therapy sessions and community support advocacy for the family.

The Intensive Family/Child Treatment program is an innovative approach in dealing with the youth and the family in their natural home environment with extended day treatment. The program provides the Court with a treatment option that focuses on both the youth and family. It is indicative of the Court's philosophy of working with existing community agencies so as to provide a continuous option of services for youth coming into contact with the Juvenile Court.

JERUSALEM BAPTIST OUTREACH PROGRAM

There has long been a need in the central city area of Toledo for a single organization to provide community based, multiphasic services at a centralized area directed towards those affected youth and their problems. In response to this need, a contractual agreement between the Jerusalem Baptist Outreach Center and the Lucas County Juvenile Court was signed in August of 1982.

The proposal entailed working with court referrals on probation and their parents for a period of fifteen weeks. Those youth selected were from the Dorr/ Detroit Street area and were referred by probation counselors to a designated court liaison person.

The program addresses the needs of:

- Academic tutoring*
- Counseling (individual, group and family)*
- Hypertension control*
- Health care services*

During 1984, an aftercare component was added to the program to accommodate those youth which needed follow-up care. Also, a parental counseling group was established to address parental concerns concurrently during the participation of their child(ren) in the program. The Ohio Department of Youth Services contracted with the Outreach Center to work with parolees returning to the community.

During 1984, two 15 week cycles were conducted with 50 youth being referred from the Probation Department. Of these 50 referrals, 30 successfully completed the program and participated in graduation ceremonies.

PARENTAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE COUNSELING

Parental Substance Abuse Counseling (Parents Helping Parents) is a support group system for parents. The purpose of the program is to strengthen and reinforce parents in their struggle to preserve the family unit and to deal with problems stemming from unacceptable adolescent behavior and/or chemical dependency. This is accomplished by fostering a network of parents' self-help support mechanisms, public education and social action. The groups offer hope and support through sharing and suggestions. Parents gain new insight into their own situations from others in the group.

Using the expertise of participating parents is a prominent part of the program. One of the major strengths is the commitment demonstrated by many of the parents who serve as volunteer co-facilitators, speakers and those who make phone contacts and give support to parents in crisis situations, at any time of the day or night.

CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE

The Child Study Institute (CSI) provides temporary detention for children between the ages of 8 to 18. The function of the CSI is two-fold:

- 1) to provide temporary, secure detention for children under the jurisdiction of the Court who require detention pending the disposition of their cases;
- 2) to conduct social and psychological evaluations of children in order to advise the Court regarding disposition of their cases.

The capacity of the CSI is 75 single rooms, 47 for boys and 28 for girls. Detainees are classified according to sex and whether they are first or repeat offenders.

Children who enter the CSI are given a physical examination upon admission. Health records are kept on each child and medical/dental care is provided as needed. A pediatrician visits daily and nurses are on duty or on call at all times.

A complete educational program is a service provided in the CSI. The school is fully accredited for grades 1-12 and is part of the Toledo Public School System. The staff consists of four (4) certified teachers, one of whom also serves as principal. Each teacher concentrates on the basics of education and attempts to raise low achievers to their appropriate grade level through remedial instruction.

Gym and physical activities are available to all who are approved by the medical clinic. Ceramic classes are held twice a week and the CSI staff is trained to organize a variety of games and craft projects within the detention setting. A program director also schedules and monitors television viewing through the use of video players by taping and selecting special movies and programs.

Spiritual needs are addressed by the Juvenile Court Chaplaincy Program and services are held each Saturday.

The League of City Mothers has been actively involved with the CSI since 1930's by contributing funds toward the purchase of equipment and by organizing special activities.

VOLUME

Juvenile offenses disposed of in 1984 totaled 4981, an increase of 307 cases (or 6.6%) from 1983. Included in these cases are 585 dismissed (Diversion Program), 728 dismissed, 7 marked off docket, 621 nolle prosequi and 61 out of county runaways.

DELINQUENT VS. UNRULY

Of the 4981 cases, 4158 (83%) were delinquency cases and 823 (17%) were unruly cases.

INDIVIDUAL CHILDREN

A Total of 3,280 individual children (excluding out of county runaways) appeared in Juvenile Court for the 4981 offenses.

SEX

Of the 4981 offenses, 3578 (or 72%) involved boys and 1403 (or 28%) involved girls.

Of the 3280 individual children (excluding out of county runaways), 2266 (or 69%) were boys and 1014 (or 31%) were girls.

RACE PER OFFENSE

(excludes out of county runaways)

	<u>White</u>	<u>Black</u>	<u>Latin</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Total</u>
Boys	2402 (67%)	981 (27%)	181 (5%)	14 (1%)	3578
Girls	852 (61%)	478 (34%)	69 (5%)	4 (1%)	1403
Total	3254 (65%)	1459 (29%)	250 (5%)	18 (1%)	4981

CASES DISPOSED IN 1984

There were 15,520 cases disposed of in 1984, compared to 11,818 in 1983, an increase of 3702 (or 31%)

Delinquency/Unruly.....	4981
Traffic Complaints.....	4532
Parentage.....	1958
Change Disposition/Review Hear.....	1434
Recipractal.....	1245
Dependency/Neglect.....	646
Contributing/Neglect.....	242
Child Abuse.....	195
Other.....	131
Custody/Visitation.....	90
Out of County Runaways.....	61
Consent to Marry.....	5

FIRST OFFENDERS VS. REPEATERS

Of the 3,280 individual children who appeared in court, 2,122 (or 65%) appeared for their first offense and 1,158 (or 35%) were repeat offenders.

	<u>First Offenders</u>	<u>Repeaters</u>	<u>Total</u>
Boys	1405 (62%)	861 (38%)	2266
Girls	717 (71%)	297 (29%)	1014
Total	2122 (65%)	1158 (35%)	3280

COMMITMENTS TO DEPARTMENT OF YOUTH SERVICES

	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Total</u>
Committed	159	23	182 (83%)
Re-Committed	33	3	36 (17%)
	192 (88%)	26 (12%)	218

SOURCE OF REFERRALS-OFFENSES

(excludes out of county runaways)

	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Total</u>
<i>Law Enforcement Officer</i>	2796	755	3551
<i>School</i>	269	200	469
<i>Victim</i>	297	137	434
<i>Parents or Relatives</i>	128	227	355
<i>Social Agency</i>	21	64	85
<i>Other Source</i>	58	17	75
<i>Other Court</i>	9	1	10
<i>Probation Officer</i>	0	2	2
<i>Parole Officer</i>	0	0	0
Total	3578	1403	4981

JUVENILE OFFENSES

	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Total</u>
Robbery/Theft			
<i>Auto Theft</i>	18	2	20
<i>Agg. Robbery & Robbery</i>	33	3	36
<i>Agg. Robbery & Burglary (B & E)</i>	192	3	195
<i>Forgery</i>	4	6	10
<i>Grand Theft</i>	167	32	199
<i>Receiving Stolen Property</i>	74	9	83
<i>Shoplifting</i>	174	110	284
<i>Other Theft</i>	207	73	280
<i>Unlawful Use Property</i>	5	2	7
<i>Unauthorized Use Motor Vehicle</i>	17	4	21
<i>Attempt & Complicity</i>	39	8	47
	930	252	1182
Sex			
<i>Rape</i>	6	0	6
<i>Gross Sexual Imposition</i>	15	0	15
<i>Soliciting</i>	1	14	15
<i>Felonious Sexual Penetration</i>	3	0	3
<i>Public Indecency</i>	7	1	8
	32	15	47
Injury To Person			
<i>Agg. Assault & Assault</i>	141	57	198
<i>Felonious & Negligent Assault</i>	13	3	16
<i>Agg. Menacing & Menacing</i>	32	8	40
<i>Kidnapping</i>	5	0	5
<i>Vehicular Homicide</i>	3	1	4
<i>Involuntary Manslaughter</i>	2	0	2
<i>Agg. Murder & Murder</i>	2	0	2
<i>Child Stealing</i>	1	0	1
	199	69	268
Property Damage			
<i>Agg. Arson & Arson</i>	6	2	8
<i>Criminal Damage</i>	129	12	141
<i>Vandalism</i>	14	0	14
<i>Tampering With Coin Machine</i>	9	2	11
<i>Criminal Mischief</i>	13	1	14
	171	17	188
Drug			
<i>Drug Abuse</i>	39	12	51
<i>Possession of Drugs</i>	1	3	4
<i>Agg. Trafficking & Trafficking</i>	88	12	100
	128	27	155
Alcohol			
<i>Disorderly Conduct (Intox.)</i>	8	1	9
<i>Consuming</i>	1	1	2
<i>Consuming in Motor Vehicle</i>	0	1	1
<i>Poss./Use of Intoxicants</i>	15	7	22
<i>Other Drinking Offenses</i>	15	1	16
	39	11	50

	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Total</u>
Status			
School Truancy	168	149	317
Runaway	35	100	135
Ungovernable	35	37	72
	<u>238</u>	<u>286</u>	<u>524</u>
Other Delinquencies			
Disorderly Conduct	167	49	216
Carrying Concealed Weapon	35	7	42
Domestic Violence	18	9	27
Pass. Criminal Tools	4	0	4
Trespassing & Criminal Tress.	111	12	123
Other Carelessness/Mischief	12	5	17
Escape	5	2	7
Falsification	1	5	6
Loitering	2	8	10
Obstructing Justice	4	1	5
Resisting Arrest	22	11	33
Prohibitions	17	7	24
Violation of Safe School Ordinance	65	22	87
Violation Curfew	7	1	8
Other Delinquent Behaviors	14	3	17
	<u>484</u>	<u>142</u>	<u>626</u>
	<u>Boys</u>	<u>Girls</u>	<u>Total</u>
Subtotal	2221	819	3040
Dismissed-Diversion	426	159	585
Dismissed	423	305	728
Marked Off Docket	7	0	7
Nolle Prosequi	501	120	621
Out County Runaways	32	29	61
	<u>3610</u>	<u>1432</u>	<u>5042</u>

1984 CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE POPULATION DATA REGISTRATIONS

	TRAFFIC	STATUS	DELINQUENT	TOTALS
BOYS	84	71	1908	2063
GIRLS	9	99	757	865
TOTALS	<u>93(3%)</u>	<u>170(6%)</u>	<u>2665(91%)</u>	<u>2928</u>

RELEASED	DETAINED	TOTAL
PENDING HEARING		
846	2082	2928

COMMENT: The number of children registered at the C.S.I. during 1984 increased by 221 (or 8%) compared to 1983.

AGE OF CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE (REGISTERED)

AGE	BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL
7	1	0	1
8	6	0	6
9	5	1	6
10	17	1	18
11	41	4	45
12	80	23	103
13	190	59	249
14	302	142	444
15	404	238	642
16	472	213	685
17	505	171	676
18	40	13	53
TOTAL	<u>2063</u>	<u>865</u>	<u>2928</u>
AVERAGE TOTAL	15.2	15.1	15.2

COMMENT: The average age has remained relatively stable over the years at 15.2.

AVERAGE DAILY POPULATION

BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL
42	20	62

COMMENT: The average daily population has increased by 5(9%) compared to 1983.

AVERAGE DETENTION DAYS

BOYS	GIRLS	TOTAL
8.5	9.6	9.1

COMMENT: The average number of days spent in detention has increased by .5 of a day, with the largest increase of 1 day for girls.

TOTAL DETENTION DAYS

17,420	8,223	25,643
--------	-------	--------

COMMENT: The total number of detention days has increased by 2657(12%).



1984 JUVENILE COURT EXPENDITURES

Salaries (officials).....	13,924.98
Salaries (employees).....	2,024,990.77
Equipment Purchases.....	38,454.84
Equipment Lease.....	2,324.45
Supplies.....	61,951.65
Contractual.....	64,074.09
Child Support.....	390,000.00
Rental.....	88,765.96
Travel.....	37,409.16
Other Expense.....	124,010.46
TOTAL	<u>\$2,845,906.36</u>

1984 CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE EXPENDITURES

Salaries (employees).....	1,055,976.19
Supplies (food, clothing, office, janitorial).....	105,906.51
Equipment Purchases.....	10,762.70
Contractual.....	27,997.74
Medical Supplies.....	4,234.35
Travel.....	229.90
Other Expenses.....	4,371.96
TOTAL	<u>\$1,209,479.35</u>

JUVENILE COURT COLLECTIONS - 1984

<i>Support of children, wards of the court, maintained in private residential treatment centers, foster homes and group homes.....</i>	\$40,221.56
<i>Restitution (paid by children for damage or loss).....</i>	41,065.91
<i>State subsidy for education.....</i>	9,856.82
<i>Juvenile Clerk (court costs, fines, motions, witness fees, investigations and forfeited bonds).....</i>	145,934.66
<i>Reimbursement for court appointed attorneys.....</i>	78.97
<i>United States Department of Agriculture school lunch subsidy.....</i>	44,393.34
<i>IV-D reimbursement (parentage).....</i>	162,379.81
<i>Single county detention subsidy (state).....</i>	292,944.26
<i>Miscellaneous (medical, conveyance, coin machines, phones, copier).....</i>	816.37
SUBTOTAL	\$737,691.70

GRANTS

<i>Ohio Department of Youth Services Subsidy.....</i>	\$634,831.61
<i>Ohio Offices of Criminal Justice Services.....</i>	68,683.00
<i>Ohio Department of Health.....</i>	44,600.00
SUBTOTAL	\$748,114.61
GRAND TOTAL	\$1,485,806.31

1984 COURT STAFF

JUDGE

Honorable Andy Devine

COURT DIRECTOR

Lawrence P. Murphy

BUSINESS MANAGER

Frank E. Landry

ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

Dan Pompa

SUPPORT OFFICER

William Zunk

CLERICAL STAFF

Marlette Littellmann, Secretary to Judge
Robin Beauchamp, Secretary
Harriette Twiss, Secretary to Director
Darlene Plajda, Secretary to Business Manager
Lenora Nelson, Chief Bookkeeper
Peggy Ery, Account Clerk
Terrie Leopard, Account Clerk
Jean Lamman, Secretary
Debbie Howard, Secretary

REFEREE DEPARTMENT

Louis Fulop, Chief Referee
James Ray
Donna Greenfield
Rosalie Musachio
Joyce Umbles
John Yerman
Geoffrey Waggoner, Parentage
Kevin Buckley, Parentage
Frank Sidle, Truancy/Unruly

CLERICAL STAFF

Pat Balderas
Barbara Bieniek
Missy Couture
Carol Edwards
Sharon Ferguson
Beverly Hack
Michelle Luna
Sandra Moore
Denise Pacynski
Bonnie Utter
Joyce Vargo

CITIZENS BOARD OF REVIEW

Irene Nugent, Coordinator
Mary Ann Deville, Secretary

COURT APPOINTED SPECIAL ADVOCATES (CASA)

Irene Nugent, Coordinator
Henrietta Galyas, Secretary
Marge Jacobs, Secretary
Shirley Severhof, Secretary

TRUANCY/UNRULY/NEGLECT

Mike Brennan
Connie Iott

ASSIGNMENT COMMISSIONER

Joyce Zunk
Mary Ivansco, Typist

SECURITY

Woodrow McCreary, Chief
John Jackson
Ron Thomas

JUVENILE CLERKS

Mary Shroyer, Supervisor
Mary King, Supervisor 4-D
Dolores Harrison, Bookkeeper
Loletta Clemens
Joanne Combs
Birdie Hogan
Marge Kach
Teresa Moore
Sandra Nadolny
Cynthia Posadny
Vera Reformat
Linda Roder
Margaret Sadowski
Linda Stirn
Janice Thleman
Karen Wlodarski
John Noonan, File Clerk

DATA CONTROL/RECORDS

William Ruby, Coordinator
Regina Fleck, Statistician
Harry Reichow, File Room Supervisor
Marilyn Leddy, Computer Operator

David Wagner, Expungements
Robert Ahrens, Microfilming
Mary Klein, Secretary
Diane Snyder, Typist

MAINTENANCE

Frank Jurski, Day Foreman
Ed Wolny, Night Foreman
Al Doneghy
Della Gafney
Kristine Hileman
Myrthel Howard
James Kizer
Mike Pappas
Marion Rocco
Jack Souldis
Randy Venturi
Milas Wells

RECEPTIONISTS

Carolyn Flanagan
Emma Withrow

PROBATION SERVICES

ADMINISTRATOR

Michael Walsh

ASSISTANT ADMINISTRATOR

Catherine Champion

SUPERVISORS

Richard Daley
Henry Norwood
Leroy Lucius

CASE MANAGERS

Ann Holzemer
Nancy Malone
Joseph Moran
Martin Turner

PROBATION COUNSELORS

David Borer
Ann Chapp
Madonna Conrad
Antonio Garrett
Jane Hirka
Ed Kass
Steve Lewandowski
Faye Lorenzo
Corinthia Macklin
Karen McCarthy
Lisa Moree
Ed Poczeka
Fred Porter
Lorenzo Salazar
Carol Schwab
Sandra Strong
Pam Toadvin
Elizabeth Zouhary

DYS LIAISON

Jeff Acocks

DIVERSION PROGRAM

Richard Sansbury, Coordinator
Margaret Williams

FOSTER HOME DEPARTMENT

Theresa McCarthy, Coordinator
Connie Darling

PLACEMENT CONSORTIUM

Fred Baxter

PLACEMENT DEPARTMENT

Carl Mossman
Judy Hohenberger

REMEDIAL READING PROGRAM

Janice Schiffer, Coordinator
Roger Halder

RESTITUTION PROGRAM

Gary Lenhart, Coordinator
Janice Knapp
Daniel Lutz
Joseph Schwartz
Dorine Smith
Tyron Tyson

SERIOUS OFFENDER PROGRAM

Fred Whitman, Coordinator

VOLUNTEER PROBATION COUNSELOR PROGRAM

Andrea Loch, Coordinator
Becky Schoenlein

CLERICAL

Virginia Semler, Administrative Secretary
Lucy Cowan
Audrey Fall
Sandra Fry
Lois Middlebrooks
Marci Yerman

CHILD STUDY INSTITUTE

ADMINISTRATOR

Paul Sullivan

SENIOR SUPERVISORS

Pete Holzemer, Boys' Floor
Pauline Dedes, Girls' Floor

BOYS' LEADERS

Tom Holzemer, Senior Leader
Dave Deppen, Supervisor/Program Director
Michael Layson, Supervisor
Ralph Sochacki, Supervisor
Bruce Williams, Supervisor
John Batson
Robert Begley
Tom Chapp
Lyndon Conner
Dan Graham
Cornell Grant
William Hayes
Jeffrey Johnson
Gerald Jones
Charles Kanthak
Dale Meyer
Loren Noyes
Robert Peacock
Brook Rollins
John Schafer

GIRLS LEADERS

Minnie Glaspie
Shirley Guhl
Jenniway Krisher
Kathleen Linenkugal
Verna Moore
Brenda Morehead
Helen Weber
Lorean Whitaker

PSYCHOLOGISTS

Dr. Andrew Glatter, Ph.D.
Dorothy Haverbusch

MEDICAL CLINIC

I. H. Kass, M.D.
Joan Coghlin, R.N.
Phyllis Fletcher, L.P.N.
Bernadette Wilczynski, R.N.

INTAKE OFFICERS

Robert Blumberg
Dan Brimmer
Carl Guy, Jr.
Robert Oberdorf

NUTRITIONISTS:

Michelle Brade
Jennie Collins
Dorothy Cowden
Patricia Messenger
Evelyn Toth

LOTTIE FORD SCHOOL (Toledo Board of Education)

Steve Kolinski, Principal
Susan Faneuff
Mark Langenderfer
Beryl McCloskey

SPECIALIZED INSTRUCTORS

Joanne Shapler, Arts & Crafts
Willie Loper, Night School

JUVENILE JUSTICE ADVISORY BOARD

Glen Richter (Chairman)
Russell Working (Vice-Chairman)
Francine Lawrence (Secretary)
Robert Anderson
Thomas Baker
Michael Beier
Reuben Bumpus
Harry Crenshaw
Mary Beth Hayward
Patricia Holmberg
Sandy Isenberg
Carol Kauss
Jean Overton
Richard Ransom
Kenneth Rebensal
John Savage

CHAPLAINCY PROGRAM

Roy Benoit
Ron Charles
Rev. Lawrence Clark
Rev. H. M. Crenshaw
George Hairston
Rev. James Harris
Rev. Cal Krueger
Mike Lamphire
Sue Michalak
Rev. John Rainey
Rev. Tony Scott
Cleve Sherman
Frank Sherman
Larry Sprague
Rev. Terry Tate
Savanah Washington
Jane Weasel
Rev. Charles Wilson
Chuck Woodburn

C.A.R.E.S. Board of Trustees

Bette Grotke - Executive Director
Eleanor Brazzill - Executive Secretary

Jack Briner - Chairman
Bernice Gosling - Vice Chairman
Thomas Wernert - Finance Chairman
Thomas Baker
Michael Beier
Thomas Bodi
Lt. Timothy Borkowski
Robert Carson
Sally DePerro
Judge Andy Devine
Crystal Ellis
Tim Henderson
Maureen Judy
George Kristo
Barbara Laraway
Julie Mahoney
James Ray
Larry Whatley
Francine Wood

VOLUNTEER READING TUTORS

Tim Anders*	Cindy Plocek
Nick Anderson*	Tana Porter
John Bannan*	Jon Purdy*
Bruce Belcher*	Jane Ransom
John (Jack) Bennett*	John Reardon
David Dagustino*	Stephen Tugend*
Izetta Dotson	Tom Wanamker*
Ann Fabiszak	Tina Werner*
Marybeth Franz	
Linden Gillaspy	
Jon Grossman*	
Daniel Hagerty*	*Student Volunteer
Melody Hooton	
Geri Kujda	
Scott Langlam*	
Joyce Lewis*	
Christopher Linn*	
Mark Malheney*	
Lindy Maurice	
Sharon Merritt	
Mike Moran*	
Todd Nemet*	
Justin O'Grady*	
Mike Paido*	

CASA/GAL

Annabelle Adler
Susan Anderson
Barbara Bearss
Donna Bottles
Marilyn Bowlus
Linda Brossia
Anne Burnham
Carol Caple
Freda Carlson
Ellen Carroll
Nancy Chablani
Cheryl Clephane
Martin Connors
Jean Cook
Helen Craft
Marjory Cromer
Wayne Davis
Barbara DeRocher
Marilyn Devine
Carol Dills
Arthur Edelman
Susan Eriksen
Betty Fee
Marie Fox
Connie Getman
Judy Gillespie
Kathy Girrell
Patricia Hansen
Dru Cassidy Hazard
Dora Herold
Fredlyn Heywood
Max Hill
Carol Hitt
Sally Holzemer
Marcia Howse
Don Keller
Harriet Koch
Regula Kummer
Edith Lapish
Richard Lapish
Marilyn Lautenbach
Mary Alice McKone
Ann McLaughlin
Cardell Mallett
Eleanor Menton
Selma Moore
Toni Moore
Nancy Mossing
Marcia Myers
Marian Naeckel

Susan Norcia
Wendy Oberhouse
Mary Jo Paneff
Helen Peternel
Betsy Phelan
Pat Riley
Kathleen Roman
Gloria Ruse
Cathy Scannell
Dolores Schultz
Karen Schultz
Patricia Smith
Sarah Spengler
Burr Sterling
Pat Tarsek
Janie Tschudy

Marilyn Williams
Mary Helen Williams
Lavelle Willinger
Linda Wotring
Barbara Ziemer
Laurie Boggs
Marilyn Cash
Lorraine Coe
George Georgiades
John Henry
Margaret High
Jacqueline Martin
Marsha Molnar
Mary Roberts
Patricia Syring
Barbara Wilson

Citizen Review Board

Althea Baldwin
Minga Barnes
Diane Berry
Dr. Frank Bock
Betty Croft
Kay Grothaus
Ann Hodge
Claire Jacobi
Paul Leiter
James Lyle
Thomas Meyer
Wendy Oberhouse

Nancy Perry
Dr. Robert Perry
Dr. Robert Roberts
Cynthia Schuler
Scott Schwab
Nancy Secor
Lucille Schmitz
Joan Washtock
Lavelle Willinger
Bonnie Woods
Phyllis Morton
Leslie Rideout

VOLUNTEER PROBATION COUNSELORS

Tom Barnes
Sharon Bennett
Julie Bialoricki
George Brimmer
Polly Caumartin
Stacey Connally
Del Cooper
Malenda Dailey
Patricia Diehl
Rose Foisy
Joseph Gillard
Harriet Gregory

Ted Hueter
Angela Jackson
Alyce Jaquillard
Carol Kellison
Linda Laipply

Joe Kathron Matthews
Jennie McCartney
Doris Miller
Marian Naeckel
Janice Opeil
Betty Posan
Del Rymers
Marti Smith
Sue Soldwish
Kevin Szenderski
Theresa Williamson
Deb Bell
Wendy Berndt

Delonda Bryson
Art Cole
Patrice Cook
Dawn Cottle
Katy Desmond

Al Duquette
Karen Garcia
Betty Gorman
George Hair
Tryphosa Hill
Richard Isby
Cheryl Jorgensen
Jerry King
Nancy Lester
Donna Lewis
Larry Massa
Rick Mosher
David Newsome
John Passolt
Kaylene Rotroff
Howard Sansbury
Patricia Simpson
Laurie Snook
Frances Spring
Donna Szymanski
Annie Wilson

JERUSALEM OUTREACH CENTER

Rev. Harry M. Crenshaw, Director
Henry Norwood - Court Liaison

PARENTAL SUBSTANCE ABUSE COUNSELING

Barbara Laraway - Director

INTENSIVE CHILD/FAMILY COUNSELING PROGRAM

Gilbert Menough - Executive Director
Cummings-Zucker Center

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

Lucas County Juvenile Court
429 Michigan Street
Toledo, Ohio 43624
(419) 245-4810
(419) 255-6107 (Probation Services)
(419) 245-4050 (Child Study Institute)

C.A.R.E.S.
One Government Center, Suite 400
Toledo, Ohio 43604
(419) 245-4153

Intensive Family/Child Treatment Program
Cummings-Zucker Center
123 Twenty-Second Street
Toledo, Ohio 43624
(419) 241-6191

Jerusalem Outreach Center
445 Dorr Street
Toledo, Ohio 43602
(419) 248-2139

Parental Substance Abuse Counseling
One Stranahan Square, Suite 532
Toledo, Ohio 43604
(419) 242-9587